HAZARD MAPPING OF THE PHILIPPINES USING LIDAR (PHIL-LIDAR 1)

LiDAR Surveys and Flood Mapping of Sangputan River





University of the Philippines Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry Visayas State University





© University of the Philippines and Visayas State University 2017

Published by the UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry (TCAGP) College of Engineering University of the Philippines – Diliman Quezon City 1101 PHILIPPINES

This research project is supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) as part of its Grants-in-Aid Program and is to be cited as:

E.C. Paringit, and F.F. Morales. (Eds.). (2017), LiDAR Surveys and Flood Mapping of Sangputan River. Quezon City: University of the Philippines Training Center on Geodesy and Photogrammetry-103pp.

The text of this information may be copied and distributed for research and educational purposes with proper acknowledgement. While every care is taken to ensure the accuracy of this publication, the UP TCAGP disclaims all responsibility and all liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) and costs which might incur as a result of the materials in this publication being inaccurate or incomplete in any way and for any reason.

For questions/queries regarding this report, contact:

Engr. Florentino Morales, Jr. Project Leader, PHIL-LiDAR 1 Program Visayas State University Baybay, Leyte, Philippines 6521 E-mail: ffmorales_jr@yahoo.com

Enrico C. Paringit, Dr. Eng. Program Leader, PHIL-LiDAR Program University of the Philippines Diliman Quezon City, Philippines 1101 E-mail: ecparingit@up.edu.ph

National Library of the Philippines ISBN: 978-621-430-214-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	v
List of Figures	vii
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	xi
CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM AND SANGPUTAN RIVER	1
1.1Background of the Phil-LiDAR 1 Program	
1 20verview of the Sangnutan River Basin	1
	ידז 2
2.1 Elight Dans	J 2
2.2 Cround Dass Stations	כ ר
	/
2.3 Flight Missions	14
2.4 Survey Coverage	15
CHAPTER 3: LIDAR DATA PROCESSING OF THE SANGPUTAN FLOODPLAIN	18
3.1 Overview of the LIDAR Data Pre-Processing	18
3.2 Transmittal of Acquired LiDAR Data	19
3.3 Trajectory Computation	19
3.4 LiDAR Point Cloud Computation	22
3.5 LiDAR Data Quality Checking	23
3.6 LiDAR Point Cloud Classification and Rasterization	28
3.7 LiDAR Image Processing and Orthophotograph Rectification	31
3.8 DEM Editing and Hydro-Correction	33
3.9 Mosaicking of Blocks	34
3.10 Calibration and Validation of Mosaicked LiDAR DEM	37
3 11 Integration of Bathymetric Data into the LiDAR Digital Terrain Model	<i>37</i>
3.12 Easture Extraction	 12
2 12 1 Quality Checking of Digitized Features' Poundary	 43
2.12.2 Quality Checking of Digitized Features Boundary	45
2.12.2 Reigni Extraction	44
3.12.3 Feature Attribution.	44
3.12.4 Final Quality Checking of Extracted Features	45
CHAPTER 4: LIDAR VALIDATION SURVEY AND MEASUREMENTS OF THE SANGPUTAN RIVER BA	ASIN47
4.1 Summary of Activities	47
4.1 Summary of Activities4.2 Control Survey	47 48
4.1 Summary of Activities4.2 Control Survey4.3 Baseline Processing	47 48 53
 4.1 Summary of Activities 4.2 Control Survey 4.3 Baseline Processing 4.4 Network Adjustment 	47 48 53 55
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 53 55 58
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 53 55 58 63
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 53 55 58 63 65
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 53 55 58 63 65 70
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 53 55 58 63 65 70 70
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 70
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 71 73
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 71 73 76
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 71 73 76 76
 4.1 Summary of Activities 4.2 Control Survey 4.3 Baseline Processing 4.4 Network Adjustment 4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey and Water Level Marking 4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey 4.7 Bathymetric Survey CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING 5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling 5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves 5.1.2 Precipitation 5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow 5.2 RIDF Station 5.3 HMS Model 5.4 Cross-section Data 	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 71 73 78 78 78 78 78 70
 4.1 Summary of Activities 4.2 Control Survey 4.3 Baseline Processing 4.4 Network Adjustment 4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey and Water Level Marking 4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey 4.7 Bathymetric Survey CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING 5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling 5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves 5.1.2 Precipitation 5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow 5.2 RIDF Station 5.3 HMS Model 5.4 Cross-section Data 5.5 Flo 2D Model 	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 71 73 76 80 81
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 71 73 76 81 81 82
 4.1 Summary of Activities 4.2 Control Survey 4.3 Baseline Processing 4.4 Network Adjustment 4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey and Water Level Marking 4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey 4.7 Bathymetric Survey CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING 5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling 5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves 5.1.2 Precipitation 5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow 5.2 RIDF Station 5.3 HMS Model 5.4 Cross-section Data 5.5 Flo 2D Model 5.6 Results of HMS Calibration 5.7 Calculated outflow hydrographs and Discharge values for different rainfall return periods 	47 48 53 55 58 63 63 70 70 70 70 70 70 71 73 76 81 82 82 85
 4.1 Summary of Activities 4.2 Control Survey 4.3 Baseline Processing 4.4 Network Adjustment 4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey and Water Level Marking 4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey 4.7 Bathymetric Survey CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING 5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling 5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves 5.1.2 Precipitation 5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow 5.2 RIDF Station 5.3 HMS Model 5.4 Cross-section Data 5.5 Flo 2D Model 5.6 Results of HMS Calibration 5.7 Calculated outflow hydrographs and Discharge values for different rainfall return periods 5.7.1 Hydrograph using the Rainfall Runoff Model 	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 70 71 73 76 80 81 85
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 70 71 73 76 80 81 85 85 86
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 71 73 76 80 81 85 85 85 88
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 55 58 63 65 70
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 55 58 63 65 70 71 73 75 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 710 715
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 55 58 63 65 70 71 73 76 76 70 71 71 71 71 71 73
 4.1 Summary of Activities 4.2 Control Survey. 4.3 Baseline Processing 4.4 Network Adjustment 4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey and Water Level Marking. 4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey 4.7 Bathymetric Survey. CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING. 5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling 5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves 5.1.2 Precipitation 5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow 5.2 RIDF Station 5.3 HMS Model 5.4 Cross-section Data. 5.5 Flo 2D Model 5.6 Results of HMS Calibration 5.7 Calculated outflow hydrographs and Discharge values for different rainfall return periods 5.7.1 Hydrograph using the Rainfall Runoff Model 5.8 River Analysis (RAS) Model Simulation. 5.9 Flow Depth and Flood Hazard 5.10 Inventory of Areas Exposed to Flooding. 5.11 Flood Validation REFERENCES ANNEXES 	47 48 53 55 58 63 65 70 71 73 76 76 70 71 71 71 71 71
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 48 55 58 63 65 70 71 73 76
 4.1 Summary of Activities	47 47 53 55 58 63 65 70 71 73 76
 4.1 Summary of Activities 4.2 Control Survey. 4.3 Baseline Processing 4.4 Network Adjustment. 4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey and Water Level Marking. 4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey. 4.7 Bathymetric Survey 4.7 Bathymetric Survey CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING. 5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling 5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves 5.1.2 Precipitation 5.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow. 5.2 RIDF Station 5.3 HMS Model 5.4 Cross-section Data 5.5 Flo 2D Model. 5.6 Results of HMS Calibration. 5.7 Calculated outflow hydrographs and Discharge values for different rainfall return periods 5.7.1 Hydrograph using the Rainfall Runoff Model 5.8 River Analysis (RAS) Model Simulation. 5.9 Flow Depth and Flood Hazard. 5.10 Inventory of Areas Exposed to Flooding. 5.11 Flood Validation REFERENCES ANNEXES Annex 1. Technical Specifications of the LiDAR Sensors used in the Sangputan Floodplain Surv Annex 2. NAMRIA Certification of Reference Points used in the LiDAR Survey 	47 48 55 58 63 65 70 71 73 76
 4.1 Summary of Activities 4.2 Control Survey 4.3 Baseline Processing 4.4 Network Adjustment 4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey and Water Level Marking 4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey 4.7 Bathymetric Survey CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING. 5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling 5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves 5.1.2 Precipitation 5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow. 5.2 RIDF Station 5.3 HMS Model 5.4 Cross-section Data. 5.5 Flo 2D Model. 5.6 Results of HMS Calibration. 5.7 Calculated outflow hydrographs and Discharge values for different rainfall return periods 5.7.1 Hydrograph using the Rainfall Runoff Model 5.8 River Analysis (RAS) Model Simulation. 5.9 Flow Depth and Flood Hazard 5.10 Inventory of Areas Exposed to Flooding. 5.11 Flood Validation REFERENCES ANNEXES Annex 1. Technical Specifications of the LiDAR Sensors used in the Sangputan Floodplain Surv Annex 2. NAMRIA Certification of Reference Points used in the LiDAR Survey Annex 3. Baseline Processing Reports of Control Points used in the LiDAR Survey Annex 4. The LiDAR Survey Team Composition 	47 48 55 58 63 65 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70

Annex 5. Data Transfer Sheets for the Sangputan Floodplain Flights	176
Annex 6. Flight Logs for the Flight Missions	178
Annex 7. Flight Status Reports	
Annex 8. Mission Summary Reports	195
Annex 9. Sangputan Model Basin Parameters	
Annex 10. Sangputan Model Reach Parameters	
Annex 11. Sangputan Field Validation Points	
Annex 12. Educational Institutions Affected by Flooding in Sangputan Floodplain	
Annex 13. Medical Institutions Affected by Flooding in Sangputan Floodplain	247

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Flight planning parameters for Aquarius LiDAR system.	3
Table 2. Flight planning parameters for Gemini LiDAR system	4
Table 3. Details of the recovered and re-established NAMRIA horizontal control point LYT-104, used as b	base
station for the LiDAR acquisition	8
Table 4. Details of the recovered NAMRIA vertical control point LY-110, used as base station for the Lil	DAR
acquisition with established coordinates	9
Table 5. Details of the recovered NAMRIA vertical control point LY-123, used as base station for the Lil	DAR
acquisition with established coordinates	. 10
Table 6. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point SMR-56, used as base station for	the
LiDAR acquisition	. 11
Table 7. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point SMR-58, used as base station for	the
LiDAR acquisition.	. 12
Table 8. Details of the recovered NAMRIA vertical control point SM-286, used as base station for the Lil	DAR
acquisition with established coordinates	. 13
Table 9. Ground control points used during the LiDAR data acquisition	. 14
Table 10. Flight missions for LiDAR data acquisition in the Sangputan floodplain	. 14
Table 11. Actual parameters used during the LiDAR data acquisition	. 15
Table 12. List of municipalities and cities surveyed during the Sangputan floodplain LiDAR survey	. 16
Table 13. Self-calibration results for the Sangputan flights	. 22
Table 14. List of LiDAR blocks for the Sangputan floodplain	. 24
Table 15. Sangputan classification results in TerraScan	. 28
Table 16. LiDAR blocks with their corresponding areas	. 33
Table 17. Shift values of each LiDAR block of the Sangputan floodplain	. 35
Table 18. Calibration Statistical Measures	. 39
Table 19. Validation Statistical Measures	. 40
Table 20. Quality Checking ratings for the Sangputan building features	. 44
Table 21. Building Features extracted for the Sangputan floodplain	. 44
Table 22. Total length of extracted roads for the Sangputan floodplain	. 45
Table 23. Number of extracted water bodies for the Sangputan floodplain	. 45
Table 24. List of Reference and Control Points occupied for the Sangputan River survey (Source: NAM	RIA;
UP-TCAGP)	. 50
Table 25. Baseline Processing Report for the Sangputan River Basin Static Survey	. 54
Table 26. Control Point Constraints	. 55
Table 27. Adjusted Grid Coordinates	. 55
Table 28. Adjusted Geodetic Coordinates	. 57
Table 29. Reference and control points used and its location (Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)	. 58
Table 30. RIDF values for the Tacloban Rain Gauge computed by PAGASA	. 74
Table 31. Range of Calibrated Values for Sangputan	. 83
Table 32. Summary of the Efficiency Test of Sangputan HMS Model	. 84
Table 33. Peak values of the Sangputan HEC-HMS Model outflow using the Tacloban RIDF	. 85
Table 34. Municipalities affected in Sangputan floodplain	. 88
Table 35. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 95
Table 36. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 96
Table 37. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 97
Table 38. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 97
Table 39. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	100

Table 40. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 100
Table 41. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 101
Table 42. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 101
Table 43. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 104
Table 44. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 105
Table 45. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 106
Table 46. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 108
Table 47. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 109
Table 48. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 110
Table 49. Affected Areas in Tacloban City, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 112
Table 50. Affected Areas in Tunga, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 113
Table 51. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 114
Table 52. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 115
Table 53. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 116
Table 54. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 117
Table 55. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 120
Table 56. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 121
Table 57. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 122
Table 58. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 122
Table 59. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 125
Table 60. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 125
Table 61. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 126
Table 62. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 128
Table 63. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 129
Table 64. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 130
Table 65. Affected Areas in Tacloban, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 133
Table 66. Affected Areas in Tunga, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 134
Table 67. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 135
Table 68. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 136
Table 69. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 137
Table 70. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 139
Table 71. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 141
Table 72. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 142
Table 73. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 143
Table 74. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 143
Table 75. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 147
Table 76. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 147
Table 77. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 148
Table 78. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 151
Table 79. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 152
Table 80. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 152
Table 81. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 155
Table 82. Affected Areas in Tunga, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	. 157
Table 83. Area covered by each warning level with respect to the rainfall scenario	. 158
Table 84. RMSE values for each return period of flood depth map	. 161
Table 85. Actual Flood Depth vs Simulated Flood Depth in Sangputan	. 163
Table 86. Summary of Accuracy Assessment in Sangputan	. 163

LIST OF FIGURES

List of Figures
Figure 1. Location map of the Sangputan River Basin (in brown)
Figure 2. Flight plans and base stations used for the Sangputan flood plain using the Aquarius LiDAR
system
Figure 3. Flight plans and base stations used for the Sangputan floodplain using the Gemini LiDAR
system6
Figure 4. (a) GPS set-up over LYT-104 located and re-established along a rice paddy trail, approximately
90 meters from the centerline, east side of Pastrana-Santa Fe Road, District IV, Pastrana, Leyte; and
(b) NAMRIA reference point LYT-104, as recovered by the field team
Figure 5. (a) GPS set-up over LY-110 located along Palo-Pastrana Road, Pastrana, Leyte; and (b)
Figure 6 (a) GPS set-up over IV-123 located on a bridge at Brgy Malaibao Alang-alang Levte
Pastrana Levte: and (b) NAMRIA reference point LY-123, as recovered by the field team
Figure 7. (a) GPS set-up over SMR-56 at Cabacungan Elementary School in Barangay Cabacungan,
Sta. Rita, Samar; and (b) NAMRIA reference point SMR-56, as recovered by the field team
Figure 8. (a) GPS set-up over SMR-58 located inside Serum Elementary School, Brgy. Serum,
Sangputan; and (b) NAMRIA reference point SMR-58, as recovered by the field team12
Figure 9. (a) GPS set-up over SM-286, located at Dalid bridge along national highway in Brgy. San
Pascual, Sta. Rita, Samar; and (b) NAMRIA reference point SM-286, as recovered by the field team.
Figure 10. Actual LIDAR survey coverage of the Sangputan floodplain
Figure 12 Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters of Sangnutan Flight 3771G 20
Figure 13. Solution Status Parameters of Sangputan Flight 3771G
Figure 14. The best estimated trajectory conducted over the Sangputan floodplain
Figure 15. Boundaries of the processed LiDAR data over the Sangputan floodplain
Figure 16. Image of data overlap for Sangputan floodplain25
Figure 17. Pulse density map of merged LiDAR data for the Sangputan floodplain
Figure 18. Elevation difference map between flight lines for Sangputan floodplain
Figure 19. Quality checking for Sangputan floodplain; and (b) classification results in TorraScan
Figure 21 Point cloud (a) before and (b) after classification
Figure 22. The production of (a) last return DSM and (b) DTM. (c) first return DSM and (d) secondary
DTM in some portion of the Sangputan floodplain
Figure 23. The Sangputan floodplain with available orthophotographs
Figure 24. Sample orthophotographic tiles for the Sangputan floodplain
Figure 25. Portions in the DTM of the Sangputan floodplain – a bridge (a) before and (b) after
manual editing; and a paddy field (c) before and (d) after data retrieval
Figure 26. Map of processed LIDAR data for the Sangputan floodplain
Figure 28. Correlation plot between the calibration survey points and the LiDAR data
Figure 29. Correlation plot between the validation survey points and the LiDAR data
Figure 30. Map of the Sangputan floodplain, with bathymetric survey points shown in blue
Figure 31. Blocks (in blue) of Sangputan building features that were subjected to QC
Figure 32. Extracted features for the Sangputan floodplain46
Figure 33. Extent of the bathymetric survey (in blue line) in the Sangputan River and the LiDAR data
validation survey (in red)
Figure 34. GNSS Network of the Sangputan River field survey
in Barangay Candahog, Municipality of Palo, Leyte
Figure 36. GNSS base set-up. Trimble [®] SPS 985. at LY-106. located at the approach of the Bernard
Reed Bridge along Maharlika Highway in Barangay Luntad, Municipality of Palo, Leyte
Figure 37. GNSS receiver set-up, Trimble® SPS 985, at UP-DAG, an established control point, located
at the bridge approach of the Daguitan Bridge along Maharlika Highway in Barangay Fatima,
Municipality of Dulag, Leyte
Figure 38. GNSS receiver set-up, Trimble [®] SPS 985, at UP-O, an established control point, located at

the bridge approach of the Ormoc Merida Bridge along the Ormoc-Merida-Isabel-Palompon Re	oad in
Barangay. Liloan, Ormoc City, Leyte	52
Figure 39. GNSS base set-up, Trimble® SPS 852, at UP-STN, an established control point, local	ted at
the Pagbanganan Bridge approach in Barangay Poblacion Zone 12, City of Baybay, Leyte	53
Figure 40. Cross-section survey of Calay-Calay Bridge	59
Figure 41. Calay-calay Bridge cross-section location map	60
Figure 42. Calay-Calay Bridge cross-section diagram	61
Figure 43. Calav-Calav Bridge Data Form	62
Figure 44. Water-level marking at one of the piers of the Calay-Calay Bridge	63
Figure 45. Validation points acquisition survey set-up	64
Figure 46. Extent of the LiDAR ground validation survey of the Sangputan River Basin	65
Figure 47. Bathymetry by boat set-up for the Sangputan River survey	66
Figure 48. Exent of the bathymetric survey of the Sangputan River	67
Figure 49. Riverbed profile of the Sangputan River (upstream).	
Figure 50. Riverbed profile of the Sangputan River (downstream)	
Figure 51. The location map of the Sangputan HEC-HMS model used for calibration	71
Figure 52. Cross-Section plot of the Capiliban Bridge	72
Figure 53 Rating Curve at the Caniliban Bridge San Miguel Levte	72
Figure 54. Rainfall and outflow data at Sangnutan used for modeling	73
Figure 55 Location man of the Taclohan RIDE station relative to the Sangnutan River Basin	75
Figure 56. Synthetic storm generated for a 24-br period rainfall for various return periods	75
Figure 57 Soil Man of the Sangnutan River Bacin (Source: DA)	75
Figure 58 Land Cover Man of the Sangputan River Basin (Source: NAMPIA)	70
Figure 50. Land Cover Map of the Sangputan River Basin (Source: MAMINIA)	/ /
Figure 59. Stope Wap of the Sangputan River Dasin	70
Figure 60. Stream Deminedion Map of the Sangputan River Basin	79
Figure 61. The Sangpulan River Basin model generated in REC-Rivis	80
Figure 62. River cross-section of the sangputan River generated through Archidp HEC GeoRAS	1001
OI Figure 62 A coreanshat of the river cub establishment with the computational area to be made	lad in
Figure 65. A screenshot of the river sub-catchment with the computational area to be mode	
FLO-2D GD3 F10	02
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC HMS model compared	with
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared	with
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS	with 83 ted in 85
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model	with 83 ted in 85 87
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain	with 83 ted in 85 87 89
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 89 90
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 89 90 91
 Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 69. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain 	with 83 ted in 85 87 89 90 91 92
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 89 90 91 92 93
 Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain 	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94
 Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 72. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period 	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98
 Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 72. 5-year Flood Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period 	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98
 Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 72. 5-year Flood Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 75. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period 	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 98
 Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 69. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 72. 5-year Flowd Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 75. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 76. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 76. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period 	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 99 99
 Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 69. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 72. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 76. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 77. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 77. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period 	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 99 99 99 99 92
 Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 69. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 72. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 76. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 77. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 77. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 78. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 78. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period 	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 99 99 99 99 102 103
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 90 91 92 93 93 98 98 98 99 99 102 103 103
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 69. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 72. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 74. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 75. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 76. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 77. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 78. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 79. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 79. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 79. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 79. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 79. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 80. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 80. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 80. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 90 91 92 93 93 98 98 99 99 103 103 104
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 93 98 98 99 102 103 103 104 107
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 93 94 98 99 99 99 99 102 103 107 107
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 99 102 103 103 107 107 108
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 98 99 102 103 104 107 107 108 110
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 98 98 99 102 103 103 104 107 108 110 111
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 90 91 92 93 93 94 98 98 98 98 99 102 103 103 107 107 108 111 111
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 93 94 98 98 98 99 102 103 103 104 107 107 108 111 111 113
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 98 98 99 102 103 103 104 107 107 107 108 111 111 111 113 114
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 68. 100-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 69. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 70. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 71. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 72. 5-year Flood Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 74. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 75. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 76. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 77. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 78. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 79. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 80. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 81. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 82. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 83. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 84. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 85. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 88. Affected Areas in Tang Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 88. Affected Areas in Tang Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Figure 88. Affected Areas in Tangue, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 98 99 102 103 103 104 107 107 107 108 110 111 111 111 113 114 118
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 99 99 102 103 103 104 107 107 107 107 107 111 111 111 113 114 118 119
Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared observed outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simula HEC-HMS. Figure 65. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model. Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model. Figure 68. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain. Figure 69. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain. Figure 70. 25-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain. Figure 71. 5-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain. Figure 72. 5-year Flow Depth Map for the Sangputan Floodplain. Figure 73. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period . Figure 74. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period . Figure 75. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period . Figure 76. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period . Figure 78. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period . Figure 78. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period . Figure 78. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period . Figure 79. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	with 83 ted in 85 87 90 91 92 93 94 98 98 98 98 98 99 102 103 103 103 104 107 107 108 110 111 111 111 113 114 119 119 119

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AAC	Asian Aerospace Corporation			
Ab	abutment			
ALTM	Airborne LiDAR Terrain Mapper			
ARG	automatic rain gauge			
ATQ	Antique			
AWLS	Automated Water Level Sensor			
BA	Bridge Approach			
BM	benchmark			
CAD	Computer-Aided Design			
CN	Curve Number			
CSRS	Chief Science Research Specialist			
DAC	Data Acquisition Component			
DEM	Digital Elevation Model			
DENR	Department of Environment and Natural Resources			
DOST	Department of Science and Technology			
DPPC	Data Pre-Processing Component			
DREAM	Disaster Risk and Exposure Assessment for Mitigation [Program]			
DRRM	Disaster Risk Reduction and Management			
DSM	Digital Surface Model			
DTM	Digital Terrain Model			
DVBC	Data Validation and Bathymetry Component			
FMC	Flood Modeling Component			
FOV	Field of View			
GiA	Grants-in-Aid			
GCP	Ground Control Point			
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System			
GPS	Global Positioning System			
HEC-HMS	Hydrologic Engineering Center - Hydrologic Modeling System			
HEC-RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Center - River Analysis System			
HC	High Chord			
IDW	Inverse Distance Weighted [interpolation method]			

IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit			
kts	knots			
LAS	LiDAR Data Exchange File format			
LC	Low Chord			
LGU	local government unit			
Lidar	Light Detection and Ranging			
LMS	LiDAR Mapping Suite			
m AGL	meters Above Ground Level			
MMS	Mobile Mapping Suite			
MSL	mean sea level			
NSTC	Northern Subtropical Convergence			
PAF	Philippine Air Force			
PAGASA	Philippine Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration			
PDOP	Positional Dilution of Precision			
РРК	Post-Processed Kinematic [technique]			
PRF	Pulse Repetition Frequency			
PTM	Philippine Transverse Mercator			
QC	Quality Check			
QT	Quick Terrain [Modeler]			
RA	Research Associate			
RIDF	Rainfall-Intensity-Duration-Frequency			
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error			
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar			
SCS	Soil Conservation Service			
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission			
SRS	Science Research Specialist			
SSG	Special Service Group			
ТВС	Thermal Barrier Coatings			
UP-TCAGP	University of the Philippines – Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry			
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator			
VSU	Visayas State University			
WGS	World Geodetic System			

CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM AND SANGPUTAN RIVER

Enrico C. Paringit, Dr. Eng., Dr. George Puno, and Eric Bruno

1.1 Background of the Phil-LiDAR 1 Program

The University of the Philippines Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry (UP-TCAGP) launched a research program in 2014 entitled "Nationwide Hazard Mapping using LiDAR" or Phil-LiDAR 1, supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) Grants-in-Aid (GiA) Program. The program was primarily aimed at acquiring a national elevation and resource dataset at sufficient resolution to produce information necessary to support the different phases of disaster management. Particularly, it targeted to operationalize the development of flood hazard models that would produce updated and detailed flood hazard maps for the major river systems in the country.

Also, the program was aimed at producing an up-to-date and detailed national elevation dataset suitable for 1:5,000 scale mapping, with 50 cm and 20 cm horizontal and vertical accuracies, respectively. These accuracies were achieved through the use of the state-of-the-art Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) airborne technology procured by the project through the Department of Science and Technology (DOST). The methods applied in this report are thoroughly described in a separate publication entitled "Flood Mapping of Rivers in the Philippines Using Airborne LiDAR: Methods" (Paringit, et. al., 2017), available separately.

The implementing partner university for the Phil-LiDAR 1 Program is the Visayas State University (VSU). VSU is in charge of processing LiDAR data and conducting data validation reconnaissance, cross section, bathymetric survey, validation, river flow measurements, flood height and extent data gathering, flood modeling, and flood map generation for the twenty-eight (28) river basins in the Visayas region. The university is located in Baybay City, Leyte.

1.2 Overview of the Sangputan River Basin

The Sangputan River Basin covers the Municipalities of San Miguel, Alangalang. Jaro, Barugo, and Babatngon, and the City of Ormoc, in the province of Leyte. According to the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) – River Basin Control Office (RBCO), the basin has a drainage area of 270 km², and an estimated 513 million cubic meter (MCM) annual run-off (RBCO, 2015).



Figure 1. Location map of the Sangputan River Basin (in brown)

The river basin's main stem, the Sangputan River, locally known as the Sapiniton River, is part of the twentyeight (28) river systems in the Visayas Region.

According to the 2010 national census of the National Statistics Office (NSO), the population within the immediate vicinity of the river is 8,999 persons, distributed among eleven (11) barangays in the Municipality San Miguel. The locals are mostly fishermen, as majority of the municipality's population are situated near the coast (http://www.slideshare.net/led4lgus/smedsep-leyte-rolfspeit, 2005).

In November 2013, Super Typhoon Haiyan (local name: Yolanda) hit the area, but it was not as devastated as Tacloban City. Flooding occurred, with minimal damage.

CHAPTER 2: LIDAR DATA ACQUISITION OF THE SANGPUTAN FLOODPLAIN

Engr. Louie P. Balicanta, Engr. Christopher Cruz, Lovely Gracia Acuña, Engr. Gerome Hipolito, and Engr. Grace B. Sinadjan

The methods applied in this Chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Sarmiento, et al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et al. (2017).

2.1 Flight Plans

To initiate the LiDAR acquisition survey of the Sangputan floodplain, the Data Acquisition Component (DAC) created flight plans within the delineated priority area for the Sangputan floodplain in Leyte. These missions were planned for fifteen (15) lines that run for at most four (4) hours including take-off, landing and turning time. Two (2) LiDAR systems were used for the missions – Aquarius and Gemini (See Annex 1 for the sensor specifications). The flight planning parameters for the Aquarius LiDAR system is found in Table 1, while the flight planning parameters for the Gemini LiDAR system, and Figure 2 shows the flight plans for the Sangputan floodplain using the Aquarius LiDAR system, and Figure 3 shows the flight plans for the Sangputan floodplain using the Gemini LiDAR system.

Table 1	. Flight p	olanning	parameters	for Aquarius	5 LiDAR	system.
	0 1		T	L L		/

Block Name	Flying Height (m AGL)	Overlap (%)	Field of View (θ)	Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF) (kHz)	Scan Frequency (Hz)	Average Speed (kts)	Average Turn Time (Minutes)
BLK34C	600	30	36	50	50	120	5
BLK34D	600	30	36	50	50	120	5
BLK34E	600	30	36	50	50	120	5

Table 2. Flight planning parameters for Gemini LiDAR system

Block Name	Flying Height (m AGL)	Overlap (%)	Field of View (θ)	Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF) (kHz)	Scan Frequency (Hz)	Average Speed (kts)	Average Turn Time (Minutes)
BLK34A	600/850/ 1100	30	34/40/50	100	40/50	120	5
BLK34B	850	30	40	100	50	120	5
BLK34C	600/850	30	40/50	100	40/50	120	5
BLK34D	600/1100	30	34/50	100	40/50	120	5
BLK34E	600/1100	30	34/50	100	40/50	120	5
BLK34G	600/850/ 1100	30	34/40/50	100	40/50	120	5



Figure 2. Flight plans and base stations used for the Sangputan floodplain using the Aquarius LiDAR system.



Figure 3. Flight plans and base stations used for the Sangputan floodplain using the Gemini LiDAR system.

2.2 Ground Base Stations

The field team for this undertaking was able to recover three (3) NAMRIA ground control points: LYT-104, SMR-56 and SMR-58, which are both of second (2nd) order accuracy. Three (3) NAMRIA benchmarks were also recovered: LY-110, LY-123 and SM-286, which are all of first (1st) order accuracy. These benchmarks were used as vertical reference points, and were also established as ground control points. The certifications for the NAMRIA reference points and benchmarks are found in Annex 2, while the baseline processing reports for the established control points are provided in Annex 3. These were used as the base stations during the flight operations for the entire duration of the survey, held on April 22 - May 14 2014, and January 22-24, 2016. The base stations were observed using dual frequency GPS receivers, TRIMBLE SPS 852, SPS 882, and SPS 985. The flight plans and locations of base stations used during the aerial LiDAR acquisition in the Sangputan floodplain are illustrated in Figure 2 and Figure 3. The composition of the project team is shown in Annex 4.

Figure 4 to Figure 9 depict the recovered NAMRIA control stations within the area. In addition, Table 3 to Table 8 present the details about the following NAMRIA control stations and established points. Table 9 lists all ground control points occupied during the acquisition, together with the dates they were utilized during the survey.



Figure 4. (a) GPS set-up over LYT-104 located and re-established along a rice paddy trail, approximately 90 meters from the centerline, east side of Pastrana-Santa Fe Road, District IV, Pastrana, Leyte; and (b) NAMRIA reference point LYT-104, as recovered by the field team

Table 3. Details of the recovered and re-established NAMRIA horizontal control point LYT-104, used as base station for the LiDAR acquisition

Station Name	LYT-104			
Order of Accuracy	2nd order			
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1:50,000			
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11°08'38.92234" North 124o 53' 13.52786" East 33.659 meters		
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Easting Northing Ellipsoidal Height	11°08'34.67033" North 124o 53' 18.69323" East 95.861 meters		
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 51 North (UTM 51N PRS 1992)	Latitude Longitude	706089.510 meters 1232496.838 meters		



(a)

Figure 5. (a) GPS set-up over LY-110 located along Palo-Pastrana Road, Pastrana, Leyte; and (b) NAMRIA reference point LY-110, as recovered by the field team

Table 4. Details of the recovered NAMRIA vertical control point LY-110, used as base static	эn
for the LiDAR acquisition with established coordinates	

Station Name		LY-110	
Order of Accuracy	2nd		
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)		1:50,000	
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11o 10' 19.48389" North 124o 57' 32.98736" East 14.336 meters	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11o 10' 15.23095" North 124o 57' 38.14961" East 76.647 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 51 North (UTM 51N PRS 1992)	Easting Northing	713,942.863 meters 1,235,638.117 meters	



(a)

Figure 6. (a) GPS set-up over LY-123 located on a bridge at Barangay. Malaihao, Alangalang, Leyte, Pastrana, Leyte; and (b) NAMRIA reference point LY-123, as recovered by the field team

Table 5. Details of the recovered NAMRIA vertical control point LY-123, used as base station for the LiDAR acquisition with established coordinates

Station Name	LY-123			
Order of Accuracy		2nd		
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1:50,000			
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11o 12' 21.48" North 124o 51' 07.02" East 34.95 m		
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11o 12' 16.64155" North 124o 51' 11.29744" East 96.895 meters		
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 51 North (UTM 51N PRS 1992)	Easting Northing	702335.856 meters 1239240.789 m meters		



Figure 7. (a) GPS set-up over SMR-56 at Cabacungan Elementary School in Barangay Cabacungan, Sta. Rita, Samar; and (b) NAMRIA reference point SMR-56, as recovered by the field team

Table 6. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point SMR-56, used as base station for the LiDAR acquisition

Station Name	SMR-56		
Order of Accuracy		2 nd	
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1	. in 50,000	
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11° 23′ 6.52702′′ 125° 0′ 23.99607′′ 11.82200 m	
Grid Coordinates, Philippine Transverse Mercator Zone 5 (PTM Zone 5 PRS 92)	Easting Northing	500,727.475 meters 1,258,927.861 meters	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11° 23' 2.22413'' North 125° 0' 29.13917'' East 73.72700 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 51 North (UTM 51N PRS 1992)	Easting Northing	718,970.61 meters 1,259,244.38 meters	



Figure 8. (a) GPS set-up over SMR-58 located inside Serum Elementary School, Barangay Serum, Sangputan; and (b) NAMRIA reference point SMR-58, as recovered by the field team

Table 7. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point SMR-58, used as base station for the LiDAR
acquisition

Station Name		SMR-58	
Order of Accuracy	2 nd		
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)		1:50,000	
	Latitude	11º 17' 55.05617" North	
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Refer- ence of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Longitude	125° 7' 51.16145" East	
	Ellipsoidal Height	6.30062 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Philippine Transverse Mer- cator Zone 5 (PTM Zone 5 PRS 92)	Easting Northing	514288.239 meters 1249361.531 meters	
	Latitude	11º 17' 50.78580" North	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Longitude	125° 7' 56.31100" East	
System 150 + Butani (Web 0 +)	Ellipsoidal Height	68.72300 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mer- cator Zone 51 North	Easting	732600.57 meters	
(UTM 51N PRS 1992)	Northing	1249768.75 meters	



Figure 9. (a) GPS set-up over SM-286, located at Dalid Bridge along national highway in Brgy. San Pascual, Sta. Rita, Samar; and (b) NAMRIA reference point SM-286, as recovered by the field team

Table 8. Details of the recovered NAMRIA vertical control point SM-286, used as base station for the LiDAR acquisition with established coordinates

Station Name	SM-286		
Order of Accuracy	2nd		
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1:50,000		
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11° 24' 35.73" North 124° 59' 44.05" East 5.47 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Philippine Transverse Mercator Zone 5 (PTM Zone 5 PRS 92)	Easting Northing	499516.558 meters 1261668.44 meters	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	11° 24' 30.81671" North 124° 59' 48.35250" East 67.268 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 51 North (UTM 51N PRS 1992)	Easting Northing	717869.251 meters 1261905.903 meters	

		1 0	L
Date Surveyed	Flight Number	Mission Name	Ground Control Points
April 22,2014	1366A	3BLK34E112A	SMR-56 and SM-286
May 14, 2014	1454A	3BLK34D134A	SMR-56 and LY-123
May 14, 2014	1456A	3BLK34C134B	SMR-58 and LY-123
January 22, 2016	3765G	2BLK34AD022A	LYT-104 and LY-110
January 22, 2016	3767G	2BLK34AG022B	LYT-104 and LY-110
January 23, 2016	3769G	2BLK34ADEG023A	LYT-104 and LY-110
January 23, 2016	3771G	2BLK34BCG023B	LYT-104 and LY-110
January 24, 2016	3773G	2BLK34CG024A	LYT-104 and LY-110

Table 9. Ground control points used during the LiDAR data acquisition

2.3 Flight Missions

A total of eight (8) flight missions were conducted to complete the LiDAR data acquisition in the Sangputan floodplain, for a total of thirty two hours and thirty six minutes (32+36) of flying time for RP-C9122 and RP-C9022. The missions were acquired using the Aquarius and Gemini LiDAR systems. The flight logs are found in Annex 6. Table 10 shows the total area of actual coverage and the corresponding flying hours per mission, while Table 11 enumerates the actual parameters used during the LiDAR data acquisition.

Date Sur-	Flight Number	Flight Plan Area (km²)	Surveyed	Area Sur- veyed within the Floodplain (km²)	Area Sur- veved Outside	No. of	Flying Hours	
veyed			Area (km²)		the Floodplain (km ²)	Images (Frames)	Hr	Min
April 22,2014	1366A	111.13	120.79	49.20	71.59	1346	4	49
May 14, 2014	1454A	174.88	220.81	70.62	150.19	256/1013	4	29
May 14, 2014	1456A	88.96	97.85	12.33	85.52	998	3	41
January 22, 2016	3765G	248.10	180.76	70.15	110.61	0	4	11
January 22, 2016	3767G	257.55	148.01	69.90	78.11	0	3	23
January 23, 2016	3769G	403.32	171.76	0.80	170.96	0	4	23
January 23, 2016	3771G	219.11	150.85	20.61	130.24	0	3	29
January 24, 2016	3773G	170.85	102.77	16.09	86.68	0	4	11
TOTA	4L	1673.89	1193.6	309.7	883.9	3613	32	36

Table 10. Flight missions for LiDAR data acquisition in the Sangputan floodplain

Table 11. Actual parameters used during the LiDAR data acquisition								
Flight Number	Flying Height (m AGL)	Overlap (%)	FOV (θ)	PRF (kHZ)	Scan Frequency (Hz)	Average Speed (kts)	Average Turn Time (Minutes)	
1366A	600	30	36	50	50	130	5	
1454A	600	30	36	50	50	130	5	
1456A	600	30	36	50	50	130	5	
3765G	600/1100	30	34/50	100	40/50	130	5	
3767G	850	30	40	100	50	130	5	
3769G	600/1100	30	34/50	100	40/50	130	5	
3771G	850	30	40	100	50	130	5	
3773G	600	30	50	100	40	130	5	

2.4 Survey Coverage

This certain LiDAR acquisition survey covered the Sangputan floodplain (See Annex 7 for the flight status reports). The Sangputan floodplain is located in the province of Leyte, with majority of the floodplain situated within the municipalities of San Miguel and Alangalang. The list of cities and municipalities surveyed, with at least one (1) square kilometer coverage, is provided in Table 12. The actual coverage of the LiDAR acquisition for the Sangputan floodplain is presented in Figure 10.

Municipality/City	Area of Municipality/City (km²)	Total Area Surveyed (km²)	Percentage of Area Surveyed				
Alangalang	145.45	145.44	100%				
Barugo	81.25	81.25	100%				
San Miguel	103.86	100.87	97%				
Santa Fe	57.15	54.3	95%				
Pastrana	79.17	67.88	86%				
Dagami	134.08	77.27	58%				
Palo	65.34	36.74	56%				
Tunga	17.36	9.76	56%				
Tabontabon	20.46	11.29	55%				
Jaro	190.65	69.13	36%				
Burauen	205.31	64.73	32%				
Tacloban City	118.46	34.3	29%				
Julita	57.17	11.68	20%				
Tanauan	62.78	8.4	13%				
Carigara	116.61	13.07	11%				
Babatngon	136.57	8.04	6%				
otal	1591.67	794.15	49.89%				
	Municipality/City Alangalang Barugo San Miguel Santa Fe Pastrana Dagami Palo Tunga Tabontabon Jaro Burauen Tacloban City Julita Tanauan Carigara Babatngon	Area of Municipality/City (km²)Alangalang145.45Barugo81.25San Miguel103.86Santa Fe57.15Pastrana79.17Dagami134.08Palo65.34Tunga17.36Tabontabon20.46Jaro190.65Burauen205.31Tacloban City118.46Julita57.17Tanauan62.78Carigara116.61Babatngon136.57ptal1591.67	Area of Municipality/City (km²)Total Area Surveyed (km²)Alangalang145.45145.44Barugo81.2581.25San Miguel103.86100.87Santa Fe57.1554.3Pastrana79.1767.88Dagami134.0877.27Palo65.3436.74Tunga17.369.76Tabontabon20.4611.29Jaro190.6569.13Burauen205.3164.73Tacloban City118.4634.3Julita57.1711.68Tanauan62.788.4Carigara116.6113.07Babatngon136.578.04otal1591.67794.15				

Table 12. List of municipalities and cities surveyed during the Sangputan floodplain LiDAR survey



Figure 10. Actual LiDAR survey coverage of the Sangputan floodplain

CHAPTER 3: LIDAR DATA PROCESSING OF THE SANGPUTAN FLOODPLAIN

Engr. Ma. Ailyn L. Olanda, Engr. Chelou P. Prado, and Jovy Anne S. Narisma The methods applied in this Chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Ang, et al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et al. (2017).

3.1 Overview of the LIDAR Data Pre-Processing

The data transmitted by the Data Acquisition Component (DAC) were checked for completeness based on the list of raw files required to proceed with the pre-processing of the LiDAR data. Upon acceptance of the LiDAR field data, georeferencing of the flight trajectory was done in order to obtain the exact location of the LiDAR sensor when the laser was shot. Point cloud georectification was performed to incorporate the correct position and orientation for each point acquired. The georectified LiDAR point clouds were subjected to quality checking to ensure that the required accuracies of the program, which are the minimum point density, and vertical and horizontal accuracies, were met. The point clouds were then classified into various classes before generating Digital Elevation Models (DEMs), such as the Digital Terrain Model (DTM) and the Digital Surface Model (DSM).

Using the elevation of points gathered in the field, the LiDAR-derived digital models were calibrated. Portions of the river that were barely penetrated by the LiDAR system were replaced by the actual river geometry, measured from the field by the Data Validation and Bathymetry Component (DVBC). LiDAR acquired temporally were then mosaicked to completely cover the target river systems in the Philippines. Orthorectification of images acquired simultaneously with the LiDAR data was accomplished through the help of the georectified point clouds and the metadata containing the time the image was captured. These processes are summarized in the diagram shown in Figure 11.



3.2 <u>Transmittal</u> of Acquired LiDAR Data

Data transfer sheets for all the LiDAR missions for the Sangputan floodplain can be found in Annex 5. Missions flown during the first survey, conducted in April 2014, used the Airborne LiDAR Terrain Mapper (ALTM[™] Optech Inc.) Aquarius system. On the other hand, missions acquired during the last survey in January 2016 were flown using the Gemini system over Leyte. The DAC transferred a total of 146.30 Gigabytes of Range data, 1.92 Gigabytes of POS data, 56.16 Megabytes of GPS base station data, and 177.80 Gigabytes of raw image data to the data server on April 22, 2014 for the first survey, and on January 24, 2016 for the last survey. The Data Pre-processing Component (DPPC) verified the completeness of the transferred data. The whole dataset for Sangputan was fully transferred on February 12, 2016, as indicated on the data transfer sheets for the Sangputan floodplain.

3.3 Trajectory Computation

The Smoothed Performance Metric parameters of the computed trajectory for flight 3771G, one of the Sangputan flights, which are the North, East, and Down position RMSE values, are exhibited in Figure 12. The x-axis corresponds to the time of flight, which is measured by the number of seconds from the midnight of the start of the GPS week, which fell on January 23, 2016 at 00:00 hours on that week. The y-axis is the RMSE value for that particular position.



Figure 12. Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters of Sangputan Flight 3771G

The time of flight was from 541500 seconds to 553500 seconds, which corresponds to the afternoon of January 23, 2016. The initial spike reflected on the data corresponds to the time that the aircraft was getting into position to start the acquisition, and the POS system was starting to compute for the position and orientation of the aircraft. Redundant measurements from the POS system quickly minimized the RMSE value of the positions. The periodic increase in RMSE values from an otherwise smoothly curving RMSE values correspond to the turn-around period of the aircraft, when the aircraft makes a turn to start a new flight line. Figure 12 shows that the North position RMSE peaked at 0.80 centimeters, the East position RMSE peaked at 1.05 centimeters, and the Down position RMSE peaked at 2.80 centimeters, which are all within the prescribed accuracies described in the methodology.



Figure 13. Solution Status Parameters of Sangputan Flight 3771G

The Solution Status parameters of flight 3771G, one of the Sangputan flights, which are the number of GPS satellites, Positional Dilution of Precision (PDOP), and the GPS processing mode used, are presented in Figure 13. The graphs indicate that the number of satellites during the acquisition did not go down to six (6). Majority of the time, the number of satellites tracked was between eight (8) and twelve (12). The PDOP value also did not go above the value of three (3), which indicates optimal GPS geometry. The processing mode remained at the value of zero (0) for majority of the survey with some peaks up to one (1), attributed to the turns performed by the aircraft. The value of zero (0) corresponds to a Fixed, Narrow-Lane mode, which is the optimum carrier-cycle integer ambiguity resolution technique available for POSPAC MMS. All of the parameters satisfied the accuracy requirements for optimal trajectory solutions, as indicated in the methodology. The computed best estimated trajectory for all Sangputan flights is shown in Figure 14.

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure 14. The best estimated trajectory conducted over the Sangputan floodplain

3.4 LiDAR Point Cloud Computation

The produced LAS data contains 113 flight lines, with each flight line containing one (1) channel, since the Gemini and Aquarius systems both contain only one (1) channel. The self-calibration results obtained from LiDAR processing in the LiDAR Mapping Suite (LMS) software for all flights over the Sangputan floodplain are summarized in Table 13.

Table 13. Self-calibration results for the Sangputan flights					
Parameter		Computed Value			
Boresight Correction stdev	(<0.001degrees)	0.000620			
IMU Attitude Correction Roll and Pitch Correction	ctions stdev (<0.001degrees)	0.000999			
GPS Position Z-correction stdev	(<0.01meters)	0.0071			

Optimum accuracy was obtained for all Sangputan flights, based on the computed standard deviations of the corrections of the orientation parameters. Standard deviation values for individual blocks are available in Annex 8: Mission Summary Reports.

3.5 LiDAR Data Quality Checking

The boundaries of the processed LiDAR data on top of a SAR Elevation Data over the Sangputan floodplain are illustrated in Figure 15. The map shows gaps in the LiDAR coverage that are attributed to cloud coverage.



Figure 15. Boundaries of the processed LiDAR data over the Sangputan floodplain

The total area covered by the Sangputan missions is 716.13 sq. km., comprised of eight (8) flight acquisitions grouped and merged into seven (7) blocks, as shown in Table 14.

LiDAR Blocks	Flight Numbers	Area (sq. km)	
Samar_Leyte_Blk34C	1456A	93.61	
Samar_Leyte_Blk34D	1454A	97.51	
Samar_Leyte_Blk34E	1366A	111.50	
Leyte Blk34C	3771G	145.96	
	3773G		
Leyte Blk34D	3767G	84.89	
	3773G		
Leyte Blk34E	3765G	171.26	
	3767G		
Leyte Blk34E_additional	3769G	11.40	
TOTAL	716.13 sq.km		

Table 14.	List of LiDAI	R blocks	for the	Sangputan	floodplain
100010110	LIGE OF LIDITI	2 010 0100	101 0110	Shingp	neeepin

The overlap data for the merged LiDAR blocks, showing the number of channels that pass through a particular location, is exhibited in Figure 16. Since the Gemini and Aquarius systems both employ only one (1) channel, it is expected to have an average value of 1 (blue) for areas where there is limited overlap, and a value of 2 (yellow) or more (red) for areas with three or more overlapping flight lines.



Figure 16. Image of data overlap for Sangputan floodplain

The overlap statistics per block for the Sangputan floodplain can be found in Annex 8. One (1) pixel corresponds to 25.0 square meters on the ground. For this area, the minimum and maximum percent overlaps are 29.29% and 53.44% respectively, which passed the 25% requirement.

The pulse density map for the merged LiDAR data, with the red parts showing the portions of the data that satisfy the two (2) points per square meter criterion, is presented in Figure 17. It was determined that all LiDAR data for the Sangputan floodplain satisfy the point density requirement, and that the average density for the entire survey area is 3.82 points per square meter.



Figure 17. Pulse density map of merged LiDAR data for the Sangputan floodplain

The elevation difference between overlaps of adjacent flight lines is shown in Figure 18. The default color range is from blue to red, where bright blue areas correspond to portions where elevations of a previous flight line, identified by its acquisition time, are higher by more than 0.20m relative to elevations of its adjacent flight line. Bright red areas indicate portions where elevations of a previous flight line. Bright red areas indicate portions where elevations of a previous flight line are lower by more than 0.20m relative to elevations of its adjacent flight line. Areas with bright red or bright blue were investigated further using the Quick Terrain (QT) Modeler software.



Figure 18. Elevation difference map between flight lines for Sangputan floodplain

A screen capture of the processed LAS data from Sangputan flight 3771G loaded in the QT Modeler is shown in Figure 19. The upper left image shows the elevations of the points from two overlapping flight strips traversed by the profile, illustrated by a dashed yellow line. The x-axis corresponds to the length of the profile. It is evident that there were differences in elevation, but the differences did not exceed the 20-centimeter mark. This profiling was repeated until the quality of the LiDAR data became satisfactory. No reprocessing was done for this LiDAR dataset.



Figure 19. Quality checking for Sangputan flight 3771G using the Profile Tool of QT Modeler

3.6 LiDAR Point Cloud Classification and Rasterization

Pertinent Class	Total Number of Points	
Ground	384,464,263	
Low Vegetation	405,448,941	
Medium Vegetation	825,932,147	
High Vegetation	519,361,151	
Building	10,153,994	

Table 15. Sangputan classification results in TerraScan

The tile system that the TerraScan employed for the LiDAR data and the final classification image for a block in the Sangputan floodplain is presented in Figure 20. A total of 906 1km by 1km tiles were produced. The number of points classified according to the pertinent categories is illustrated in Table 15. The point cloud had a maximum and minimum height of 582.56 meters and 59.09 meters, respectively.
Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure 20. (a) Tiles for the Sangputan floodplain; and (b) classification results in TerraScan

An isometric view of an area before and after running the classification routines is provided in Figure 21. The ground points are in orange, the vegetation is in different shades of green, and the buildings are in cyan. It can be seen that residential structures adjacent or even below canopy were classified correctly, due to the density of the LiDAR data.



Figure 21. Point cloud (a) before and (b) after classification

The production of last return (V_ASCII) and the secondary (T_ASCII) DTM, and the first (S_ASCII) and last (D_ASCII) return DSM of the area, in top view display are illustrated in Figure 22. It shows that DTMs are the representation of the bare earth, while the DSMs reflect all features that are present, such as buildings and vegetation.



Figure 22. The production of (a) last return DSM and (b) DTM, (c) first return DSM and (d) secondary DTM in some portion of the Sangputan floodplain

3.7 LiDAR Image Processing and Orthophotograph Rectification

The 454 1km by 1km tiles area covered by the Sangputan floodplain is shown in Figure 23. After employing tie point selection to fix photo misalignments, color points were added to smoothen out visual inconsistencies along the seamlines where photos overlap. The Sangputan floodplain survey attained a total of 302.27 sq. km. in orthophotogaphic coverage, comprised of 3,277 images. Zoomed-in versions of sample orthophotographs, identified by their tile numbers, are exhibited in Figure 24.



Figure 23. The Sangputan floodplain with available orthophotographs



Figure 24. Sample orthophotographic tiles for the Sangputan floodplain

3.8 DEM Editing and Hydro-Correction

Seven (7) mission blocks were processed for the Sangputan floodplain. These blocks are composed of SamarLeyte and Leyte blocks, with a total area of 716.13 square kilometers. Table 16 lists the name and corresponding area of each block, in square kilometers.

LiDAR Blocks	Area (sq.km)
SamarLeyte_Blk34D	97.51
SamarLeyte_Blk34E	111.50
Leyte_Blk34C	145.96
SamarLeyte_Blk34C	93.61
Leyte_Blk34D	84.89
Leyte_Blk34E	171.26
Leyte_Blk34E_additional	11.40
TOTAL	716.13 sq.km

Table 16. LiDAR blocks with their corresponding areas

Figure 25 shows portions of the DTM before and after manual editing. As evident in the figure, areas with no data along water bodies had to be interpolated for hydrologic correction. The bridge (Figure 25a) was considered to be an impedance to the flow of water along the river, and had to be removed (Figure 25b). The paddy field (Figure 25c) had been misclassified and removed during the classification process, and had to be retrieved to complete the surface (Figure 25d), to allow for the correct flow of water.



Figure 25. Portions in the DTM of the Sangputan floodplain – a bridge (a) before and (b) after manual editing; and a paddy field (c) before and (d) after data retrieval

3.9 Mosaicking of Blocks

No assumed reference block was used in mosaicking because the identified reference for shifting was an existing calibrated Tacloban DEM, overlapping with the blocks to be mosaicked. Table 17 enumerates the shift values applied to each LiDAR block during mosaicking.

Mosaicked LiDAR DTM for the Sangputan floodplain is shown in Figure 26. It is visible that the entire Sangputan floodplain is 100% covered by LiDAR data.

Mission Blocks	Shift Values (meters)					
	x	У	Z			
SamarLeyte_Blk34D	0.00	0.00	-0.59			
SamarLeyte_Blk34E	0.00	0.00	-0.59			
Leyte_Blk34C	0.00	-1.00	-1.13			
SamarLeyte_Blk34C	0.00	0.00	-0.67			
Leyte_Blk34D	0.00	0.00	0.48			
Leyte_Blk34E	0.00	0.00	-1.22			
Leyte_Blk34E_additional	No Overlapped Area	No Overlapped Area	No Overlapped Area			

Table 17. Shift values of each LiDAR block of the Sangputan floodplain



Figure 26. Map of processed LiDAR data for the Sangputan floodplain

3.10 Calibration and Validation of Mosaicked LiDAR DEM

To undertake the data validation of the Mosaicked LiDAR DEMs, the DVBC conducted a validation survey along the Sangputan floodplain. The extent of the validation survey in Sangputan to collect points with which the LiDAR dataset was validated is shown in Figure 27, with the validation survey points highlighted in green. A total of 3,325 survey points were gathered for the Sangputan floodplain. However, the point dataset was not used for the calibration of the LiDAR data for Sangputan because during the mosaicking process, each LiDAR block was referred to the calibrated Tacloban DEM. Therefore, the mosaicked DEM of Sangputan can already be considered as a calibrated DEM.

A good correlation between the uncalibrated Tacloban LiDAR DTM and the ground survey elevation values is reflected in Figure 28. Statistical values were computed from extracted LiDAR values using the selected points, to assess the quality of data and to obtain the value for vertical adjustment. The computed height difference between the LiDAR DTM and calibration points is 0.14 meters, with a standard deviation of 0.13 meters. Calibration of Tacloban LiDAR data was done by subtracting the height difference value, 0.14 meters, from the Tacloban mosaicked LiDAR data. Table 18 summarizes the statistical values of the compared elevation values between the Tacloban LiDAR data and the calibration data. These values are also applicable to the Sangputan DEM.



Figure 27. Map of the Sangputan floodplain, with validation survey points in green



Figure 28. Correlation plot between the calibration survey points and the LiDAR data

Calibration Statistical Measures	Value (meters)
Height Difference	0.14
Standard Deviation	0.13
Average	-0.05
Minimum	-0.32
Maximum	0.22

Table 18. Calibration Statistical Measures

A total of 1,236 survey points lie within the Sangputan floodplain, and were used for the validation of the calibrated Sangputan DTM. A good correlation between the calibrated mosaicked LiDAR elevation values and the ground survey elevation, which reflects the quality of the LiDAR DTM, is presented in Figure 29. The computed RMSE between the calibrated LiDAR DTM and the validation elevation values is 0.15 meters, with a standard deviation of 0.08 meters, as shown in Table 19.



Figure 29. Correlation plot between the validation survey points and the LiDAR data

Validation Statistical Measures	Value (meters)
RMSE	0.15
Standard Deviation	0.08
Average	-0.13
Minimum	-0.28
Maximum	0.02

Table 19. Validation Statistical Measures

3.11 Integration of Bathymetric Data into the LiDAR Digital Terrain Model

For bathy integration, centerline and zigzag data were available for Sangputan, with 18,995 bathymetric survey points. The resulting raster surface produced was accomplished by employing the Kernel interpolation with barriers method. After burning the bathymetric data to the calibrated DTM, assessment of the interpolated surface is represented by the computed RMSE value of 0.28 meters. The extent of the bathymetric survey done by the DVBC in Sangputan, integrated with the processed LiDAR DEM, is shown in Figure 30.



Figure 30. Map of the Sangputan floodplain, with bathymetric survey points shown in blue

3.12 Feature Extraction

The features salient in flood hazard exposure analysis include buildings, road networks, bridges, and water bodies within the floodplain area, with a 200-m buffer zone. Mosaicked LiDAR DEM with 1 m resolution was used to delineate footprints of building features, consisting of residential buildings, government offices, medical facilities, religious institutions, and commercial establishments, among others. Road networks, comprised of main thoroughfares such as highways and municipal and barangay roads, are essential for routing disaster response efforts. These features are represented by a network of road centerlines.

3.12.1 Quality Checking of Digitized Features' Boundary

The Sangputan floodplain, including its 200-m buffer, has a total area of 192.20 sq. km. Of this area, a total of 6.0 sq. km, corresponding to a total of 1,194 building features, were considered for quality checking (QC). Figure 31 illustrate the QC blocks for the Sangputan floodplain.



FLOODPLAIN	COMPLETENESS	CORRECTNESS	QUALITY	REMARKS
Sangputan	100.00	100.00	99.92	PASSED

3.12.2 Height Extraction

Height extraction was done for 14,448 building features in the Sangputan floodplain. Of these building features, 118 were filtered out after height extraction, resulting in 14,330 buildings with height attributes. The lowest building height is at 2.00 m, while the highest building is at 6.98 m.

3.12.3 Feature Attribution

The digitized features were marked and coded in the field using handheld GPS receivers. The attributes of non-residential buildings were first identified, and then all other buildings were then coded as residential. An nDSM was generated using the LiDAR DEMs to extract the heights of the buildings. A minimum height of 2 meters was used to filter out the terrain features that were digitized as buildings. Buildings that were not yet constructed during the time of LiDAR acquisition were noted as new buildings in the attribute table. Table 21 summarizes the number of building features per type. Table 22 shows the total length of each road type, and Table 23 provides the number of water features extracted per type.

Facility Type	No. of Features		
Residential	13,527		
School	438		
Market	44		
Agricultural/Agro-Industrial Facilities	12		
Medical Institutions	14		
Barangay Hall	45		
Military Institution	0		
Sports Center/Gymnasium/Covered Court	10		
Telecommunication Facilities	4		
Transport Terminal	1		
Warehouse	16		
Power Plant/Substation	0		
NGO/CSO Offices	1		
Police Station	3		
Water Supply/Sewerage	3		
Religious Institutions	74		
Bank	0		
Factory	3		
Gas Station	3		
Fire Station	2		
Other Government Offices	35		
Other Commercial Establishments	95		
Total	14,330		

Table 21. Building Features extracted for the Sangputan floodplain

Table 22. Total length of extracted roads for the Sangputan floodplain						
Road Network Length (km)						
Floodplain	Barangay Road	City/Municipal Road	Provincial Road	National Road	Others	Total
Sangputan	134.25	21.49	9.20	39.29	0.00	204.23

Table 23. Number of extracted water bodies for the Sangputan floodplain

Floodplain	Rivers/ Streams	Lakes/ Ponds	Sea	Dam	Fish Pen	Total
Sangputan	104	0	0	0	2	106

A total of seventy-six (76) bridges and culverts over small channels that are part of the river network were also extracted for the floodplain.

3.12.4 Final Quality Checking of Extracted Features

All extracted ground features were completely given the required attributes. All these output features comprise the flood hazard exposure database for the floodplain. This completes the feature extraction phase of the project.

Figure 32 presents the Digital Surface Model (DSM) of the Sangputan floodplain, overlaid with its ground features.



CHAPTER 4: LIDAR VALIDATION SURVEY AND MEASUREMENTS OF THE SANGPUTAN RIVER BASIN

Engr. Louie P. Balicanta, Engr. Joemarie S. Caballero, Patrizcia Mae. P. dela Cruz, Engr. Kristine Ailene B. Borromeo, Engr. Mark Lester D. Rojas, Geol. Anthony Felix J. Abogado, and Engr. Caren Joy S. Ordoña

The methods applied in this Chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Balicanta, et al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et al. (2017).

4.1 Summary of Activities

The DVBC conducted field surveys in the Sangputan River on September 10-24, 2014 and March 9 – 23, 2016, with the following scope of work: (i.) initial reconnaissance; (ii.) control survey for the establishment of a control point; (iii.) cross-section survey of the Calay-Calay Bridge in Barangay Caray-Caray, Municipality of San Miguel, Leyte; (iv.) validation points data acquisition of about 33 km; and (v.) bathymetric survey from Barangay Guinciama down to the mouth of the river in Barangay Malpag in the Municipality of San Miguel, with an approximate length of 20.755 km., using Ohmex[™] single beam echo sounder and Trimble^{*} SPS 882 GNSS PPK survey technique (Figure 33).



Figure 33. Extent of the bathymetric survey (in blue line) in the Sangputan River and the LiDAR data validation survey (in red)

4.2 Control Survey

The GNSS network used for the Sangputan River Basin is composed of three (3) loops established on September 18 to 20, 2014 occupying the following reference points: (i.) LYT-101, a second-order GCP, located in Barangay Candahog, Municipality of Palo; and (ii.) LY-106, a second-order GCP, located in Barangay Luntad, Municipality of Palo.

Three (3) control points were established at the approach of bridges namely: (i.) UP-DAG at the Daguitan Bridge in Barangay Fatima, Municipality of Dulag; (ii.) UP-O at the Ormoc Merida Bridge in Barangay Liloan, Ormoc City; and (iii.) UP-STN at the Calay-calay Bridge in Barangay Caraycaray, Municipality of San Miguel. Two (2) arbitrary points were also observed to complete the network. These are AP1 and AP2, located at the corner of Maharlika Highway and an unnamed street going to Campetic Road in Barangay Campetik, Municipality of Palo; and inside the Burauen Church Plaza at the Julita Burauen Road corner Burauen-Dagami Road in Barangay Poblacion VII, Municipality of Burauen, Province of Leyte, respectively. The summary of reference and control points and their corresponding locations is given in Table 24, while the GNSS network established is illustrated in Figure 34.



Figure 34. GNSS Network of the Sangputan River field survey

(Source: NAMRIA; UP-TCAGP)									
	Geographic Coordinates (WGS 84)								
Control Point	Order of Accuracy	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoidal Height (m)	MSL Elevation (m)	Date Established			
LYT-101	2nd order, GCP	11°10'19.64869" N	125°00'43.78230" E	69.228	-	09-20-2014			
LY-106	1st order, BM	-	-	68.051	4.028	2007			
UP-DAG	UP Established	-	-	-	-	09-20-2014			
UP-O	UP Established	-	-	-	-	09-19-2014			
UP-STN	UP Established	-	-	-	-	09-11-2014			
AP1	Arbitrary	-	-	-	-	09-18-2014			
AP2	Arbitrary	-	-	-	-	09-20-2014			

The GNSS set-ups established at the locations of the reference and control points are exhibited in Figure 35 to Figure 39.



Figure 35. GNSS base set-up, Trimble® SPS 852, at LYT-101, located at the General McArthur Shrine in Barangay Candahog, Municipality of Palo, Leyte



Figure 36. GNSS base set-up, Trimble® SPS 985, at LY-106, located at the approach of the Bernard Reed Bridge along Maharlika Highway in Barangay Luntad, Municipality of Palo, Leyte



Figure 37. GNSS receiver set-up, Trimble® SPS 985, at UP-DAG, an established control point, located at the bridge approach of the Daguitan Bridge along Maharlika Highway in Barangay Fatima, Municipality of Dulag, Leyte



Figure 38. GNSS receiver set-up, Trimble® SPS 985, at UP-O, an established control point, located at the bridge approach of the Ormoc Merida Bridge along the Ormoc-Merida-Isabel-Palompon Road in Barangay. Liloan, Ormoc City, Leyte



Figure 39. GNSS base set-up, Trimble® SPS 852, at UP-STN, an established control point, located at the Pagbanganan Bridge approach in Barangay Poblacion Zone 12, City of Baybay, Leyte

4.3 Baseline Processing

The GNSS baselines were processed simultaneously in TBC by observing that all baselines have fixed solutions, with horizontal and vertical precisions within +/- 20 cm and +/- 10 cm requirement, respectively. In cases where one or more baselines did not meet all of these criteria, masking was performed. Masking is the removal of portions of these baseline data using the same processing software. It is repeatedly processed until all baseline requirements are met. If the reiteration yields out of the required accuracy, a re-survey is initiated. The baseline processing results of the control points in the Sangputan River Basin, generated by TBC software, is summarized in Table 25.

		0 1	01			,
Observation	Date of Observation	Solution Type	H.Prec. (Meter)	V.Prec. (Meter)	Geodetic Az.	Ellipsoid Dist. (Meter)
UP-STN UP-O (B2)	09-19-2014	Fixed	0.003	0.013	219°39'13"	45132.753
LY-106 AP1 (B4)	09-18-2014	Fixed	0.003	0.012	12°44'49"	2489.516
LY-106 UP- STN (B11)	09-18-2014	Fixed	0.005	0.042	317°02′38″	29477.609
LYT-101 UP-O (B1)	09-19-2014	Fixed	0.005	0.013	254°12'03"	52970.388
LYT-101AP1 (B6)	09-18-2014	Fixed	0.002	0.003	307°32′43″	1903.266
LYT-101 UP- STN (B10)	09-18-2014	Fixed	0.005	0.039	312°31'18"	30045.665

Table 25. Baseline Processing Report for the Sangputan River Basin Static Survey

As shown in Table 25, a total of twelve (12) baselines were processed, with reference points LYT-101 and LY-106 held fixed for grid and elevation values, respectively. All of the baselines satisfied the required accuracy.

4.4 Network Adjustment

After the baseline processing procedure, network adjustment was performed using TBC. Looking at the adjusted grid coordinates in Table 27 of the TBC-generated Network Adjustment Report, it is observed that the square root of the sum of the squares of x and y must be less than 20 cm and z less than 10 cm, or in equation form:

 $\sqrt{((x_e)^2 + (y_e)^2)}$ <20cm and $z_e < 10 \ cm$

Where:

 x_{e} is the Easting Error, y_{e} is the Northing Error, and z_{e} is the Elevation Error

z_e is the Elevation Error

for each control point. See the Network Adjustment Report shown in Table 26 to Table 28 for the complete details.

The five (5) control points, LY-338, LYT-737, LYT- 742, UP-CAM, and UP-PAG, and two (2) arbitrary points were occupied and observed simultaneously to form a GNSS loop. The coordinates of point LYT-101 and the elevation value of LY-106 were held fixed during the processing of the control points, as presented in Table 26. Through these reference points, the coordinates and elevation of the unknown control points were computed.

Table 26. Control Point Constraints								
Point ID	Туре	East σ (Meter)	North σ (Meter)	Height σ (Meter)	Elevation σ (Meter)			
LYT-101	Local	Fixed	Fixed					
LY-106	Grid				Fixed			
Fixed = 0.000001(Meter)								

The list of adjusted grid coordinates; i.e., Northing, Easting, Elevation, and computed standard errors of the control points in the network, are indicated in Table 27. The fixed control point LYT-101 has no values for grid errors; and LY-106, for elevation error.

Point ID	Easting (Meter)	Easting Error (Meter)	Northing (Meter)	Northing Error (Meter)	Elevation (Meter)	Elevation Error (Meter)	Constraint
LYT-101	1235759.250	?	719729.823	?	5.141	0.040	LL
LY-106	1234476.732	0.007	717679.601	0.006	4.028	?	е
UP-DAG	1209628.100	0.013	720942.270	0.009	5.993	0.077	е
UP-O	1220991.402	0.014	668855.819	0.010	8.719	0.076	
UP-STN	1255916.567	0.009	697443.625	0.007	8.835	0.070	
AP1	1236908.994	0.007	718212.616	0.007	4.834	0.051	
AP2	1213793.946	0.012	706851.618	0.010	56.317	0.079	

Table 27. Adjusted Grid Coordinates

The network was fixed at reference points LYT-101 with known coordinates, and LY-106 with known elevation. As indicated in Table 27, the standard errors (x_e and y_e) of LY-106 are 0.70 cm and 0.60 cm; UP-DAG with 1.30 cm and 0.90 cm; UP-O with 1.40 and 1.10 cm; UP-STN with 0.90 cm and 0.70 cm; AP1 with 0.70 cm and 0.70 cm; and AP2 with 1.20 cm and 1.0 cm, respectively. With the mentioned equation, for horizontal and for the vertical, the computations for accuracy are as follows:

LYT-101

Horizontal Accuracy =	Fixed
Vertical Accuracy =	4.0 cm < 10 cm

LY-106

Horizontal Accuracy =	$\sqrt{((1.30)^2 + (0.90)^2)}$				
=	√ (0.49 + 0.81)				
=	1.14 cm < 20 cm				
Vertical Accuracy =	Fixed				
UP-DAG Horizontal Accuracy =	√((0.70) ² + (0.90) ²)				
, =	√ (1.69 + 0.81)				
=	1.58 cm < 20 cm				
Vertical Accuracy = 7.7	0 cm < 10 cm				

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)

```
UP-O
   Horizontal Accuracy = \sqrt{((1.40)^2 + (1.10)^2)}
        = √ (1.96 + 1.21)
        = 1.78 cm < 20 cm
Vertical Accuracy = 7.60 cm < 10 cm
UP-STN
   Horizontal Accuracy = \sqrt{(0.90)^2 + (0.70)^2}
       = \sqrt{(0.81 + 0.49)}
       = 1.14 cm < 20 cm
Vertical Accuracy = 7.0 cm < 10 cm
AP1
    Horizontal Accuracy = \sqrt{(0.70)^2 + (0.70)^2}
       = \sqrt{(0.49 + 0.49)}
       = 0.98 cm < 20 cm
Vertical Accuracy = 5.10 cm < 10 cm
AP2
    Horizontal Accuracy = \sqrt{((1.20)^2 + (1.0)^2)}
       = \sqrt{(1.44 + 1.0)}
        = 1.56 cm < 20 cm
Vertical Accuracy = 7.9 cm < 10 cm
```

Following the given formula, the horizontal and vertical accuracy results of the two (2) occupied control points are within the required precision.

		-			
Point ID	Latitude	Latitude Longitude		Height Error (Meter)	Constraint
LY-106	N11°09'38.36982"	E124°59'35.93684"	68.051	?	е
UP-DAG	N10°56'09.12671"	E125°01'17.90763"	70.609	0.077	
UP-O	N11°02'28.97646"	E124°32'44.58922"	71.626	0.076	
UP-STN	N11°21'20.28504"	E124°48'33.44650"	71.793	0.070	
AP1	N11°10′57.39411″	E124°59'54.04241"	68.821	0.051	
AP2	N10°58'27.65859"	E124°53'34.80074"	120.385	0.079	

Table 28. Adjusted Geodetic Coordinates

The corresponding geodetic coordinates of the observed points are within the required accuracy, as shown in Table 28. Based on the results of the computation, the accuracy conditions are satisfied; hence, the required accuracy for the program was met.

The computed coordinates of the reference and control points used in the Sangputan River GNSS Survey are summarized in Table 29.

Table 29. Reference and control points used and its location (Source, INAMIRIA, UP-TCAGP)									
Control Point		Geograph	ic coordinates (WGS 8	UTM ZONE 51 N					
	Order of Accuracy	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoidal Height (m)	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	BM Ortho (m)		
LYT-101	2 nd Order, GCP	11°10'19.64869"	125°00'43.78230"	69.228	1235759.250	719729.823	5.141		
LY-106	1 st order, BM	11°09′38.36982″	124°59'35.93684"	68.051	1234476.732	717679.601	4.028		
UP-DAG	UP Established	10°56'09.12671"	125°01′17.90763″	70.609	1209628.100	720942.270	5.993		
UP-O	UP Established	11°02'28.97646"	124°32′44.58922″	71.626	1220991.402	668855.819	8.719		
UP-STN	UP Established	11°21'20.28504"	124°48′33.44650″	71.793	1255916.567	697443.625	8.835		
AP1	Arbitrary Point	11°10'57.39411"	124°59'54.04241"	68.821	1236908.994	718212.616	4.834		
AP2	Arbitrary Point	10°58′27.65859″	124°53′34.80074″	120.385	1213793.946	706851.618	56.317		

Table 29. Reference and control points used and its location (Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)

4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey and Water Level Marking

The cross-section and as-built survey was conducted on September 15, 2014 at the downstream side of the Calay-Calay Bridge located in Barangay Caray-Caray in the Municipality of San Miguel, using a survey-grade GNSS receiver Trimble[®] SPS 882 in PPK survey technique, as depicted in Figure 40.



Figure 40. Cross-section survey of Calay-Calay Bridge

The length of the cross-sectional line in the Calay-Calay Bridge is about 297.921 m, with 51 cross-sectional points acquired using UP-STN as the GNSS base station. The location map, cross-section diagram, and the accomplished bridge data form are shown in Figure 41, Figure 42, and Figure 43, respectively.



vidas	Nam	or Cal	av Calay Bridge			<u></u> D-+	o. 0/1E/14		
Bridge Name: Calay-Calay Bridge				Date: <u>9/15/14</u>					
River Name: Sapiniton River						Tim	ne: <u>1:00 PM</u>		
ocatio	on: Br	gy. Ca	ray-Caray, San Miguel, Le	yte					
urvey	/ Tean	n: Tea	m JMSon Calalang						
Flow condition: normal					Weather Condition: fair				
atitud	de: <u>11</u>	<u>l°21'2</u>	<u>2.45003" N</u>			Longitude: <u>124</u>	°48'35.74978″	<u>'E</u>	
3A1	BA2	Ab1			Ab2	BA4 BA = Br Ab = At	l: idge Approach P = putment D =	= Pier LC = Low C = Deck HC = High (
lovotio	on:	8.639	Deck (Please start your me <u>m</u> Width: 8	asurement from .8 m.	the left si	de of the bank facing do Span (B.	wnstream) A3-BA2): 94.27	2 m.	
evalio		St	ation (Distance from BA2)		Hig	h Chord Elevation	Low Ch	nord Elevation	
		St	ation (Distance from BA2)		Hig	h Chord Elevation	Low Cł	nord Elevation	
		St	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s	tart your measurem	Hig	h Chord Elevation 8.674	Low Ch	nord Elevation 7.584	
		St	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s	itart your measurem	Hig ent from the	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dow	Low Cł	nord Elevation 7.584	
		Statio	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s Dn(Distance from BA1)	tart your measurem	Hig ent from the	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dov Station(Distanc	Low Ch wnstream) e from BA1)	7.584 Elevation	
B/	A1	Statio	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0	tart your measurem Elevation 4.565 m	Hig ent from the BA3	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dow Station(Distanc 221.2	Low Ch wnstream) e from BA1) 27	7.584 Elevation 8.656 m	
B/	A1 A2	Statio	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955	Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dov Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6	Low Ch wnstream) e from BA1) 27 43	7.584 Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m	
B/ B/ B/	A1 A2 nent:	Statio	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955 ne abutment sloping?	Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m Yes ;	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4 If yes	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dow Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6 , fill in the following	Low Cr wnstream) e from BA1) 27 43 information:	Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m	
B/ B/ butm	A1 A2 nent:	Statio	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955 ne abutment sloping? Station (Di	Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m Yes ; istance fror	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4 If yes m BA1)	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dow Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6 ;, fill in the following	Low Ch wnstream) e from BA1) 27 43 information: Elevatio	Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m	
B/ B/ butm	A1 A2 nent:	Statio Is th	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955 ne abutment sloping? Station (Di	tart your measurem Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m Yes ; istance fror 133.986	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4 If yes m BA1)	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dow Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6 ; fill in the following	Low Ch wnstream) e from BA1) 27 43 information: Elevatio 3.228 r	nord Elevation 7.584 Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m	
B/	A1 A2 hent: Ab	Station Is the ol	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955 ne abutment sloping? Station (Di	Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m Yes ; istance fror 133.986 212.678	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4 If yes m BA1)	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dov Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6 5, fill in the following	Low Ch wnstream) e from BA1) 27 43 information: Elevatio 3.228 r 2.556 r	Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m	
B/	A1 A2 nent: Ab Ab	Statio Is the 01	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955 ne abutment sloping? Station (Di 2 Pier (Please start your mea	tart your measurem Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m Yes ; istance fror 133.986 212.678 surement from	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4 If yes m BA1) the left sid	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dov Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6 ;, fill in the following de of the bank facing dov	Low Ch wnstream) e from BA1) 27 43 information: Elevatio 3.228 r 2.556 r wnstream)	ord Elevation 7.584 Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m on n n	
B/	A1 A2 hent: Ab	Statio	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955 ne abutment sloping? Station (Di Pier (Please start your mea Shape:	Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m Yes ; istance from 133.986 212.678 surement from Circular	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4 If yes m BA1) the left sid	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dov Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6 s, fill in the following de of the bank facing dov er of Piers: 2	Low Ch wnstream) e from BA1) 27 43 information: Elevatio 3.228 r 2.556 r wnstream)	nord Elevation 7.584 Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m 0n n n	
B/	A1 A2 nent: Ab	Statio	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955 ne abutment sloping? Station (Di Pier (Please start your mea Shape: Station (Distance from	tart your measurem Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m Yes ; istance fror 133.986 212.678 surement from Circular n BA1)	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4 If yes m BA1) the left sic Numbe	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dov Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6 5, fill in the following de of the bank facing dov er of Piers: 2 Elevation	Low Cr wnstream) e from BA1) 27 43 information: Elevatio 3.228 r 2.556 r wnstream) Pier	Tord Elevation 7.584 Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m n n Width	
B/	A1 A2 nent: Ab Ab	Station Is the ol	ation (Distance from BA2) 150 Bridge Approach (Please s on(Distance from BA1) 0 126.955 ne abutment sloping? Station (Di Pier (Please start your mea Shape: Station (Distance fror 158.084	Elevation 4.565 m 8.639 m Yes ; istance from 133.986 212.678 surement from Circular n BA1)	Hig ent from the BA3 BA4 If yes m BA1) the left sid Numbe	h Chord Elevation 8.674 left side of the bank facing dov Station(Distanc 221.2 261.6 s, fill in the following de of the bank facing dov er of Piers: 2 Elevation 8.662	Low Ch winstream) e from BA1) 27 43 information: Elevatio 3.228 r 2.556 r winstream) Pier	Elevation 7.584 Elevation 8.656 m 8.352 m 0n n n Width L.4	

51

The water surface elevation in MSL of the Sangputan River, as shown in Figure 44, was determined using Trimble[®] SPS 882 in PPK mode technique on March 23, 2016 at 10:11 hours, with a value of 0.658 m in MSL. This was translated into a marking on one of the bridge's piers using digital level, which was used by the VSU Phil-LiDAR 1 Team as reference for flow data gathering and depth gauge deployment for the Sangputan River.



Figure 44. Water-level marking at one of the piers of the Calay-Calay Bridge

4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey

The validation points acquisition survey was conducted on September 23, 2014 using a survey-grade GNSS Rover receiver, Trimble[®] SPS 882, mounted on a pole attached to the side of vehicle, as exhibited in Figure 45. It was secured with a nylon rope to ensure that it was horizontally and vertically balanced. The antenna height was 2.560 m, measured from the ground up to the bottom of notch of the GNSS Rover receiver. The PPK technique utilized for the conduct of the survey was set to continuous topo mode, with UP-STN occupied as the GNSS base station throughout the conduct of the survey.



Figure 45. Validation points acquisition survey set-up

The validation points acquisition survey for the Sangputan River Basin traversed the following municipalities in the province of Leyte: Carigara, Barugo, San Miguel and Alangalang. The route of the survey aimed to traverse LiDAR flight strips perpendicularly along the basin. A total of 4,375 points, with an approximate length of 33 km, was acquired for the validation point acquisition survey. This is presented in the map in Figure 46.



Figure 46. Extent of the LiDAR ground validation survey of the Sangputan River Basin

4.7 Bathymetric Survey

A bathymetric survey was executed on March 20-21, 2016 using a Trimble[®] SPS 882 in GNSS PPK survey technique on continuous topo mode, and an Ohmex[™] single beam echo sounder, as illustrated in Figure 47. The survey started at the mid-upstream side of the river in Barangay Bahay in the Municipality of San Miguel, with coordinates 11°22′04.19744″N, 124°47′58.63076″E; and ended at the mouth of the river in Barangay Malpag in San Miguel, with coordinates 11°22′04.19744″N, 124°47′58.63076″E; and ended at the mouth of the river in Barangay Malpag in San Miguel, with coordinates 11°22′44.47751″N, 124°47′48.01063″E.

On the other hand, a manual bathymetric survey was conducted on March 21-22, 2016, using a Trimble[®] SPS 882 in GNSS PPK survey technique on continuous topo mode. The survey ran from the uppermost extent of the survey in Barangay Guinciaman in the Municipality of San Miguel, with coordinates 11°17′47.12757″N, 124°51′34.26959″E. The survey team then traversed down by foot, and ended at the starting point of bathymetric survey by boat. The control point UP-STN was used as the GNSS base station all throughout the survey.



Figure 47. Bathymetry by boat set-up for the Sangputan River survey

The planned bathymetric survey for the Sangputan River only covers 5.42 km of the river, from the mouth of the river until the Calay-Calay Bridge. However, the VSU Phil-Lidar 1 Team requested to extend the bathymetric survey. According to them, the water-level downstream of the Calay-Calay Bridge is still affected by the tide. The VSU Phil-LiDAR 1 Team also stated that there are still communities residing near the upstream side of the river, justifying their deployment site for flow-data gathering. The deployment site is located 15 km from the bridge, which is the most upstream point of the actual bathymetric survey. The bathymetric survey gathered a total of 19,422 points, covering 11.254 km of the river. The survey traversed the following barangays from the upstream side of the river: Barangay Guinciaman, Barangay Canap, Barangay Santol,Barangay Kinamalasan, Barangay Impo, Barangay. Caraycaray, Barangay San Andres, and Barangay Malpag. A CAD drawing was also produced to illustrate the riverbed profile of the Sangputan River. As shown in Figure 49, the highest and lowest elevation has a 12-meter difference. The highest elevation observed was 6.951 m above MSL, located in Barangay Guinciaman, while the lowest was 5.234 m below MSL, located in Barangay Caraycaray.









CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING

Dr. Alfredo Mahar Lagmay, Christopher Uichanco, Sylvia Sueno, Marc Moises, Hale Ines, Miguel del Rosario, Kenneth Punay, and Neil Tingin

The methods applied in this Chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Lagmay, et al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et al. (2017).

5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling

5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves

Rainfall, water level, and flow in a certain period of time, which are components and data that affect the hydrologic cycle of the river basin, were monitored, collected, and analyzed.

5.1.2 Precipitation

Precipitation data was taken from two (2) automatic rain gauges (ARGs) installed by the Department of Science and Technology – Advanced Science and Technology Institute (DOST-ASTI). These are the Alangalang and the Kaglawan ARGs. The locations of the rain gauges are seen in Figure 51.

Total rain collected from the Kaglawan rain gauge measured 17.80 mm. It peaked at 0.40 mm on December 30, 2014 at 12:30 hours. The lag time between the peak rainfall and discharge was 5 hours and 50 minutes. For the Alangalang rain gauge, total rain for this event was 145 mm. Peak rain of 12.5 mm was recorded on December 29, 2014 at 21:45 hours. The lag time between the peak rainfall and discharge was 8 hours and 35 minutes.



5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow

A rating curve was computed using the prevailing cross-section (Figure 52) at the Capilihan Bridge in Barangay Capilihan, San Miguel, Leyte (11°17'43.69"N, 124°51'35.88"E) to establish the relationship between the observed water levels (H) at the Capilihan Bridge and the outflow (Q) of the watershed at this location.



For the Capilihan Bridge, the rating curve is expressed as $Q = 0.0856e^{1.0439H}$, as shown in Figure 53.

Figure 53. Rating Curve at the Capilihan Bridge, San Miguel, Leyte

Expon. (Field Data Points)

This rating curve equation was used to compute for the river outflow at the Capilihan Bridge for the calibration of the HEC-HMS model, as presented in Figure 54. Total rain from the Kaglawan rain gauge is 17.80 mm. It peaked at 0.40 mm on December 30, 2014 at 12:30 hours. For the Alangalang rain gauge, total rain for this event was 145 mm. Peak rain of 12.5 mm was recorded on December 29, 2014 at 21:45 hours. Peak discharge was 175.4 cubic meters per second on December 30, 2014 at 5:40 hours. The lag time between the peak rainfall of Kaglawan and discharge was 5 hours and 50 minutes. The lag time between the peak rainfall of the Alangalang rain gauge and discharge was 8 hours and 35 minutes.

5.2 RIDF Station

The Philippines Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA) computed for the Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (RIDF) values for the Tacloban Rain Gauge (Table 30). This station chosen based on its proximity to the Sangputan watershed (Figure 55). The RIDF rainfall amount for twenty-four (24) hours was converted into a synthetic storm by interpolating and re-arranging the values such that certain peak values were attained at a certain time. The extreme values for this watershed were computed based on a 59-year record.

COMPUTED EXTREME VALUES (in mm) OF PRECIPITATION									
T (yrs)	10 mins	20 mins	30 mins	1 hr	2 hrs	3 hrs	6 hrs	12 hrs	24 hrs
2	17.8	26.9	33.6	42.8	59.7	70.5	87.2	104	120.6
5	24.3	36.7	45.7	57.4	80.7	95.2	117.9	140.6	161.4
10	28.5	43.2	53.7	67.1	94.6	111.5	138.2	164.9	188.4
15	30.9	46.8	58.3	72.5	102.5	120.7	149.6	178.6	203.7
20	32.6	49.4	61.4	76.3	108	127.1	157.7	188.1	214.3
25	33.9	51.4	63.9	79.3	112.2	132.1	163.8	195.5	222.6
50	37.9	57.5	71.4	88.3	125.2	147.4	182.9	218.2	247.9
100	41.8	63.5	78.9	97.3	138.2	162.5	201.8	240.8	273

Table 30. RIDF values for the Tacloban Rain Gauge computed by PAGASA







Figure 56. Synthetic storm generated for a 24-hr period rainfall for various return periods

5.3 HMS Model

The soil shapefile was taken from the Bureau of Soils and Water Management (BSWM) under the Department of Agriculture (DA). The land cover dataset is from the National Mapping and Resource information Authority (NAMRIA). These soil datasets were taken before 2004. The soil and land cover of the Sangputan River Basin are shown in Figures 57 and 58, respectively.




Figure 58. Land Cover Map of the Sangputan River Basin (Source: NAMRIA)

For Sangputan, the soil classes identified were silt, clay, sandy loam, rough mountainous land, and undifferentiated soil. The land cover types identified were forest plantations, marshlands, built-up land, and cultivated areas.





Figure 60. Stream Delineation Map of the Sangputan River Basin

Using the SAR-based DEM, the Sangputan basin was delineated and further subdivided into sub basins. The model consists of seventeen (17) sub basins, eight (8) reaches, and seven (7) junctions, as illustrated in Figure 61. The main outlet is at the Capilihan Bridge. The Sangputan Model Reach Parameters are provided in Annex 10. Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure 61. The Sangputan River Basin model generated in HEC-HMS

5.4 Cross-section Data

Riverbed cross-sections of the watershed were necessary in the HEC-RAS model set-up. The cross-section data for the HEC-RAS model was derived from the LiDAR DEM data. It was defined using the Arc GeoRAS tool and was post-processed in ArcGIS (Figure 62).



124° 50'0"E

Figure 62. River cross-section of the Sangputan River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool

5.5 Flo 2D Model

[insert 2d report]



Figure 63. A screenshot of the river sub-catchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro

5.6 Results of HMS Calibration

After calibrating the Sangputan HEC-HMS river basin model, its accuracy was measured against the observed values. Figure 64 shows the comparison between the two discharge data. See Annex 9 for the Sangputan Model Basin Parameters.



Figure 64. Outflow Hydrograph of Sangputan produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow

Enumerated in Table 31 are the adjusted ranges of values of the parameters used in calibrating the model.

	Table	31. Range of Calibrated Val	ues for Sangputan	
Hydrologic Element	Calculation Type	Method	Parameter	Range of Calibrated Values
	Locs	SCS Curve number	Initial Abstraction (mm)	5 — 50
	LUSS	SCS Curve number	Curve Number	44 - 86
Decin	Transform	Clark Unit Undragraph	Time of Concentration (hr)	3 – 30
DdSIII	ITANSIOTTI		Storage Coefficient (hr)	0.4 – 5
	Deceflow	Decession	Recession Constant	0.8
	Basenow	Recession	Ratio to Peak	0.1
Reach	Routing	Muskingum-Cunge	Manning's Coefficient	0.05

Initial abstraction defines the amount of precipitation that must fall before surface runoff. The magnitude of the outflow hydrograph increases as initial abstraction decreases. The range of values from 5mm to 50mm for initial abstraction means that there is a minimal to average amount of infiltration or rainfall interception by vegetation.

The curve number is the estimate of the precipitation excess of soil cover, land use, and antecedent moisture. The magnitude of the outflow hydrograph increases as the curve number increases. The range of 44 to 86 for the curve number is advisable for Philippine watersheds, depending on the soil and land cover of the area (M. Horritt, personal communication, 2012). For Sangputan, the basin mostly consists of brushlands, and the soil consists of clay, clay loam, and mountain soil.

The time of concentration and storage coefficient are the travel time and index of temporary storage of runoff in a watershed. The range of calibrated values from 0.4 hours to 30 hours determines the reaction time of the model, with respect to the rainfall. The peak magnitude of the hydrograph also decreases when these parameters are increased.

The recession constant is the rate at which baseflow recedes between storm events; and ratio to peak is the ratio of the baseflow discharge to the peak discharge. A recession constant of 0.8 indicates that the basin is unlikely to quickly return to its original discharge and will be higher instead. A ratio to peak of 0.1 indicates a steeper receding limb of the outflow hydrograph.

A Manning's roughness coefficient of 0.05 corresponds to a higher roughness compared to the common roughness of Philippine watersheds.

RMSE	13.6
r²	0.9991
NSE	0.88
PBIAS	-14.06
RSR	0.21

Table 32. Summary of the Efficiency Test of Sangputan HMS Model

The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) method aggregates the individual differences of these two measurements. It was computed as 13.6 (m3/s).

The Pearson correlation coefficient (r²) assesses the strength of the linear relationship between the observations and the model. This value being close to 1 corresponds to an almost perfect match of the observed discharge and the resulting discharge from the HEC HMS model. Here, it was measured at 0.9991.

The Nash-Sutcliffe (E) method was also used to assess the predictive power of the model. Here the optimal value is 1. The model attained an efficiency coefficient of 0.88.

A positive Percent Bias (PBIAS) indicates a model's propensity towards under-prediction. Negative values indicate bias towards over-prediction. The optimal value is 0. In the model, the PBIAS is -14.06.

The Observation Standard Deviation Ratio, RSR, is an error index. A perfect model attains a value of 0 when the error units of the values are quantified. The model has an RSR value of 0.36.

5.7 Calculated outflow hydrographs and Discharge values for different rainfall return periods

5.7.1 Hydrograph using the Rainfall Runoff Model

The summary graph (Figure 65) shows the Sangputan outflow using the Tacloban RIDF in five (5) different return periods (5-year, 10-year, 25-year, 50-year, and 100-year rainfall time series) based on the PAGASA data. The simulation results reveal a significant increase in outflow magnitude as the rainfall intensity increases, for a range of durations and return periods.



Figure 65. Outflow hydrograph at the Sangputan Station generated using Tacloban RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS

A summary of the total precipitation, peak rainfall, peak outflow, and time to peak of the Sangputan discharge using the Tacloban RIDF in five (5) different return periods is given in Table 33.

1 di	SIE 55. FEAK VALUES OF THE SA		woder outflow using t	
RIDF Period	Total Precipitation (mm)	Peak rainfall (mm)	Peak outflow (m 3/s)	Time to Peak
5-Year	161.40	24.30	341.60	8 hours, 20 minutes
10-Year	188.40	28.50	435	8 hours, 10 minutes
25-Year	222.60	33.90	557.70	7 hours, 30 minutes
50-Year	247.90	37.90	650.60	7 hours, 40 minutes
100-Year	273	41.80	745.20	7 hours, 30 minutes

Table 33. Peak values of the Sangputan HEC-HMS Model outflow using the Tacloban RIDF

5.8 River Analysis (RAS) Model Simulation

The HEC-RAS Flood Model produced a simulated water level at every cross-section, for every time step, for every flood simulation created. The resulting model will be used in determining the flooded areas within the model. The simulated model will be an integral part in determining the real-time flood inundation extent of the river after it has been automated and uploaded on the DREAM website. For this publication, only a sample output map river is presented, since only the DVC high flow was calibrated. The sample generated map of the Sangputan River using the calibrated HMS high flow is shown in Figure 66.



Figure 66. Sample output map of the Sangputan RAS Model

5.9 Flow Depth and Flood Hazard

The resulting hazard and flow depth maps have a 10m resolution. Figure 67 to Figure 72 exhibit the 5-, 25-, and 100-year rain return scenarios of the Sangputan floodplain.

The floodplain, with an area of 287.75 sq. km., covers Tacloban City, and five (5) municipalities, namely Alangalang, Barugo, Jaro, San Miguel, and Tunga. Table 34 summarizes the percentage of area affected by flooding per municipality.

City / Municipality	Total Area	Area Flooded	% Flooded	
Alangalang	145.45	68.91	47%	
Barugo	81.25	64.41	79%	
Jaro	190.65	39.88	21%	
San Miguel	103.86	93.17	90%	
Tacloban City	118.46	13.01	11%	
Tunga	17.36	7.95	46%	

Table 34. Municipalities affected in Sangputan floodplain



Figure 67. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for the Sangputan Floodplain







5.10 Inventory of Areas Exposed to Flooding

Affected barangays in the Sangputan River Basin, grouped by municipality, are listed below. For the said basin, six (6) municipalities consisting of 118 barangays are expected to experience flooding when subjected to 5-year rainfall return period.

For the 5-year return period, 30.685% of the Municipality of Alangalang, with an area of 145.445 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 7.404% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 5.953%, 2.214%, 0.9199%, and 0.2483% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 35-38 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

Table 35. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

	soria	539196	570901	0	0	0	0
	Divi	0.0135	0.000				
	Cavite	37474861	59258523	14490295	57409308	12871361	50525539
		0.28	0.15	3 0.24)0.0{	0.0	0.0(
	Calaasan	0.97536167	0.43181175	0.600911578	0.024632054	0.0011	0
lang	abadsan	72035524	31071584	25326826	73484554	24822154	0849375
Alanga	Ű	1 0.9	0.33	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
3arangays in /	Bugho	5.013123984	2.72654549	2.245891381	0.534435717	0.023746996	0
ffected B	seth	521385	262717	552279	47138	149909	0
4	Bor	1.8825	0.3602	0.1615	0.098	0.1332	
	Blumentritt	0.110359174	0.043373645	0.017288429	0.007379594	0.0013	0
	Binotong	2.632708935	0.451685344	0.322149087	0.108660466	0.053111093	0.041703132
	Binongto-An	0.223406475	0.258738049	0.379613308	0.15678624	0.054052109	0
	IAN BASIN	0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00
	DADNAC		ее	ed Are km.)	fecte. (sd.	ţA	

Table 36. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

					Affected I	Barangays in Al	angalang			
DADNIAC		Ekiran	Holy Child I	Holy Child II	Hubang	Hupit	Lourdes	Lukay	Milagrosa	P. Barrantes
	0.03-0.20	2.559135194	0.362407622	0.113783735	1.322150107	2.294192946	1.522735414	1.347062262	0.047567555	1.942103708
ea	0.21-0.50	0.949031047	0.032419709	0.008518028	0.321662385	0.356802376	0.235606634	0.347528942	0.006272045	0.573264744
km.) km.)	0.51-1.00	0.545485606	0.010487785	0.001551135	0.492951682	0.152292109	0.197781484	0.231119668	0	0.629711075
fecte. bs)	1.01-2.00	0.173349683	0.004275214	0.00163666	0.264497958	0.173052215	0.134521111	0.040133069	0	0.131518844
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0.104738492	0.002862426	0.0001	0.038639139	0.108797577	0.01864114	0.019054922	0.000385116	0.023548842
	> 5.00	0.044412536	0	0	0.000691714	0	0	0.031483698	0	0.002308286

n Period
Returr
all
ainf
Ľ.
Yea
Ń
luring
Leyte
galang,
Alan
eas in
Ā
ected .
H
37. A
e.
[]
Тa

					Affected	Barangays in Al	angalang			
SANGPUT	IAN BASIN	Salvacion Poblacion	San Antonio Poblacion	San Francisco East	San Francisco West	San Roque	San Vicente	Santiago	Santo Niño	Sa
	0.03-0.20	0.204692116	0.000861301	2.82124687	1.96095603	0.1545830	2.9253316	3.4819639	0.043568705	2.94
ee	0.21-0.50	0.019332864	0.0001	0.750062314	0.262183547	0.0161503	0.8265203	0.4143592	0	0.125
ad Ard (.ms)	0.51-1.00	0.005945153	0	0.405178801	0.143054979	0.0042512	0.7112839	0.2360926	0	0.14(
fecte. (sg.	1.01-2.00	0	0	0.025662827	0.11644992	0.0021872	0.3083276	0.2912944	0	0.18
ţA	2.01-5.00	0	0	0.004914333	0.0085	0.0006	0.2427699	0.3245405	0	0.10
	> 5.00	0	0	0.002113468	0	0	0.107075384	0.0547854	0	0.00

Table 38. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

in Alangalang	Veteranos	0.090027274	0.00301871	0.001514406	0.000395747	0	0
Affected Areas	Tabangohay	6.3837511	0.758038511	0.546742148	0.298986102	0.00369641	0
AN BASIN		0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00
SANGPUT			ea	km.) km.)	fecte. (sd.	ţA	





For the 5-year return period, 64.247% of the Municipality of Barugo, with an area of 81.25 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 5.5906% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 3.8263%, 3.2985%, 1.9287%, and 0.3887% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 39-42 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

ı Period
all Returr
Year Rainf
during 5.
igo, Leyte
as in Baru
fected Are
ble 39. Af
Ца

					Affecte	d Barangays in	Barugo			
DADNAC	IAN BASIN	Amahit	Balud	Bukid	Bulod	Busay	Cabarasan	Cabolo-An	Calingcaguing	Can-Isak
	0.03-0.20	0.50489	3.23792	0.177973	3.737766	2.993216	1.581557	5.069372	0.94564	1.765703
ea	0.21-0.50	0.059132	0.253111	0.010075	0.254828	0.146048	0.434281	0.179717	0.087895	0.179536
km.) km.)	0.51-1.00	0.017467	0.119062	0.012069	0.199656	0.10557	0.150166	0.128753	0.065378	0.345203
fecte. (sd.	1.01-2.00	0.002373	0.036846	0.013048	0.153744	0.105267	0.014543	0.086339	0.034879	0.423756
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0	0.005461	0.000981	0.044573	0.042512	0	0.044902	0.017681	0.213889
	> 5.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0015	0.015593	0.007337

		Minuhang	1.174538	0.388312	0.13431	0.017792	0	0	
		lbag	2.334919	0.090403	0.094861	0.069416	0.011154	0	
		Hinugayan	0.921733	0.04875	0.062394	0.081115	0.023305	0.002102	
fall Return Period	Barugo	Hilaba	0.356604	0.073984	0.041867	0.043639	0.070783	0	
ıring 5-Year Rainl	ed Barangays in	Hiagsam	1.079958	0.04366	0.077399	0.169796	0.0795	0.0014	
ı Barugo, Leyte du	Affecte	Guindaohan	0.743882	0.071053	0.008478	0.001598	0	0	
Affected Areas in		Duka	4.724823	0.210589	0.143052	0.137958	0.047161	0.0002	
Table 40.		Domogdog	0.552476	0.116176	0.003612	0	0	0	
		Cuta	2.458271	0.486287	0.10561	0.002701	0	0	
		TAN BASIN	0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00	
		SANGPUT		ea	ed Are km.)	fecte. (sd.	ţA	_	

			Table 4	l. Affected Areas in	Barugo, Leyte dui	ing 5-Year Rain	fall Return Period			
					Affecte	d Barangays in	Barugo			
DADNIAC		Pikas	Pitogo	Poblacion Dist. I	Poblacion Dist. II	Poblacion Dist. III	Poblacion Dist. IV	Poblacion Dist. V	Poblacion Dist. VI	Pongso
	0.03-0.20	3.355602	2.155041	0.151929	0.153018	0.094611	0.11809	0.15514	0.123264	2.425209
ea	0.21-0.50	0.280649	0.100356	0.034784	0.035274	0.022993	0.005499	0.04698	0.029866	0.195402
a A re km.)	0.51-1.00	0.334581	0.117015	0.002067	0.007629	0.003027	0	0.005719	0.005967	0.239786
fecte (sd. l	1.01-2.00	0.30854	0.058531	0	0.003819	0	0	0	0	0.374246
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0.351404	0.005128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.230885
	> 5.00	0.167858	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0263
			Table 4	2. Affected Areas in	ı Barugo, Leyte du	ring 5-Year Rain	fall Return Period			
					Affecte	d Barangays in	Barugo			
DADNAC	NICED NE	Rooseve	lt	San Isidro	San Roqu	e S	anta Rosa	Santarin	•	Iutug-An
	0.03-0.20	1.96542		0.613062	1.023126	7	4.299734	0.044913		1.16159
е	0.21-0.50	0.138198	8	0.09238	0.043028		0.250386	0.026835		0.105938

0.109615

0.032252

0

0.0003

3.18E-05

0

0.060964

> 5.00

0.045503

0.02792

0.262983

0.034896

0.000702

0.168127

1.01-2.00

0.117651

0.006252

0

0.144205

2.01-5.00

0

0.089307

0.035053

0.245089

0.046075

0.047394

0.115224

0.51-1.00

Affected Area (.my .ps)





For the 5-year return period, 16.779% of the Municipality of Jaro, with an area of 190.65 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 1.8737% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 0.9473%, 0.868%, 0.4034%, and 0.0579% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 43-45 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

Affected Barangays in Jaro vista Bukid Buri Canapuan Canapuan Daro District 055 3.213216 2.309978 2.345971 0.521564 0.268453 0.342079 059 3.213216 2.309978 2.345971 0.521564 0.268453 0.342079 059 0.269336 0.22013 0.277677 0.094244 0.0003 0.004589 069 0.220163 0.131597 0.207237 0.094244 0.0003 0.0005697 341 0.165439 0.131597 0.092297 0.022133 0 0.005697 368 0.0092 0.156314 0.136307 0.019718 0 0 0.003749 68 0 0 1E-04 0 0 0 0 0 0				Table 4	3. Affected Areas i	n Jaro, Leyte duri	ing 5-Year Rainfa	ll Return Period			
vistaBukidBuriCanapuanCanapuanDaroDistrict0553.2132162.3099782.3459710.5215640.2684530.3420791990.2693360.220130.2776770.0942440.00030.0045890900.2201630.220130.2776770.0942440.00030.0045893410.1315970.0922970.0942440.000300.0056973410.1654390.1315970.0922970.019718000.0056973080.00920.0570940.0137490.00314000.0071566801E-04000000	NISAN					Affec	ted Barangays i	n Jaro			
055 3.213216 2.30978 2.345971 0.521564 0.268453 0.342079 499 0.269336 0.22013 0.277677 0.094244 0.0003 0.004589 009 0.220163 0.131597 0.207297 0.094244 0.0003 0.004589 010 0.220163 0.131597 0.092297 0.094244 0.0033 0.005697 030 0.220163 0.131597 0.092297 0.02213 0 0 0.005697 341 0.165439 0.131597 0.0922197 0.02213 0.003749 0 368 0.0092 0.06268 0.057094 0.00314 0 0 0.007156 68 0 0 16-04 0 0 0 0 0 0	Alahag Bias Zabala B	Alahag Bias Zabala B	Bias Zabala B	8	uenavista	Bukid	Buri	Canapuan	Canhandugan	Daro	District I
4900.2693360.220130.2776770.0942440.00030.0045890090.2201630.1315970.0922970.092213000.0056973410.1654390.13153070.0922070.019718000.0056973080.00920.062680.0570940.00314000.00715668001E-0400000	0.03-0.20 0.443863 0.969822 2.	0.443863 0.969822 2.	0.969822 2.	2.	716095	3.213216	2.309978	2.345971	0.521564	0.268453	0.342079
009 0.220163 0.131597 0.092297 0.02213 0 0.005697 341 0.165439 0.136307 0.019718 0 0 0.003749 368 0.0092 0.06568 0.057094 0.00314 0 0 0.007156 68 0 1E-04 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.21-0.50 0.04402 0.165758 0.5	0.04402 0.165758 0.5	0.165758 0.5	0.5	56499	0.269336	0.22013	0.277677	0.094244	0.0003	0.004589
341 0.165439 0.156314 0.136307 0.019718 0 0.003749 308 0.0092 0.06268 0.057094 0.00314 0 0.007156 68 0 1E-04 0 0 0 0 0	0.51-1.00 0.024127 0.052052 0.39	0.024127 0.052052 0.35	0.052052 0.35	0.39	3009	0.220163	0.131597	0.092297	0.02213	0	0.005697
308 0.0092 0.06268 0.057094 0.00314 0 0.007156 68 0 1E-04 0 0 0 0 0	1.01-2.00 0.010058 0.049806 0.40	0.010058 0.049806 0.40	0.049806 0.40	0.40	07341	0.165439	0.156314	0.136307	0.019718	0	0.003749
68 0 1E-04 0 0 0 0 0	2.01-5.00 0.001 0.00674 0.2	0.001 0.00674 0.2	0.00674 0.2	0.2	14808	0.0092	0.06268	0.057094	0.00314	0	0.007156
	> 5.00 0 0 0.0	0 0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0968	0	1E-04	0	0	0	0

g
ц.
و ا
H
IJ
E
G
R
f
÷
S
Ľ
ca.
ž
ìÒ
60
. Е
E
Ę
e.
X
وم ا
Ţ
5 C
Ja
ʻ dʻ
· 🗆
g
re
\mathbf{A}
ğ
Ę
S
Ĥ
A
4.
4
le
- <u>2</u>
Ĥ
-

	Malobago	1.443191	0.199029	0.10025	0.083265	0.0117	0	
	Macopa	0.41565	0.042408	0.014787	0.0004	0	0	¢
	Macanip	0.889135	0.150479	0.10653	0.091984	0.011343	0	
n Jaro	Kalinawan	1.213472	0.098499	0.016722	0.018759	0.003962	0	
ed Barangays ir	Kaglawaan	1.328859	0.183635	0.023843	0.003024	0	0	
Affect	Hiagsam	0.323625	0.01828	0.011775	0.008853	0.014749	0.006918	5
	District IV	0.471467	0.036323	0.013891	0.018464	0.016697	0	
	District III	0.497452	0.012266	6.03E-05	0	0	0	
	District II	0.414993	0.008081	0.004639	0.002601	0	0	
	AN BASIN	0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00	
	ANGPUL		ee	km.) km.)	fecte (sg.	ţΑ		

Table 45. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

				Affected Bara	ngays in Jaro		
DIDNIEC		Olotan	Pitogo	Sagkahan	Santo Ni§o	Tuba	Uguiao
	0.03-0.20	1.240276	2.039843	1.221589	0.18093	5.46333	1.715744
Бе	0.21-0.50	0.234105	0.306363	0.204024	0.019207	0.283558	0.14347
km.) km.)	0.51-1.00	0.034045	0.103932	0.112109	0.00791	0.2914	0.023189
fecte. (sd.	1.01-2.00	0.006054	0.063935	0.071491	0.001899	0.282291	0.053064
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0	0.029551	0.108335	0	0.204842	0.006082
	> 5.00	0	0	0.009128	0	0.084643	0





Figure 83. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 5-year return period, 65.286% of the Municipality of San Miguel, with an area of 103.86 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 8.7015% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 7.7691%, 6.0965%, 1.8356%, and 0.0848% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 46-48 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

		Cayare Guinciaman	2.225294 10.95976	0.274953 1.07906	0.126813 1.246262	0.03551 1.243128	0.009197 0.505036
ġ		Caraycaray	4.491204	0.407426	0.559178	0.447748	0.285734
ntall Keturn Perio	Miguel	Capilihan	6.866351	1.095277	1.303479	1.376654	0.288919
uring 5-Year Kai	arangays in San	Canap	3.956149	0.493338	0.412121	0.149699	0.0014
San Miguel, Leyte (Affected B	Cabatianuhan	2.164271	0.603032	0.402874	0.283608	0.027517
Alfected Areas in		Bairan	1.383273923	0.397367952	0.317529629	0.062596757	0.01100986
l able 46.		Ваћау	11.39944	1.389709	1.416112	1.421574	0.359178
		Bagacay	0.068808	0.007894	0.0005	0	0
			0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00
		DADNAC		ee	km.) km.)	fecte. (sd.	ţΑ

Table 47. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

		Pinarigusan	0.00186	0	0	0	0	0	
		Patong	4.614479	0.714156	0.375878	0.18118	0.126044	0	
		Mawodpawod	1.914446	0.167366	0.082425	0.039427	0.006944	0	
	an Miguel	Malpag	0.977716	0.250752	0.111154	0.031503	0.005193	0	
)	d Barangays in S	Malaguinabot	2.146815	0.44103	0.328971	0.036613	0.0026	0	
	Affecte	Lukay	2.831217	0.655561	0.277451	0.099983	0.0079	0	
		Libtong	0.700731624	0.094252853	0.108145919	0.093068037	0.0085	0	
		Kinalumsan	2.580005	0.124291	0.147316	0.202779	0.035909	0.001935	
		Impo	0.907919	0.157036	0.205733	0.051369	0.024108	0	
			0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00	
		DAINGLO		ee	km.) km.)	fecte. (sd.	ţA		

0.0215

0

0.059531

0.0001

0

0

0

0.0033

0

> 5.00

		Affecte	ed Barangays in San	Miguel
SANGPU	JIAN BASIN	San Andres	Santa Cruz	Santol
	0.03-0.20	1.247327	0.384423	5.984576446
e	0.21-0.50	0.185566	0.063281	0.436050328
ed Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.20625	0.026231	0.414562257
fecte (sq.	1.01-2.00	0.119949	0.002767	0.452649863
Af	2.01-5.00	0.023978	0.00092	0.176328368
	> 5.00	0.001	0	0.0007
4.5				
5 4.5 4 3.5 3				 Flood Depth (n > 5.00
5 4.5 4 3.5 3 2.5				Flood Depth (n > 5.00 2.01-5.00
5 4.5 4 3.5 3 2.5 2 15				Flood Depth (n > 5.00 = 2.01-5.00 = 1.01-2.00 = 0.51-1.00
5 4.5 4 3.5 3 2.5 2 1.5 1				Flood Depth (m > 5.00 = 2.01-5.00 = 1.01-2.00 = 0.51-1.00 = 0.21-0.50

Table 48. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period



Figure 86. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 5-year return period, 9.4055% of the City of Tacloban, with an area of 118.457 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.6501% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 0.5339%, 0.307%, 0.0831%, and 0.0014% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 49 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

	Tuble 19.1		uelobuli eley, Leye			
SANCO			Affected	Barangays in Ta	cloban	
SANGP	UTAN DASIN	Barangay 100	Barangay 103	Barangay 106	Barangay 93	Barangay 98
	0.03-0.20	1.465799	0.439695	0.27649	3.339615	5.619986
ga	0.21-0.50	0.13239	0.01484	0.007807	0.23969	0.375392
d Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.109793	0.005079	0.002807	0.141395	0.373363
fecte (sq.	1.01-2.00	0.029839	0.0007	0.001398	0.074703	0.257024
Af	2.01-5.00	0.0003	0.0001	0.000564	0.005871	0.091576
	> 5.00	0	0	0	0	0.0017
1.2 1 0.8 (sd. km.) 0.6 0.4	Barangay 10	0 Barangay 103	Barangay 106	Barangay 93	Barangay 98	Flood Depth (m) > 5.00 2.01-5.00 1.01-2.00 0.51-1.00 0.21-0.50

Table 49. Affected Areas in Tacloban City, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

Figure 87. Affected Areas in Tacloban City, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 5-year return period, 31.09% of the Municipality of Tunga, with an area of 17.3625 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 4.4424% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 4.83%, 3.4073%, 1.7265%, and 0.3791% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 50 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

		10010			, <i>Leyte during</i> 5			
C A					Affected Ba	rangay in Tun	ga	
JA	INGP	UTAN DASIN	Astorga	Balire	Banawang	San Pedro	San Roque	San Vicente
		0.03-0.20	1.548981	0.003604	3.176827	0.401533	0.230182	0.036973
ea l		0.21-0.50	0.429428	0.00096	0.172792	0.132864	0.034106	0.001174
d Are	km.)	0.51-1.00	0.513981	0.000886	0.140407	0.16023	0.020246	0.002863
fecte	(sq.	1.01-2.00	0.346188	0	0.173852	0.049351	0.015642	0.006566
¥		2.01-5.00	0.115068	0	0.157334	0.024097	0.003272	0
		> 5.00	0	0	0.065826	0	0	0
-						·	•	
	1.6							
	1.4							
2	1.2							Flood
۲¥.								Depth (m)
s,	1							■ > 5.00
Area	0.8							2.01-5.00
ed	06							1.01-2.00
ffect	0.0							0.51-1.00
ৰ	0.4							0.21-0.50
	0.2	<u> </u>		_	_			
	0							
	Ŭ	Astorga	Balire	Banawang	San Pedro	San Roque S	an Vicente	
				Barar	ngays			

Table 50. Affected Areas in Tunga, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

Figure 88. Affected Areas in Tunga, Leyte during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 25-year return period, 25.34% of the Municipality of Alangalang, with an area of 145.445 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 7.396% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 8.017%, 4.83%, 1.535%, and 0.32% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 51-54 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

curn Períod
-Year Rainfall Ret
5, Leyte during 25
eas in Alangalang
le 51. Affected Ar
Tab

Affected Area (.m.) (.m.)	AN BASIN 0.03-0.20 0.21-0.50 0.51-1.00 1.01-2.00 2.01-5.00	Binongto-An 0.069873257 0.180124683 0.433697321 0.313950908 0.313950908 0.074150013	Binotong 2.289190186 0.586158792 0.428216621 0.204413267 0.06153606	Blumentritt 0.029367584 0.046897065 0.07361078 0.073251078 0.00239585	Affected Borseth 1.565150671 0.451587456 0.304043028 0.139762128 0.173763002	Barangays in Al Bugho 3.66147454 1.610189666 3.103533216 1.885826785 0.28271936	angalang Cabadsan 0.792494771 0.393574285 0.316768982 0.316768982 0.097340678 0.026916067	Calaasan 0.771009397 0.30986446 0.593468907 0.593468907 0.35807429 0.0014	Cavite 0.235679604 0.122155341 0.241654652 0.156402858 0.043202362	Divisoria 0.0128947: 0.0013153(0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
<u>.</u>	> 5.00	0.0008	0.042303132	0	0.001690731	0	0.00903961	0	0.063025539	0

Table 52. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

					Affected	Barangays in Ala	angalang			
IDADNIAC		Ekiran	Holy Child I	Holy Child II	Hubang	Hupit	Lourdes	Lukay	Milagrosa	P. Barrantes
	0.03-0.20	2.269125886	0.284126412	0.105146926	0.844983068	1.89203537	1.357654443	1.208792796	0.038304223	1.459505467
ee	0.21-0.50	1.126248145	0.082867086	0.015030029	0.458818757	0.523928276	0.255510294	0.372532918	0.01376279	0.542000571
d Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.620767329	0.032101152	0.002937594	0.482539087	0.272218734	0.238242715	0.284724888	0.001672693	0.755332305
fecte ∙.ps)	1.01-2.00	0.209660171	0.00719015	0.002375008	0.592269287	0.202812249	0.200274504	0.099112227	9.98927E-05	0.506558105
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0.106338492	0.005943034	0.0001	0.060991072	0.196851012	0.060103829	0.021136033	0.000310038	0.035250766
	> 5.00	0.044312536	0.000224921	0	0.000991714	0.001391582	0	0.031783698	7.50785E-05	0.003808286

		Santol	2.9411733	0.125726859	0.146787394	0.183068661	0.102059953	0.007576835
		Santo Niño	0.043568705	0	0	0	0	0
po		Santiago	3.4819639	0.4143592	0.2360926	0.2912944	0.3245405	0.0547854
infall Return Peri	angalang	San Vicente	2.9253316	0.8265203	0.7112839	0.3083276	0.2427699	0.107075384
uring 25-Year Ra	Barangays in Al	San Roque	0.1545830	0.0161503	0.0042512	0.0021872	0.0006	0
angalang, Leyte d	Affected	San Francisco West	1.96095603	0.262183547	0.143054979	0.11644992	0.0085	0
ected Areas in Al		San Francisco East	2.82124687	0.750062314	0.405178801	0.025662827	0.004914333	0.002113468
Table 53. Aff		San Antonio Poblacion	0.088527274	0.004017861	0.00170085	0.000710153	0	0
		Salvacion Poblacion	5.885655557	0.752319486	0.828489633	0.460583183	0.064166391	0
		AN BASIN	0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00
		SANGPUT		ea	ed Are km.)	.ps) .ps)	ţΑ	

Table 54. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

SANGPUT	AN BASIN	Affected Areas	in Alangalang
		Tabangohay	Veteranos
	0.03-0.20	5.885655557	0.088527274
ea	0.21-0.50	0.752319486	0.004017861
a A re km.)	0.51-1.00	0.828489633	0.00170085
fecte. (sd.	1.01-2.00	0.460583183	0.000710153
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0.064166391	0
	> 5.00	0	0

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)





For the 25-year return period, 59.537% of the Municipality of Barugo, with an area of 81.25 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 6.058% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 4.837%, 4.35%, 3.712%, and 0.786% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 55-58 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

	Can-Isak	1.654509	0.117462	0.273045	0.541277	0.333895	0.015238
	Calingcaguing	0.853457	0.11443	0.093334	0.059274	0.021008	0.025562
	Cabolo-An	4.987442	0.181637	0.158461	0.115038	0.065405	0.0031
3arugo	Cabarasan	1.26443	0.467212	0.37631	0.072595	0	0
d Barangays in I	Busay	2.919097	0.146086	0.137562	0.117447	0.07222	0.0002
Affected	Bulod	3.52247	0.283515	0.279266	0.206305	0.098812	0.0002
	Bukid	0.171278	0.009171	0.01296	0.018755	0.001981	0
	Balud	3.120183	0.277012	0.193558	0.052032	0.010114	0
	Amahit	0.47653	0.071373	0.032742	0.002996	0.000221	0
		0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00
	VI NABNIAC		еe	a A re km.)	fecte. (sd.	ţΑ	
	Affected Barangays in Barugo	Affected Barangays in Barugo SANGPUTAN BASIN Amahit Balud Bulod Busay Cabarasan Cabolo-An Calingcaguing Can-Isak	Affected Barangays in Barugo Affected Barangays in Barugo SANGPUTAN BASIN Amahit Balud Bukid Bulod Busay Cabarasan Cabolo-An Calingcaguing Can-Isak 0.03-0.20 0.47653 3.120183 0.171278 3.52247 2.919097 1.26443 4.987442 0.853457 1.654509	Affected Barangays in Barugo Affected Barangays in Barugo SANGPUTAN BASIN Amahit Balud Bukid Bulod Busay Cabarasan Cabingcaguing Can-Isak 0.03-0.20 0.47653 3.120183 0.171278 3.52247 2.919097 1.26443 4.987442 0.853457 1.654509 0 0.21-0.50 0.071373 0.277012 0.009171 0.283515 0.146086 0.467212 0.181637 0.11443 0.117462	Affected Barangays in Barugo Affected Barangays in Barugo Andhit Bukid Bukid Busay Cabarasan Calingcaguing Can-Isak 0.03-0.20 0.171278 3.52247 2.919097 1.26443 0.853457 1.654509 0 0.011373 0.009171 0.283515 0.146086 0.467212 0.11443 0.117462 0 0.032742 0.032742 0.01296 0.137562 0.13762 0.137641 0.03334 0.273045	SandPUTAL Basin Affected Barangays in Barugo Amahit Balud Bulod Busay Cabarasan Cabolo-An Calingcaguing Can-Isak 0.03-0.20 0.47653 3.120183 0.171278 3.52247 2.919097 1.26443 4.987442 0.853457 1.654509 0.21-0.50 0.071373 0.277012 0.009171 0.283515 0.146086 0.467212 0.18763 0.137562 0.37631 0.18463 0.117467 0.032742 0.093334 0.273045 0.112-0.0 0.032742 0.18755 0.137562 0.37631 0.158461 0.093334 0.273045 0.117447 0.072595 0.115038 0.059274 0.541277 	Affected Barangays in Barugo Amahit Balud Bukid Busay cabarasan can-Isak 0.03-0.20 0.171278 3.52247 2.919097 1.26443 cabolo-An can-Isak © 0.03-0.20 0.171278 3.52247 2.919097 1.26443 cabolo-An can-Isak © 0.071373 0.171278 3.52247 2.914607 0.1865450 0.117462 © 0.0011443 0.11443 0.117462 © 0.137562 0.13762 0.117442 0.23344 0.011443 0.117462 © 0.032122

fall Return Perioc	Barugo	
ing 25-Year Rain	d Barangays in I	
Barugo, Leyte dur	Affecte	Cuinadoobaa
Affected Areas in		0,1,0
Table 56. <i>i</i>		Domocrator
		ç

Affected Barangays in Barugo	Cuta Domogdog Duka Guindaohan Hiagsam Hilaba Hinugayan Ibag Minuhang	18513 0.482943 4.608905 0.683224 1.029045 0.268982 0.81389 2.288559 0.840557	58874 0.167101 0.231442 0.125984 0.03499 0.101514 0.04513 0.088183 0.46394	37258 0.02222 0.175707 0.009712 0.0396 0.08066 0.061495 0.102166 0.309339	08359 0 0 0.158184 0.00609 0.113503 0.047596 0.114617 0.099906 0.100616	0 0 0.088845 0 0.229666 0.088127 0.094975 0.02244 0.0005	0 0 0.0007 0 0.004908 0 0.009293 0 0
	Domogdog Duka Guinc	0.482943 4.608905 0.68	0.167101 0.231442 0.12	0.02222 0.175707 0.00	0 0.158184 0.00	0 0.088845	0 0.0007
	Cuta	2.118513	0.68874	0.237258	0.008359	0	0
	SANGPUTAN BASIN	0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	ed Are	fecte (sq.	₹ 2.01-5.00	> 5.00

iod	
cas in Barugo, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Peri	
Table 57. Affected Ar	

			6	3	6	4	2
	Pongsc	2.2629(0.15171	0.15453	0.38213	0.48947	0.05100
	Poblacion Dist. VI	0.106073	0.03666	0.016364	0	0	0
	Poblacion Dist. V	0.140982	0.044318	0.022538	0	0	0
3arugo	Poblacion Dist. IV	0.112189	0.011399	0	0	0	0
d Barangays in E	Poblacion Dist. III	0.085076	0.026675	0.00888	0	0	0
Affecte	Poblacion Dist. II	0.117869	0.051051	0.026001	0.003557	0.001262	0
	Poblacion Dist. I	0.135101	0.046323	0.007356	0	0	0
	Pitogo	2.068872	0.101596	0.135805	0.113585	0.015925	0.000288
	Pikas	2.891837	0.219405	0.334211	0.49314	0.596198	0.263844
	NICED NA	0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00
	10 JONIAC		ee	ed Are (.m.)	fecte. (sg.	ţA	

				Affected Baran	gays in Barugo		
DADNAC	AN BASIN	Roosevelt	San Isidro	San Roque	Santa Rosa	Santarin	Tutug-An
	0.03-0.20	1.708106	0.544939	0.959156	4.014607	0.032403	1.089356
ea	0.21-0.50	0.108634	0.137223	0.037711	0.210977	0.025803	0.098464
ed Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.153377	0.066667	0.045371	0.230612	0.043699	0.089409
.ps) (sd.	1.01-2.00	0.179778	0.00471	0.06702	0.352076	0.030039	0.073925
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0.306353	0	0.042647	0.306601	0.002776	0.126881
	> 5.00	0.135894	0	0.001504	0.06127	0	0.06617

Table 58. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period




Figure 96. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 25Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 25-year return period, 15.11% of the Municipality of Jaro, with an area of 190.65 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 2.522% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 1.353%, 1.145%, 0.6924%, and 0.1116% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 59-61 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

0.034073

0

0.031739

0.014412

0

0.018252

0.024139

0

0

2.01-5.00

0

0

0

0

0

0.0109

0

0

0

> 5.00

Period
Return
ainfall
-Year F
uring 25
Leyte d
n Jaro,
Areas i
ffected
ole 61. A
Tal

				Affected Bara	ngays in Jaro		
DIDNIEC		Olotan	Pitogo	Sagkahan	Santo Ni§o	Tuba	Uguiao
	1.083984	1.695722	0.842448	0.170089	5.181465	1.594091	1.715744
ее	0.356382	0.563416	0.323098	0.02695	0.283245	0.245535	0.14347
km.) km.)	0.062324	0.145811	0.250203	0.008328	0.318359	0.023987	0.023189
fecte (sg.	0.012109	0.085084	0.151416	0.004578	0.38175	0.042331	0.053064
łA	0	0.050842	0.142566	0	0.290084	0.035605	0.006082
	0	0.0037	0.016945	0	0.156463	0	0





Figure 99. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 25-year return period, 57.915% of the Municipality of San Miguel, with an area of 103.86 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 7.94% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 8.95%, 9.54%, 5.11%, and 0.317% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 62-64 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

Table 63. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

0.088479

0.006812

0.106977

0.000407

0.000498

0

0

0.073012

0

> 5.00

	an	6						
	Pinarigus	0.0018	0	0	0	0	0	
	Patong	4.015013	0.647546	0.660055	0.418519	0.24425	0.029309	
	Mawodpawod	1.808621	0.187392	0.142085	0.060554	0.011956	0	
an Miguel	Malpag	0.760685	0.175305	0.311783	0.114332	0.014214	0	
ed Barangays in S	Malaguinabot	1.893872	0.552092	0.424062	0.082902	0.0043	0	
Affecte	Lukay	2.133761	0.835048	0.620306	0.224556	0.058847	0	
	Libtong	0.500235804	0.058285157	0.102217176	0.178950703	0.165009593	0	
	Kinalumsan	2.482284	0.108553	0.13184	0.278222	0.082181	0.009155	
	Impo	0.814112	0.10096	0.212005	0.181627	0.035956	0.001507	
		0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00	
	INJONIES		еe	ed Are km.)	fecte .ps)	ţĄ		

103

Table 64.	Affected Areas in San	Miguel, Leyte during	25-Year Rainfall Retu	ırn Period	
CANCEUT		Affecte	d Barangays in San	Miguel	
SANGPUT		San Andres	Santa Cruz	Santol	
	0.03-0.20	1.090297	0.341168	5.366445537	
ea a	0.21-0.50	0.134755	0.071026	0.351823047	
d Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.273216	0.047152	0.489810089	
fecte (sq.	1.01-2.00	0.208538	0.015997	0.801874785	
Af	2.01-5.00	0.074432	0.002278	0.444717603	
	> 5.00	0.002931	0	0.0104962	





Figure 100. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period



Figure 102. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 25-year return period, 9.18% of the City of Tacloban, with an area of 118.45 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.584% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 0.593%, 0.484%, 0.134%, and 0.0046% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 65 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.



Table 65. Affected Areas in Tacloban, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

Figure 103. Affected Areas in Tacloban City, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 25-year return period, 27.754% of the Municipality of Tunga, with an area of 17.36 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 4.26% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 5.75%, 5.06%, 2.36%, and 0.6833% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 66 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

		14							
CAN	CD					Affected Ba	rangay in Tun	ga	
SAIN	GP	UTAN DAS		Astorga	Balire	Banawang	San Pedro	San Roque	San Vicente
		0.03-0.2	20	1.293415	0.00309	3.011618	0.285611	0.190279	0.034805
g		0.21-0.5	0	0.376761	0.001324	0.18155	0.138969	0.040337	0.001241
d Are		0.51-1.0	0	0.614295	0.001036	0.1622	0.181144	0.038162	0.001918
fecte	-he	1.01-2.0	0	0.511106	0	0.210105	0.12583	0.026105	0.005421
Af		2.01-5.0	0	0.157769	0	0.203826	0.036721	0.008565	0.004191
		> 5.00		0.0004	0	0.118238	0	0	0
						·	·	•	
1.	8								
1.	6								
7 ^{1.}	.4								Flood
<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	2								Depth (m)
a (sq	1								■ > 5.00
_Arec	8								2.01-5.00
fed									1.01-2.00
ue Uego	.6								0.51-1.00
* 0.	4	├ ─ 							0.21-0.50
0.	2	+ ·			_				
	0	ļ			,	,			
		Astorg	а	Balire	Banawang	San Pedro	San Roque	an Vicente	
					Barai	пдауз			

Table 66. Affected Areas in Tunga, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

Figure 104. Affected Areas in Tunga, Leyte during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 100-year return period, 22.687% of the Municipality of Alangalang, with an area of 145.445 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 6.94% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 8.27%, 6.99%, 2.149%, and 0.367% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 67-70 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

Table 67. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

					Affected I	3arangays in Al	angalang			
DADNAC		Binongto-An	Binotong	Blumentritt	Borseth	Bugho	Cabadsan	Calaasan	Cavite	Divisoria
	0.03-0.20	0.03481857	2.066107438	0.008086211	1.361846921	3.113390516	0.711815154	0.660082785	0.207239643	0.012594736
ea	0.21-0.50	0.115361725	0.676019447	0.01342701	0.446554178	1.086920985	0.399400593	0.263550419	0.110903994	0.001615362
km.) km.)	0.51-1.00	0.403157602	0.472592842	0.084310501	0.432855726	2.589345667	0.369812474	0.445211404	0.232389234	0
fecte. (sd.	1.01-2.00	0.425581655	0.286859139	0.067740366	0.173662737	3.07268601	0.117331534	0.662884785	0.203595308	0
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0.09237663	0.06843606	0.006136753	0.206920885	0.681400389	0.029335028	0.002087661	0.043979925	0
	> 5.00	0.0013	0.042603132	0	0.013896185	0	0.00893961	0	0.064125539	0

0.045784815 0.484119065 0.760480932 0.004508286 1.175618721 P. Barrantes 0.83194368 0.017051396 0.031594579 0.000290201 9.49153E-05 0.005093732 9.98927E-05 Milagrosa 0.022541925 0.403040204 0.031783698 1.116513929 0.292344448 0.153358357 Lukay 0.286025793 1.240130342 0.253162282 0.107623427 0.22574394 Lourdes Affected Barangays in Alangalang 0 0.584799115 0.251784506 0.234702506 0.003689556 1.631130501 0.38753104 Hupit 0.322570396 0.001391714 0.770459142 0.659878404 0.593963147 0.092330181 Hubang 0.024556325 0.004904609 0.004318163 Holy Child II 0.09051046 0.0013 0 0.065635649 0.082219434 0.000505085 0.006263148 0.23329482 0.02453462 Holy Child I 0.044212536 0.107938492 0.236226412 2.090118292 0.675545757 1.22241107 Ekiran 0.03-0.20 0.21-0.50 0.51-1.00 1.01-2.00 2.01-5.00 > 5.00 SANGPUTAN BASIN (.mx .ps) Affected Area

Table 68. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Table 69. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

))				
					Affected	Barangays in Al	angalang			
SANGPUT	FAN BASIN	Salvacion Poblacion	San Antonio Poblacion	San Francisco East	San Francisco West	San Roque	San Vicente	Santiago	Santo Niño	Santol
	0.03-0.20	0.174191489	0.000776672	2.048501859	1.624185152	0.130332873	2.180435666	1.971993916	0	2.710006999
ee	0.21-0.50	0.044761784	0.000184629	0.806647672	0.421082263	0.029443023	0.836630992	0.62434722	0	0.137084546
ed Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.01101686	0	0.799527622	0.226833894	0.013032485	0.778076127	0.934482725	0	0.139148091
fecte. (sd.	1.01-2.00	0	0	0.345845012	0.179372335	0.004112882	0.871168622	0.558121678	0	0.223671644
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0	0	0.006388841	0.041170831	0.000850704	0.316765063	0.596135928	0	0.243041569
	> 5.00	0	0	0.002267607	0	0	0.143228744	0.117954812	0	0.053440225



Figure 105. Affected Areas in Alangalang, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period





For the 100-year return period, 56.22% of the Municipality of Barugo, with an area of 81.25 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 5.909% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 5.4%, 5.5%, 5.226%, and 0.978% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 71-74 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

	Table (1.	Allected Aleas III	i Barugo, Leyte ut	ing 100-1 car Ka	IIIIaii Ketuiii Feli	.00		
SANCOUT					Affecte	d Barangays in	Baı	rugo
SANGPUT	AN DASIN	Amahit	Balud	Bukid	Bulod	Busay		Cabarasan
	0.03-0.20	0.455067	3.054627	0.168046	3.367556	2.869284		1.023098
ea	0.21-0.50	0.085384	0.279087	0.007442	0.227544	0.146447		0.422496
d Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.037867	0.229997	0.012054	0.321357	0.157521		0.503871
fecte (sq.	1.01-2.00	0.005071	0.075956	0.022423	0.33495	0.123905		0.228311
Af	2.01-5.00	0.000473	0.013833	0.004181	0.13746	0.095056		0.002771
	> 5.00	0	0	0	0.0017	0.0004		0

Table 71. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Table 72. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

					Affecte	d Barangays in	Bar	ugo
SANGPUT	AN BASIN	Cuta	Domogdog	Duka	Guindaohan	Hiagsam		Hilaba
	0.03-0.20	1.831845	0.431141	4.538387	0.647391	1.00263		0.246006
ea	0.21-0.50	0.741584	0.200671	0.233684	0.156056	0.034525		0.105614
ed Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.348802	0.040352	0.194643	0.012473	0.039932		0.091936
fecte (sq.	1.01-2.00	0.130538	0.0001	0.173543	0.00909	0.075466		0.050305
Af	2.01-5.00	9.98E-05	0	0.121226	0	0.28661		0.093018
	> 5.00	0	0	0.0023	0	0.01255		0

Table 73. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

SANCOUT		Affected Barangays in Barugo								
SANGPUT	AN DASIN	Pikas	Pitogo	Poblacion Dist. I	Poblacion Dist. II	Poblacion Dist. III	Pc I	oblacion Dist. IV		
	0.03-0.20	2.705663	2.024495	0.122507	0.108434	0.080062	0.	.106238		
ea	0.21-0.50	0.15296	0.098626	0.053953	0.051763	0.025207	C).01735		
fected Are (sq. km.)	0.51-1.00	0.256401	0.134158	0.01232	0.034124	0.015362		0		
	1.01-2.00	0.564744	0.154421	0	0.003741	0		0		
Af	2.01-5.00	0.809082	0.023571	0	0.001678	0		0		
	> 5.00	0.309784	0.000802	0	0	0		0		

Table 74. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period



Figure 108. Affected Areas in Barugo, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period





For the 100-year return period, 14.013% of the Municipality of Jaro, with an area of 190.65 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 2.845% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 1.657%, 1.369%, 0.902%, and 0.153% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 75-77 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

			Table 75.	Affected Areas ir	ı Jaro, Leyte durin	ıg 100-Year Rainfa	all Return Period			
					Affect	ted Barangays ii	n Jaro			
DADNAC		Alahag	Bias Zabala	Buenavista	Bukid	Buri	Canapuan	Canhandugan	Daro	District I
	0.03-0.20	0.383775	0.824748	1.698619	2.940703	1.955163	2.052063	0.457846	0.263314	0.313847
ee	0.21-0.50	0.069055	0.215761	0.735544	0.324733	0.377074	0.406346	0.093888	0.005437	0.02773
d Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.042666	0.100155	0.762265	0.266566	0.209985	0.127417	0.074818	1.57E-06	0.002432
fecte .ps)	1.01-2.00	0.023015	0.079792	0.635257	0.278246	0.190816	0.141899	0.021789	0	0.009156
ţΑ	2.01-5.00	0.004556	0.023721	0.439226	0.068393	0.148313	0.182366	0.012454	0	0.01026
	> 5.00	0	0	0.03114	0	0.0006	5.42E-05	0	0	4.58E-05
			Table 76.	Affected Areas in	1 Jaro, Leyte durin	ıg 100-Year Rainfi	all Return Period			
					Affect	ted Barangays ir	n Jaro			
SANGPUL	AN BASIN	District II	District III	District IV	Hiagsam	Kaglawaan	Kalinawan	Macanip	Macopa	Malobago
	0.03-0.20	0.391063	0.476022	0.434192	0.263967	1.118524	1.115794	0.7213	0.354584	1.221718
еe	0.21-0.50	0.02772	0.033043	0.04338	0.026203	0.336097	0.155475	0.155107	0.092831	0.267346
ed Are km.)	0.51-1.00	0.005509	0.000713	0.033737	0.029026	0.076728	0.051331	0.180136	0.024031	0.1703
fecte. (sd.	1.01-2.00	0.005822	0	0.020151	0.028256	0.008402	0.00851	0.145025	0.0018	0.118375
ţA	2.01-5.00	0.0002	0	0.029475	0.022296	0.0001	0.020704	0.048202	0	0.059695
	> 5.00	0	0	0	0.014952	0	0	0	0	0

n Period
l Retur
uinfall
r Ra
-Yea
100
uring
eyte d
ľo, L
ı Jaı
Areas ir
ffected
7. A
Table 7

			Affected Bara	ngays in Jaro		
Olotan		Pitogo	Sagkahan	Santo Ni§o	Tuba	Uguiao
Olotan		Pitogo	Sagkahan	Santo Ni린린o	Tuba	Uguiao
0.989094		1.454419	0.621728	0.163394	4.996027	1.50404
0.425767 (0.722029	0.243712	0.030822	0.291782	0.317351
0.085336 0	0	.198412	0.367166	0.008752	0.316089	0.026787
0.015397 0	0	.100073	0.298498	0.006978	0.433229	0.039688
0	0	0.062342	0.171027	0	0.362506	0.053683
0		0.0078	0.024544	0	0.212731	0





Figure 114. Affected Areas in Jaro, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 100-year return period, 54.025% of the Municipality of San Miguel, with an area of 103.86 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 7.083% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 8.915%, 10.797%, 8.38%, and 0.594% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Tables 78-80 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay

Table 78. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

		Guinciaman	9.246275	0.552419	0.847467	1.482594	2.728413	0.207049
		Cayare	1.540064	0.377548	0.297596	0.297214	0.152148	0.007197
		Caraycaray	4.072856	0.226836	0.474121	0.884757	0.453249	0.139102
	Miguel	Capilihan	5.322855	0.816235	1.451647	1.958437	1.379773	0.001834
)	arangays in San	Canap	3.58724	0.303053	0.463593	0.498811	0.161276	0.001335
	Affected Ba	Cabatianuhan	1.528103	0.715845	0.583013	0.554003	0.104363	0.0002
		Bairan	1.131326205	0.451400689	0.410544709	0.14747806	0.032828457	0
		Bahay	9.85874	0.886991	1.29165	1.937022	1.882537	0.13337
		Bagacay	0.063799	0.01188	0.001523	0	0	0
	TANDACIA		0.03-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00
		DADNAC		ee	ad Are km.)	fecte. (sq.	ţΑ	

Pinarigusan 0.00186 0 0 0 0 0 3.590189 0.549645 0.673973 0.679958 0.466308 Patong 0.05538 Mawodpawod 0.016756 0.185868 0.169817 0.082537 1.75553 0.0001 0.033945 0.648595 0.235222 0.301367 0.15719 Malpag Affected Barangays in San Miguel 0 Malaguinabot 0.110178 1.769169 0.452499 0.621083 0.005 0 1.868488 0.803389 0.081835 0.804517 0.31439 Lukay 0 0.095748396 0.176930974 0.468216199 0.047538271 0.216264594 Libtong 0 Kinalumsan 0.116335 0.109154 0.164592 2.425664 0.255937 0.020554 0.153418 0.075735 0.316084 0.008644 0.739984 0.052301 lmpo 0.21-0.50 0.51-1.00 1.01-2.00 2.01-5.00 0.03-0.20 SANGPUTAN BASIN > 5.00 (.my .ps) Affected Area

Table 79. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

CANCOUT		Affecte	d Barangays in San	Miguel
SANGPUT	AN BASIN	San Andres	Santa Cruz	Santol
	0.03-0.20	1.019346	0.316951	5.154669544
fected Area (sq. km.)	0.21-0.50	0.106926	0.071031	0.285508628
	0.51-1.00	0.237519	0.056677	0.44424213
	1.01-2.00	0.316859	0.030676	0.868773336
Af	2.01-5.00	0.098485	0.002286	0.675106542
	> 5.00	0.004933	0	0.037067081



Table 80. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Figure 115. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period



Figure 117. Affected Areas in San Miguel, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 100-year return period, 9.059% of the City of Tacloban, with an area of 118.457 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.5517% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 0.605%, 0.576%, 0.1828%, and 0.0066% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 81 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.



Table 81. Affected Areas in Tacloban, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Figure 118. Affected Areas in Tacloban City, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 100-year return period, 25.707% of the Municipality of Tunga, with an area of 17.36 sq. km., will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 4.1215% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters. Meanwhile, 5.75%, 6.4%, 2.89%, and 1.0068% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and above 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 82 are the affected areas, in square kilometers, by flood depth per barangay.

	Table 8	52. Affected Af	eas in Tunga,	Leyte during 10	0- rear Kainian	Return Period	
SANCE				Affected Ba	rangay in Tun	ga	
SANGP		Astorga	Balire	Banawang	San Pedro	San Roque	San Vicente
	0.03-0.20	1.137135	0.001743	2.917299	0.219261	0.154814	0.033224
d Area «m.)	0.21-0.50	0.327297	0.002371	0.18825	0.143642	0.052911	0.001133
	0.51-1.00	0.620133	0.001337	0.161848	0.168983	0.044637	0.002106
fecte (sq.	1.01-2.00	0.663045	0	0.216852	0.189972	0.037921	0.004074
Af	2.01-5.00	0.204596	0	0.230123	0.047516	0.013265	0.007038
	> 5.00	0.0014	0	0.173406	0	0	0





Figure 119. Affected Areas in Tunga, Leyte during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Among the barangays in the Mmunicipality of Alangalang, Bugho is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels, at 7.249%. Meanwhile, Tabangohay posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths, at 5.494%.

Among the barangays in the Municipality of Barugo, Cabolo-An is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels, at 6.783%. Meanwhile, Duka posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths, at 6.4785%.

Among the barangays in the Mmunicipality of Jaro, Buenavista is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels, at 2.256%. Meanwhile, Cabapuan posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths, at 1.5264%.

Among the barangays in the Municipality of San Miguel, Bahay is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels, at 15.396%. Meanwhile, Guinciaman posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths, at 14.504%.

Among the barangays in the City of Tacloban, San Barangay 98 is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels, at 5.67%. Meanwhile, Barangay 93 posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths, at 3.209%.

Among the barangays in the Municipality of Tunga, Banawang is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels, at 22.392%. Meanwhile, Astorga posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths, at 17.011%.

The generated flood hazard maps for the Sangputan floodplain were used to assess the vulnerability of the educational and medical institutions in the floodplain. Using the flood depth units of PAGASA for the hazard maps – "Low", "Medium", and "High" – the affected institutions were given an individual assessment for each flood hazard scenario (5-year, 25-year, and 100-year).

	Are	a Covered in sq. l	km.
Warning Level	5 year	25 year	100 year
Low	30.19	30.81	29.67
Medium	33.14	44.71	49.80
High	12.58	23.74	32.92
Total	75.91	99.26	112.39

Table 83. Area covered by each warning level with respect to the rainfall scenario

Of the ninety-one (91) identified educational institutions in the Sangputan floodplain, eighteen (18) schools were assessed to be exposed to Low-level flooding during a 5-year scenario, while ten (10) were assessed to be exposed to Medium-level flooding, and one (1) to High-level flooding in the same scenario. In the 25-year scenario, twenty-three (23) schools were assessed to be exposed to Low-level flooding, fifteen (15) schools were assessed to be exposed to be exposed to be exposed to Medium-level flooding, and four (4) were projected to be exposed to High-level flooding. For the 100-year scenario, twenty-one (21) schools were assessed to be exposed to Low-level flooding, nineteen (19) schools to Medium-level flooding, and six (6) schools to High-level flooding. See Annex 12 for a detailed enumeration of the schools within the Sangputan floodplain.

Of the fourteen (14) identified medical institutions in the Sangputan floodplain, one (1) was assessed to be exposed to Low-level flooding during a 5-year scenario, while none were assessed to be exposed to Medium- and High-level flooding in the same scenario. In the 25-year scenario, two (2) were assessed to be exposed to Low-level flooding, while one (1) was assessed to be exposed to Medium-level flooding. For the 100-year scenario, two (2) schools were assessed to be exposed to Low-level flooding, and one (1) to Medium-level flooding. See Annex 13 for a detailed enumeration of the medical institutions within the Sangputan floodplain.

5.11 Flood Validation

In order to check and validate the extent of flooding in different river systems, there is a need to perform validation survey work. Field personnel gathered secondary data regarding flood occurrences in the respective areas within the major river systems in the Philippines.

From the flood depth maps produced by Phil-LiDAR 1 Program, multiple points representing the different flood depths for different scenarios were identified for validation.

The validation personnel went to the specified points identified in a river basin and gathered data regarding the actual flood level in each location. Data gathering was conducted through assistance from a local DRRM office to obtain maps or situation reports about the past flooding events, or through interviews with some residents with knowledge or experience of flooding in the particular area.

After which, the actual data from the field were compared with the simulated data to assess the accuracy of the flood depth maps produced, and to improve on the results of the flood map. The points in the flood map versus the corresponding validation depths are illustrated in Figures 122 and 123.

The flood validation consists of 202 points, randomly selected all over the Sangputan floodplain. The points were grouped depending on the RIDF return period of the event. Table 85 shows a contingency matrix of the comparison. The validation points are found in Annex 11.



The RMSE values for each flood depth map are listed in Table 84 below:

Table 84. RMSE values for each return period of flood depth map

Return Period	RMSE
5-year	0.60
100-year	0.62



Figure 122. Flood map depth vs actual flood depth for 5-year return period



		1 able 85. Ac	tual Flood De	epth vs Simula	ated Flood De	pth in Sangpi	itan		
		Modeled Flood Depth (m)							
JIBAIA	ANG BASIN	0-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00	Total	
	0-0.20	54	6	7	6	0	0	73	
Actual Flood Depth (m)	0.21-0.50	39	11	8	8	1	0	67	
	0.51-1.00	11	4	8	7	0	0	30	
	1.01-2.00	7	8	6	10	1	0	32	
	2.01-5.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	> 5.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total	111	29	29	31	2	0	202	

The overall accuracy generated by the flood model is estimated at 41.09%, with eighty-three (83) points correctly matching the actual flood depths. There were seventy-one (71) points estimated one (1) level above and below the correct flood depths, while there were thirty-four (34) points and fourteen (14) points estimated two (2) levels above and below, and three (3) or more levels above and below the correct flood, respectively. A total of forty-four (44) points were overestimated, while a total of seventy-five (75) points were underestimated in the modeled flood depths of Sangputan.

Table 86. Summary of Accuracy Assessment in Sangputan

	No. of Points	%
Correct	83	41.09
Overestimated	44	21.78
Underestimated	75	37.13
Total	202	100

REFERENCES

Ang M.O., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Processing Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

Balicanta L.P., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Validation Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

Brunner, G. H. 2010a. HEC-RAS River Analysis System Hydraulic Reference Manual. Davis, CA: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Institute for Water Resources, Hydrologic Engineering Center

Lagmay A.F., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Flood Modeling Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

Paringit E.C, Balicanta L.P., Ang, M.O., Sarmiento, C. 2017. Flood Mapping of Rivers in the Philippines Using Airborne Lidar: Methods. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

Sarmiento C., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Acquisition Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

UP TCAGP 2016, Acceptance and Evaluation of Synthetic Aperture Radar Digital Surface Model (SAR DSM) and Ground Control Points (GCP). Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

ANNEXES

Annex 1. Technical Specifications of the LiDAR Sensors used in the Sangputan Floodplain Survey

Aquarius Sensor



Figure A-1.1. Aquarius Sensor

Table A-1.1. Parameters and Specifications of the Aquarius Sensor

Parameter	Specification
Operational altitude	300-600 m AGL
Laser pulse repetition rate	33, 50. 70 kHz
Scan rate	0-70 Hz
Scan half-angle	0 to ± 25 °
Laser footprint on water surface	30-60 cm
Depth range	0 to > 10 m (for k < 0.1/m)
Topographic mode	
Operational altitude	300-2500
Range Capture	Up to 4 range measurements, including 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and last returns
Intensity capture	12-bit dynamic measurement range
Position and orientation system	POS AVTM 510 (OEM) includes embedded 72-channel GNSS receiver (GPS and GLONASS)
Data Storage	Ruggedized removable SSD hard disk (SATA III)
Power	28 V, 900 W, 35 A
Image capture	5 MP interline camera (standard); 60 MP full frame (optional)
Full waveform capture	12-bit Optech IWD-2 Intelligent Waveform Digitizer (optional)
Dimensions and weight	Sensor:250 x 430 x 320 mm; 30 kg; Control rack: 591 x 485 x 578 mm; 53 kg
Operating temperature	0-35°C
Relative humidity	0-95% no-condensing

Gemini Senor	
Waveform Digitizer Waveform Digitizer Control Rack Fr Tablia A 1 2 Darrame	Sensor with Built-in Camera Pilot Display Filot Display Fi
Darameter	Specification
	150-4000 m AGL nominal
	1064 pm
Horizontal accuracy (2)	1/5 500 x altitude (m AGL)
Elevation accuracy (2)	<5-25 cm 1 g
Effective laser repetition rate	Programmable 33-167 kHz
Position and orientation system	POS AV™ AP50 (OEM); 220-channel dual frequency GPS/GNSS/Galileo/L-Band receiver
Scan width (WOV)	Programmable, 0-50°
Scan frequency (5)	Programmable, 0-70 Hz (effective)
Sensor scan product	1000 maximum
Beam divergence	Dual divergence: 0.25 mrad (1/e) and 0.8 mrad (1/e), nominal
Roll compensation	Programmable, ±5° (FOV dependent)
Range capture	Up to 4 range measurements, including 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and last returns
Intensity capture	Up to 4 intensity returns for each pulse, including last (12 bit)
Video Camera	Internal video camera (NTSC or PAL)
Image capture	Compatible with full Optech camera line (optional)
Full waveform capture	12-bit Optech IWD-2 Intelligent Waveform Digitizer (optional)
Data storage	Removable solid state disk SSD (SATA II)
Power requirements	28 V; 900 W;35 A(peak)
Dimensions and weight	Sensor: 260 mm (w) x 190 mm (l) x 570 mm (h); 23 kg Control rack: 650 mm (w) x 590 mm (l) x 530 mm (h); 53 kg
Operating temperature	-10°C to +35°C (with insulating jacket)
Relative humidity	0-95% no-condensing

Annex 2. NAMRIA Certification of Reference Points used in the LiDAR Survey

1. LY-110





NAMRIA OFFICES:

Main : Lawton Avenue, Fort Banifack, 1834 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No. (632) 818-4831 to 41 Branch : 421 Sameos St. San Nicolas, 1010 Manila, Philippines, Tel. No. (632) 241-3454 to 98 www.namria.gov.ph

ISO 9001: 2008 CERTIFIED FOR WAPPING AND GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Figure A-2.1. LY-110
Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)









NAMRIA OFFICES: Main : Lawton Avenue, Fort Bonifacio, 1634 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No.: (632) 810-4831 to 41 Branch : 421 Barraca St. San Nicolas, 1010 Manila, Philippines, Tel. No. (632) 241-3494 to 98 www.namria.gov.ph

ISO 9001: 2008 CERTIFIED FOR MAPPING AND GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Figure A-2.3. SMR-56

NATIONAL	f Environment and Natural Resources . MAPPING AND RESOURCE INFORMATION	AUTHORITY	
AT WE THE			
			February 10, 2016
	CERTIFICATION		
This is to certify that accordin	g to the records on file in this office, the rec	quested survey inform	ation is as follows -
	Province: SAMAR (WESTERN SAMAR)		
	Station Name: SMR-58		
	Order: 2nd		
Island: VISAYAS Municipality: BASEY	Barangay: SERUM MSL Elevation:		
	PRS92 Coordinates		
Latitude: 11º 17' 55.05617"	Longitude: 125º 7' 51.16145"	Ellipsoidal Hgt	6.30062 m.
	WGS84 Coordinates		
Latitude: 11º 17' 50.78580"	Longitude: 125° 7' 56.31100"	Ellipsoidal Hgt	68.72300 m.
	PTM / PRS92 Coordinates	12/2012	
Northing: 1249361.531 m.	Easting: 514288.239 m.	Zone: 5	
Northing: 1,249,768.75	UTM / PRS92 Coordinates Easting: 732,600.57	Zone: 51	
	from the school building. The School site w	as near the River abo mbedded in the ground	ut 30 m. north. I protruding about
he school gate, and 15 m. north Mark is the head of a 4" copper n 20 cm., with inscriptions "SMR-58 Requesting Party: UP DREAM Purpose: Reference OR Number: 8089774 I T.N.: 2016-0327	all flushed in a 30,30 cm. cement block er 8; 2007; NAMRIA.*	RUEL DM BELEN, M	INSA esy Branch
the school gate, and 15 m. north Mark is the head of a 4" copper n 20 cm., with inscriptions "SMR-58 Requesting Party: UP DREAM Purpose: Reference DR Number: 8089774 I T.N.: 2016-0327	ali flushed in a 30,30 cm. cement block er 8; 2007; NAMRIA.*	RUEL DM BELEN, M ar, Mapping And Geod	INSA esy Branch
he school gate, and 15 m. north Mark is the head of a 4" copper n 20 cm., with inscriptions "SMR-58 Requesting Party: UP DREAM Purpose: Reference OR Number: 8089774 I T.N.: 2016-0327	Directo	RUEL DM BELEN, M pr. Mapping And Geod	INSA esy Branch
the school gate, and 15 m. north Mark is the head of a 4" copper n 20 cm., with inscriptions "SMR-58 Requesting Party: UP DREAM Purpose: Reference DR Number: 8089774 I T.N.: 2016-0327	NAVRIM OFFICES: Main: Landon Avenue, Fort Benthado, 1634 Taguig City, Philippines, Tel. No. 6532 www.n.amria.gov.ph ISO 9001: 2008 CERTIFIED FOR MAPPING AND GEOSPATIAL INFORM		INSA esy Branch
the school gate, and 15 m. north Mark is the head of a 4" copper n 20 cm., with inscriptions "SMR-58 Requesting Party: UP DREAM Purpose: Reference OR Number: 8089774 I T.N.: 2016-0327	NAMEIA OFFICES: Main: Lawton Avenue, Fort Beenfacio, 1654 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No. Beends: 421 Bernade St. Ben Nockes, 1654 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No. Beends: 421 Bernade St. Ben Nockes, 1654 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No. Beends: 421 Bernade St. Ben Nockes, 1654 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No. 50 9901: 2008 CERTIFIED FOR MAPPING AND GEOSPATIAL INFORM	RUEL DM BELEN, M pr, Mapping And Geod 0 2 1 0 2 0 1 1 1 2 1 (82) 613 481 10 41 1 (241-344 10 98) ATICN MANAGEMENT	INSA esy Branch



Annex 3. Baseline Processing Reports of Control Points used in the LiDAR Survey

LYT-104

Table A-3.1. LYT-104

Processing Summary									
Observation	From	То	Solution Type	H. Prec. (Meter)	V. Prec. (Meter)	Geodetic Az.	Ellipsoid Dist. (Meter)	∆Height (Meter)	
SMR-53 LYT-104 (B1)	SMR-53	LYT-104	Fixed	0.008	0.017	200°40'31"	42653.401	7.525	
SMR-53 LYT-104 (B2)	SMR-53	LYT-104	Fixed	0.004	0.016	200°40'31"	42653.384	7.601	

Acceptance Summary								
Processed	Passed	Flag	Þ	Fail	Þ			
2	2	0		0				

Vector Components (Mark to Mark)

From:	SMR-53	3-53						
	Grid	Lo	ocal			G	lobal	
Easting	720874.133 m	Latitude	N11°30'17.	85656"	Latitude		N11°30'13.52495"	
Northing	1272513.396 m	Longitude	E125°01'29.	83738"	Longitude		E125°01'34.96980"	
Elevation	24.750 m	Height	26	6.134 m	Height		87.787 m	
To: LYT-104								
	Grid	Local			Global			
Easting	706089.510 m	Latitude	N11°08'38	92234"	Latitude		N11°08'34.67033"	
Northing	1232496.838 m	Longitude	E124°53'13.	52786"	Longitude		E124°53'18.69323"	
Elevation	32.311 m	Height	33	8.659 m	Height		95.861 m	
Vector								
ΔEasting	-14784.62	3 m NS Fwd Azimuth	I		200°40'31"	ΔX	7839.600 m	
∆Northing	-40016.55	8 m Ellipsoid Dist.			42653.401 m	ΔY	15051.644 m	
∆Elevation	7.56	61 m ∆Height			7.525 m	ΔZ	-39131.928 m	

Standard Errors

Vector errors:								
σ ΔEasting	0.003 m	σ NS fwd Azimuth	0°00'00"	σΔΧ	0.006 m			
σ ΔNorthing	0.002 m	σ Ellipsoid Dist.	0.002 m	σΔΥ	0.007 m			
$\sigma \Delta Elevation$	0.009 m	σ ΔHeight	0.009 m	σΔZ	0.002 m			

LYT-110										
				Table A-	3.2. LYT-11	.0				
				Processing	Summary					
Observation	From	Т	0	Solution Type	H. Prec. (Meter)	V. (M	Prec. (Geodetic Az.	Ellipsoid Dist. (Meter)	∆Height (Meter)
LYT 104 LY 110 (B1)	LYT 104	LY 110	Fixed		0.0	104	0.013	68°33'52	8457.064	-19.323
LY 110 LYT 104 (B2)	LYT 104	LY 110		Fixed	0.0	04	0.015	68°33'52	8457.047	-19.343
	•	•								
				Acceptance	Summary	-		_		
Processe	d		Pass	sed	Flag	ŀ	>		Fail	•
2			2			0			0	
Vector Component	ts (Mark to M	Mark)								
From:	LYT 104									
	Grid			Loc	al				Global	
Easting	706	089.510 m	Latitud	de	N11°08'38.92234" Latitude			N11°0	8'34.67033"	
Northing	1232	496.838 m	Longit	ude	E124°53'13.52786" Longitu		Longitud	itude E124		53'18.69323"
Elevation		32.311 m	Height	t	33.659 m Height				95.861 m	
To:	LY 110									
(Grid			Loc	al				Global	
Easting	713	942.863 m	Latitud	de	N11°10'1	9.48389"	Latitude		N11°1	0'15.23095"
Northing	1235	638.117 m	Longit	ude	E124°57'3	2.98736"	Longitud	е	E124°5	57'38.14961"
Elevation		12.819 m	Height	t	1	4.336 m	Height			76.647 m
Vector										
∆Easting		7853.35	3 m N	S Fwd Azimuth			68°33	'52" ∆X		-6101.546 m
ΔNorthing		3141.27	9 m Ellipsoid Dist.				8457.06	4 m ΔY		-5012.598 m
ΔElevation		-19.49	2 m 🛆	Height		-19.323		3 m ΔΖ		3027.816 m
Standard Errors										
Vector errors:										

Vector errors:									
σ ΔEasting	0.002 m	σ NS fwd Azimuth	0°00'00"	σΔΧ	0.004 m				
$\sigma \Delta Northing$	0.001 m	σ Ellipsoid Dist.	0.002 m	σΔΥ	0.005 m				
σ ΔElevation	0.007 m	σ ΔHeight	0.007 m	σΔΖ	0.002 m				

SM-286

	Table A-3.3. SM-286													
	Baseline Processing Report													
	Processing Summary													
Observation	From	То	Occupation Start Time	Occupatio n Stop Time	Solutio n T∮pe	H. Prec. (Meter)	V. Prec. (Meter)	ΔX (Meter)	ΔY (Meter)	ΔZ (Meter)	Geodeti c Az.	Ellipsoi d Dist. (Meter)	∆ Height (Meter)	Satellit e Availab le
SM-286 SMR-56 (B1)	SMR-56	SM-286	5/11/2014 6:44:03 AM	5/11/2014 1:54:43 Рм	Fixed	0.003	0.009	1325.0 25	263.51 2	2667.2 92	335*34 25"	2989.9 04	-6.335	GPS: 14 GLONA SS: 13 Galileo: 0 QZSS: 0

Acceptance Summary								
Processed	Processed Passed Flag P Fail 🏲							
1	1 0		0					

Vector Components (Mark to Mark)

From:	SMR-56	MR-56						
	Grid	Local			Global			
Easting	718970.608 m	Latitude	N11°23'0	6.52702"	Latitude		N11°23'02.22413"	
Northing	1259244.377 m	Longitude	E125°00'2	3.99607"	Longitude		E125°00'29.13917"	
Elevation	10.345 m	Height	1	11.822 m	Height		73.727 m	
To:	To: SM-286							
	Grid	Local			Global			
Easting	717715.152 m	Latitude	Latitude N11°24'35		'05'' Latitude		N11°24'30.81697"	
Northing	1261958.553 m	Longitude	E124°59'4	43.21146" Longitude			E124°59'48.35252'	
Elevation	4.047 m	Height		5.488 m	Height		67.304 m	
Vector								
ΔEasting	-1255.45	6 m NS Fwd	Azimuth		335°34'25"	ΔX	1325.020 m	
∆Northing	2714.17	6 m Ellipsoid	Dist.		2989.904 m	ΔY	263.518 m	
∆Elevation	-6.29	8 m ∆Height			-6.335 m	ΔZ	2667.293 m	

Standard Errors	tandard Errors								
Vector errors:									
σ ΔEasting	0.001 m	σ NS fwd Azimuth	0°00'00"	σΔX	0.003 m				
σ ΔNorthing	0.001 m	σ Ellipsoid Dist.	0.001 m	σΔY	0.004 m				
σ ΔElevation	0.005 m	σ ΔHeight	0.005 m	σΔZ	0.001 m				

Annex 4. The LiDAR	Annex 4. The LiDAR Survey Team Composition								
	Table A-4.1. LiDAR Surve	y Team Composition							
Data Acquisition Compo- nent Sub-Team	Designation	Name	Agency/ Affiliation						
PHIL-LIDAR 1	Program Leader	ENRICO C. PARINGIT, D.ENG	UP-TCAGP						
Data Acquisition Component Leader	Data Component Project Leader – I	ENGR. CZAR JAKIRI SARMIENTO	UP-TCAGP						
	Chief Science Research Specialist (CSRS)	ENGR. CHRISTOPHER CRUZ	UP-TCAGP						
Survey Supervisor	Supervising Science Re- search Specialist (Supervis- ing SRS)	ENGR. LOVELYN ASUNCION	UP-TCAGP						
	FIELD TE	AM							
	Supervising SRS	LOVELY GRACIA ACUÑA	UP-TCAGP						
		JULIE PEARL MARS	UP-TCAGP						
	Senior Science Research	ENGR. GEROME HIPOLITO	UP-TCAGP						
		PAULINE JOANNE ARCEO	UP-TCAGP						
		ENGR. DAN CHRISTOFFER ALDOVI- NO	UP-TCAGP						
LiDAR Operation		FAITH JOY SABLE	UP-TCAGP						
	Research Associate (RA)	MARY CATHERINE ELIZABETH BALIGUAS	UP-TCAGP						
		ENGR. IRO NIEL ROXAS	UP-TCAGP						
		ENGR. LARAH KRISELLE PARAGAS	UP-TCAGP						
		GRACE SINADJAN	UP-TCAGP						
		JONATHAN ALMALVEZ	UP-TCAGP						
Ground Survey, Data Down- load and Transfer	RA	JERIEL PAUL ALAMBAN, GEOL.	UP-TCAGP						
	Airborne Security	SSG. RAYMUND DOMINE	PHILIPPINE AIR FORCE (PAF)						
		SSG. RANDY SISON	PAF						
LiDAR Operation		CAPT. JACKSON JAVIER	ASIAN AERO- SPACE CORPO- RATION (AAC)						
	Pilot	CAPT. NEIL ACHILLES AGAWIN	AAC						
		CAPT. ALBERT PAUL LIM	AAC						
		CAPT. RANDY LAGCO	AAC						

ERVER	CATION	ome_Raw1	orne_Raw1	orne_Raw1	ome_Raw1	orne_Raw11	orne_Raw1	orne_Raw/1	orne_Raw/1	orne_Raw1	orne_Rawi1	ome_Raw/1	ome_Raw/1	
	9	Z:VAIrb 358A	Z:VAirb 360A	Z:VAirb 366P	Z:VAIrb 442A	Z:VAIrb 444A	Z:\Airb 450A	Z:VAirb 452A	Z:VAirb 454A	Z:Vairb 456P	B Z:Vairb	Z:Vairb 462A	Z:VAirb 464A	
LAN	KML	773/12KB	649/12KB	889/10KB	2652KB	2813/700 KB	1019KB	512KB	1522KB	641KB	476/807KE	842KB	786KB	
FLIGHT P	Actual	KB	KB	KB	A	/5KB	KB	/10KB	/2KB	KB	/5KB	/4KB	KB	
PERATOR LOGS	(OPLOG)	1KB 6	1KB 6	1KB 5	1KB N	1KB 5	1KB 5	1KB 6	1KB 5	1KB 5	1KB 5	1KB 5	1KB	
(2)	: Info (.txt)													
E STATION((S) Base	1KB	1KB	1KB	1KB	5814								
BAS	BASE	12.1MB	11.3MB	8.53MB	14.3MB	14.3MB	10.5MB	11.2MB	8.41MB	7.92MB	11.4MB	11.6MB	11.4MB	5/28/
DIGITIZER		A	A	A	A	29GB	7.0GB	6.8GB	DEGB	8.6GB	35GB	A	×	Read
SAMOF		1.1GB N	26GB N	1,9GB N	5.6GB N	5.2GB 22	07GB 8	57GB 81	4.6GB 21	1.6GB 5	4.7GB 2	5.2GB N	4.0GB N	1-1
MISSION LOG	ILE/CASI LOGS	/510/87KB 1/	06KB 8.	18/1/263KB	9/697/KB 16	///515/1/139K	57KB 6.	/415KB 9.	3/102/517KB	78/226KB 1	22KB 1-	85KB 11	37KB 1-	Haior K
RAW	GES/CASI F	V10.7GB 3	GB 2	GB 4	GB 5	GB B	GB 2	GB 1	/71.5GB 2	GB 2	GB 6	GB 6	GB 6	Namo Namo Grature Grature
sue	III	3MB 63.	4MB 41.	7MB 95.	5MB 108	4MB 79.	2MB 34.	3MB 47.	BMB 15.	2MB 66.	3MB 74.	5MB 91.	1MB 76.	2 – 0) 2 – 0)
000		B 24	B 17	B 25	B 27	B 25	13	B 23	B 26	B 21	B 27	B 27	B 25	
	(t)	1.17M	7.75M	1.37M	5.86M	2.82M	906KE	2.33M	1.88M	0.98M	1.24M	1.29M	1.20M	
W LAS	KML (swi	NA	NA	NA	NA	NN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
RA	Output LAS	Ŕ	NA N	NA.	N.	W.	NA.	A.	A	AA	AA	AA	AA	
CENCOD		QUARIUS N		QUARIUS N	AQUARIUS P	AQUARIUS P	NOUARIUS P	AQUARIUS 1	AUARIUS A	USUPAR A CONTRACT OF CONTRACT				
		34F110A A	34FS110B A	34E112A A	3GS131A A	3GSH131B A	I3HS133A A	HSES133B A	34D134A A	34C134B A	SCD135B A	5DSE136A A	ISES136B AC	om andition protuce
MICCH		3BLK	3BLK	3BLK	381/G	3BLK3	3BLK3	3BLK35	3BLK	3BLK	3BLKG	3BLK3	3BLK	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200
FLIGHT	NO.	1358A	1360A	1366A	1442A	1444A	1450A	1452A	1454A	1456A	1460A	1462A	1464A	
DATE	100	1/20/2014	/20/2014	/22/2014	/11/2014	/11/2014	/13/2014	/13/2014	/14/2014	/14/2014	/15/2014	/16/2014	/16/2014	

Ann

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)

Figure A-5.1. Transfer Sheet for Sangputan Floodplain – A

	SERVER	Z:IDACIRAW DATA	Z:\DAC\RAW DATA	Z:UDACIRAW DATA	ZIDACIRAW DATA	Z:IDACIRAW DATA		
	T PLAN KML	na	na	na	na	na		
	FLIGH	23/57/22/58/ 21/55/21/21	57/11	na	57/22	27/26/59		
	OPERATOR LOGS (OPLOG)	1KB	1KB	1KB	1KB	1KB		
	VTION(S) Base Info	1KB	1KB	1KB	1KB	1KB		
	BASE STA BASE CTATIONIEN	4.38	3.4	9.58	9.2	4.74		
	DIGITIZER	na	na	na	na	na	E E	
	RANGE	25.2	19.1	23.8	20.3	16.8	4	
	SSION LOG FILE/CASI LOGS	na	na	na	na	na	of the	
ER SHEET	RAW	na	па	na	na	na	prature AC	
VTA TRANSFI Leyte 2/1	POS	265	204	260	212	-248	ž Z ŭ ō	
â	OGS(MB)	690	490	670	526	582		
	L (swath) L	83	75	82	11	63		
	RAW LA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
	ISOR Out						7	
	SE	gemin	gemin	8A gemin	B gemin	A gemin		
	VISSION NAME	2BLK34AD022A	2BLK34AG022E	2BLK34ADEG023	2BLK34BCG023	2BLK34CG024/	Received from Asian Control Postion	
	LIGHT NO.	3765G	3767G	3769G	3771G	3773G		
	DATE	22-Jan	22-Jan-16	23-Jan-16	23-Jan-16	24-Jan-16		

Figure A-5.2. Transfer Sheet for Sangputan Floodplain – B

Annex 6. Flight Logs for the Flight Missions

Flight Log for 1366A Mission

	21 Prob	20 Rema	19 Weath	13 Engin	10 Date:	7 Pilot:	1 LIDAR (REAM Data
Acquisition Flight Age	lems and Solution	rks :	her	e On: ه۲۱	APRIL 22, 2014	J. JAMER	Operator: PJARCED	Acquisition Flight Lo,
proved by d Name	<u>с</u>		FAIR	14 Engine Off: II 20	4 12 Airport o	8 Co-Pilot: N- A	2 ALTM Mod	ñ
Acquisition		MISSION		15	of Departure (Airj	ANNIN 9F	del: ABUANUS 3 N	
Flight Certified by ARDDAL H V ARDAL H Ver Printed Name sentative)		COMPLETED.		Total Engine Time: 4+29	port, City/Province):	loute:	Vission Name: 3844.34	
Pilot-in-Comp				16 Take off:	12 Airport of Arrival		JI 2A 4 Type: VFR	
and Guiet Printed Name				17 Landing:	(Airport, City/Province):		5 Aircraft Type: CesnnaT206	
Lidar Operator				18 Total Flight Time:			6H 6 Aircraft Identification	
							RPC 9122	Log No.:/~~

Figure A-6.1. Flight Log for Mission 1366A





Aircraft Identification: 90 J		8 Total Flight Time: 4 + 0)			-			Aircraft Mechanic/ UDAR Tecl	
5 Aircra ft Type: Cesnna T206H 6	ki rport, City/Province):	17 Landing: 11 12:08			stul tuqut.			LIDAR Operator	
A 4 Type: VFR	12 Airport of Arrival (A	16 Take off: 07:57	31 Ramarks		tenance JUCC &			e.in.commend IV. M.M. store over Printed Name	
3 Mission Name: 2 8LK 34Apt	9 Route: JALLUETA	15 Total Engine Time: は キル	·	20.c Others	 LIDAR System Main Aircraft Maintenanc Phil-LIDAR Admin A 			Pilot Down in Det H	
t Log 2 ALTM Model: \$ \$1Mi71	0-Pilot: Kandy hallo	Engine Off: 12:13 PM	gesty clark	0.b Non Billable	 Aircraft Test Flight AAC Admin Flight Others: 			Acquisition Flight Cert SSG Paul Manual Signature over Phinte (PAF Representati	
IL-LIDAR 1 Data Acquisition Flight	Pilot: Mput Lien 80	3 Engine On: AN 14	9 Weather	0 Flight Classification	 Acquisition Flight Ferry Flight System Test Flight 	O Calibration meric	 Weather Problem System Problem Aircraft Problem Pilot Problem Pilot Problem Others: 	Acquisition Flight Approved by P CW 11, rECH, Mrce/D Signature over Philwed Name (End User Representative)	



Figure A-6.5. Flight Log for Mission 3767G

-LiDAR 1 Data Acquisiti	on Flight Log				Flight Log No.: 3 7(n
DAR Operator: J_ H lot: Albert Linn	Madlue 1 2 ALTM Model: DEMINI	3 Mission Name: 2 Buc 3480 9 Route:	(025 B 4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cesnna T206H	6 Aircraft Identification: kPC 9022
late: 1-29 -1(p	12 Airport of Departure	Airport, City/Province):	12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province):	
ngine On: 7: 4 (p	14 Engine Off: $ 2^{\circ}_{10}0 $	15 Total Engine Time: はすこう	16 Take off: 7: G /	17 Landing: 12:04.	18 Total Flight Time:
/eather	dad				
ight Classification			21 Remarks	-	
Billable Acquisition Flight O Ferry Flight O System Test Flight O Calibration Flight	20.b Non Billable o Aircraft Test Flight o AAdmin Flight o Others:	20.c Others O LIDAR System Mainten O Aircraft Maintenance O Phil-LIDAR Admin Activ	nance D.C.	+ uby + How +	
Weather Problem System Problem Aircraft Problem Pilot Problem Others:		•		•	
Tuistion Flight Approved L Du I / N THV 20 Thure over Printed Name End User Representative)	Acquisition Flight Certifi	Dedriver Date Pilot-In-Co	mmand Multisen over Printed Name	LIDAR Operator	Aircraft Mechanic/ LIDAR Technician

Figure A-6.6. Flight Log for Mission 3769G



Figure A-6.7. Flight Log for Mission 3771G

No.	on: RPC -6022									LIDAR Technician	
Hight L	esnnaT206H 6 Aircraft Identificati	ice):	18 Total Flight Time: 4+01				tright.			or Aircraft Mechanic/ Aircraft Mechanic/ Africta Juve 2 Frinted Name Signature over Prir	•
	4 Type: VFR S Aircraft Type: (port of Arrival (Ai port, Cty/Provi	ke off: 17 Landing: Ø: Ø0 122:01		21 Remarks		Successor)			Linda Operat	
	3 Mission Name: 2846 5966024 8	irport, City/Province): 12 Ai	L5 Total Engine Time: 16 Ta			20.c Others	 LIDAR System Maintenance Aircraft Maintenance Phil-LiDAR Admin Activities 		5	brinke Part Historian	
int Log	NEZ 2 ALTM Model: PEUWIN	12 Airport of Departure (A	LEngine Off: 12:D6	clindy	2	20.b Non Billable	o Aircraft Test Flight o AAC Admin Flight o Others:			Acquisition Flight Certifie	
 L-LIDAR 1 Data Acquisition Filg	DAR Operator: J. Amal	Date: 1-24.16	Engine On: 7: 77 14	Veather	Flight Classification	a Billable	 Acquisition Flight Ferry Flight System Test Flight Calibration Flight 	roblems and Solutions	 Weather Problem System Problem Aircraft Problem Pilot Problem Others: 	Acquisition Filght Approved by and I in the Apcaro Signature over Heighed Name (End User Representative)	

Figure A-6.8. Flight Log for Mission 3773G

Annex 7. Flight Status Reports

	Table A-7-1. Flight Status Report													
			LEYTE-SAMA	R										
FLIGHT NO	AREA	MISSION	OPERATOR	DATE FLOWN	REMARKS									
1366A	BLK34E	3BLK34E112A	P. Arceo	April 22,2014	Mission completed									
1454A	BLK34D BLK33E	3BLK34D134A	I. Roxas	May 14, 2014	Completed mission over BLK34D and some voids over BLK33E.									
1456A	BLK34D	3BLK34C134B	P. Arceo	May 14, 2014	Completed mission over BLK34D and voids over BLK33E.									
3765G	BLK34A BLK34D	2BLK34AD022A	J.Almalvez	Jan. 22, 2016	Surveyed 7 lines at BLK34D and 10 lines at BLK34A.									
3767G	BLK34A BLK34G	2BLK34AG022B	G. Sinadjan	Jan. 22, 2016	Surveyed 7 lines at BLK34A and 16 lines at BLK34G.									
3769G	BLK34A BLK34D BLK34E BLK34G	2BLK34ADEG023A	J.Almalvez	Jan. 23, 2016	Completed BLK34A, BLK34D and BLK 34E. Surveyed 6 lines at BLK34G.									
3771G	BLK34B BLK34C BLK34G	2BLK34BCG023B	G. Sinadjan	Jan. 23, 2016	Completed BLK34B. Surveyed 10 lines at BLK34C and 4 lines at BLK34G.									
3773G	BLK34C BLK34G	2BLK34CG024A	J. Almalvez	Jan. 24, 2016	Completed BLK34C and BLK34G.									

LAS/SWATH PER FLIGHT MISSION Flight No. : 1366A BLK34E Area: Mission Name: 3BLK34E112A Total Area: 121.43 sq. km Altitude: 600m PRF: SCF: 50 Hz 50 kHz Lidar FOV: 18 deg Sidelap:30% Barugo arigara San Miguel BLK34C BLK34D BLK34E Tunga Alangalang mSanta Fe Jaro © 20 Imag 14.0 km Image © 20 Data SIO, NOAA, L

Figure A-7.1. Swath for Flight No. 1366A

Flight No. : Area: Total Area: Mission Name: Altitude: PRF: Lidar FOV:	1454A BLK34D & BLK3 138.839 sq. km 3BLK34D134A 600m 50 kHz 18 deg	4E SCF: Sidelap:	50 Hz 30%				
Caibiran				Allareal BLK33 BLK33G BLK33F	D		
		Baba	tngon	BLK33E			
	Carigara ^a BLK34C	ELK34D	BLK34E		Basey Jinamoc Isla acloban City	and	
	0 188 km	Jaro	© 2 Ima Image © 2 Data SIO, NOAA,	HI 14 Google 6 Lancsat 14 Digital Globe J.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO	San Pedro an	od San Pablo Bay	arth

Figure A-7.2. Swath for Flight No. 1454A

Flight No. :	1456A				
Area:	BLK34C				
Total Area:	98.421 sq. km.				
Mission Name:	3BLK34C134B				
Altitude:	600m				
PRF:	50 kHz	SCF:	50 Hz		
Lidar FOV:	18 deg	Sidelap:	30%		



Figure A-7.3. Swath for Flight No. 1456A



Figure A-7.4. Swath for Flight No. 3765G

START	STOP	LINE#	ALT	PRF	FREQ	ANGLE	MP	DIV	RC	MPM	HDG	Plan File
00:33:39.345	00:33:51.77	20	818	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
00:39:03.043	00:44:47.236	20	1212	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
00:46:57.49	00:53:03.348	23	1216	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
00:56:12.181	01:01:35.629	19	1207	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
01:03:47.493	01:09:54.181	22	1220	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
01:13:33.354	01:18:56.742	18	1203	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
01:25:27.664	01:31:11.217	23	945	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
01:37:39.524	01:43:47.442	28	632	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
01:57:05.277	01:58:31.191	28	592	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:07:24.673	02:15:05.335	71	691	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:17:23.449	02:25:59.311	67	686	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:31:41.644	02:34:33.843	70	654	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:31:41.644	02:35:58.793	70	685	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:39:50.507	02:47:20.039	70	672	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:49:39.738	02:57:59.426	68	661	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:00:26.88	03:08:07.342	69	659	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:00:26.88	03:08:19.227	69	663	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:10:15.317	03:18:12.774	72	675	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:20:49.349	03:28:01.001	73	678	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:30:11.401	03:37:48.178	74	659	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:40:37.743	03:47:28.916	75	640	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:49:24.55	03:56:58.098	76	658	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.03	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln



Figure A-7.5. Swath for Flight No. 3767G

START	STOP	LINE#	ALT	PRF	FREQ	ANGLE	MP	DIV	RC	MPM	HDG Plan File
06:25:43.612	06:32:32.645	71	963	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
06:34:58.854	06:41:57.612	72	946	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
06:44:15.856	06:50:28.824	73	956	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
06:52:46.489	06:59:15.317	74	954	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
07:01:33.721	07:07:19.164	75	945	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
07:09:31.584	07:16:03.106	76	957	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
07:17:57.791	07:23:46.924	77	918	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
07:27:14.723	07:30:12.042	77	933	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
07:36:38.11	07:40:31.219	320	937	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	133.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
07:42:44.693	07:47:06.076	321	946	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	313.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
07:49:10.761	07:53:15.534	322	949	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	313.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
07:55:14.869	07:59:24.692	319	945	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	133.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:01:37.732	08:05:33.15	318	934	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	313.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:07:46.835	08:13:57.012	317	944	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	133.03 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:17:02.431	08:19:03.081	333	940	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	45.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:20:50.225	08:22:36.12	334	936	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	225.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:24:33.629	08:26:41.398	332	944	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	225.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:28:36.838	08:30:23.977	331	949	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	45.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:32:26.051	08:34:24.806	330	963	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	45.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:36:16.365	08:38:12.084	329	950	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	45.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:40:07.734	08:42:15.738	328	941	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	225.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:44:02.367	08:46:01.911	327	944	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	225.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:47:52.911	08:50:02.565	326	961	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	45.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
08:51:54.809	08:53:52.054	325	954	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	225.97 LEYTE_New@850.pln
hu, suggeste in sign varietien											



Figure A-7.6. Swath for Flight No. 3769G

START	STOP	LINE#	ALT	PRF	FREQ	ANGLE	MP	DIV	RC	MPM	HDG	Plan File
00:22:31.78	00:26:20.698	72	1211	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
00:28:37.812	00:31:16.126	73	1193	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
00:35:24.269	00:37:33.159	74	1224	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.03	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
00:40:39.812	00:41:30.702	74	1207	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	127.01	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
00:48:05.129	00:53:46.931	24	1205	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
00:55:33.871	01:01:07.443	28	1208	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
01:03:10.442	01:08:58.935	25	1205	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
01:10:53.874	01:16:49.566	27	1212	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
01:19:28.82	01:25:08.443	26	1212	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
01:29:09.126	01:30:14.996	24	1192	100	50.00	17.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@1100LYT104.pln
01:36:23.963	01:39:08.327	2	690	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
01:40:33.271	01:43:43.215	8	661	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
01:44:58.119	01:47:39.883	3	683	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
01:49:25.737	01:52:23.296	7	695	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
01:54:07.941	01:56:51.769	4	684	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
01:58:40.714	02:01:44.517	9	718	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:03:20.157	02:06:08.861	5	683	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:08:12.91	02:11:24.969	10	679	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:12:56.988	02:15:43.177	6	692	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:17:57.036	02:21:14.385	15	704	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:22:41.799	02:25:42.018	11	682	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:22:41.799	02:25:42.018	11	681	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:26:59.208	02:30:06.887	16	713	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:31:32.886	02:34:31.04	12	674	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:38:46.379	02:41:45.777	17	682	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:43:14.947	02:46:16.721	13	683	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:47:39.07	02:50:12.384	18	686	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:51:46.069	02:54:51.693	14	671	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	260.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
02:58:54.526	03:01:12.241	19	679	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:04:38.069	03:06:50.924	19	702	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	80.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:11:20.722	03:15:25.216	88	691	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:16:32.95	03:19:39.779	93	688	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:21:01.809	03:25:02.922	89	669	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:25:59.182	03:29:14.811	92	692	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:30:41.01	03:34:40.869	90	681	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:36:02.318	03:39:28.072	91	694	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln
03:41:36.076	03:42:46.301	88	641	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@600LYT104.pln

FLIGHT NO.:3771GAREA:LeyteMISSION NAME:2BLK34BCG023BALT:850 mSURVEYED AREA:143.4 km²

SCAN ANGLE: 20



Figure A-7.7. Swath for Flight No. 3771G

START	STOP	LINE#	ALT	PRF	FREQ	ANGLE	MP	DIV	RC	MPM	HDG	Plan File
06:35:50.577	06:39:05.031	92	957	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	133.03	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
06:41:21.246	06:44:51.86	91	940	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
06:47:00.754	06:50:13.578	90	957	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	180.00	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
06:52:20.328	06:55:54.407	89	948	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
06:59:06.001	07:01:55.615	43	946	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	308.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:04:23.724	07:07:40.653	42	970	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	308.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:09:27.792	07:12:48.761	41	949	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	128.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:14:45.411	07:19:03.689	40	995	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	128.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:14:45.411	07:19:03.689	40	998	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	128.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:22:30.443	07:26:26.447	39	947	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	308.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:29:17.041	07:31:04.62	39	958	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	308.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:34:35.484	07:38:18.518	38	933	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	128.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:40:19.587	07:44:00.311	37	940	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	308.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:46:35.415	07:50:29.218	36	943	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	128.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:53:02.767	07:56:56.151	35	946	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	308.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
07:59:03.52	08:03:27.419	34	952	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	128.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:05:49.663	08:09:57.871	60	949	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:12:18.735	08:16:57.524	59	965	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:19:25.488	08:24:05.456	58	944	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:26:08.015	08:30:54.088	57	944	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:33:10.808	08:37:45.911	56	951	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:39:57.74	08:45:00.053	55	973	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:46:48.528	08:48:48.057	61	956	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:50:51.951	08:53:44.495	61	951	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
08:56:35.949	09:01:09.127	54	917	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
09:03:11.426	09:08:11.744	53	958	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
09:10:28.919	09:14:22.267	52	962	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.02	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln
09:20:26.535	09:22:07.164	91	949	100	50.00	20.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	360.00	LEYTE_New@850LYT104.pln

FLIGHT NO.:	3773G	
AREA:	Leyte	
MISSION NAME:	2BLK34CG	024A
ALT:	600 m	SCAN FREQ: 40
SURVEYED AREA:	90.6 km ²	
SURVEYED AREA:	90.6 km²	



SCAN ANGLE: 25

Figure A-7.8. Swath for Flight No. 3773G

START	STOP	LINE#	ALT	PRF	FREQ	ANGLE	MP	DIV	RC	MPM	HDG	Plan File
00:41:26.291	00:45:37.304	54	673	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	129,99	levtevoiDSnew@600.pln
00:47:19.499	00:47:47.129	50	670	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	309.99	levtevoIDSnew@600.pln
00:48:00.128	00:49:49.973	50	642	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	309.99	levtevoIDSnew@600.pln
00:55:27.525	00:59:52.439	55	680	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	129.99	levtevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:02:18.758	01:06:11.696	53	626	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	309.99	levtevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:11:13.674	01:14:14.473	51	635	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	129.99	levtevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:16:42.357	01:20:10.02	52	670	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	309.99	levtevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:20:49.76	01:21:04.42	54	673	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.00	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:24:38.359	01:25:37.498	54	664	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.00	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:30:49.756	01:32:51.7	50	665	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	129.99	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:37:16.759	01:39:56.043	131	686	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	36.01	leyteVOIDSnew@600.pln
01:41:54.187	01:44:07.646	136	677	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	216.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:46:06.62	01:48:48.959	132	723	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	36.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:50:32.703	01:52:38.423	137	724	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	216.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
01:54:26.957	01:56:40.186	133	675	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	36.01	leyteVOIDSnew@600.pln
01:58:22.395	02:00:28.59	138	677	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	216.01	leyteVOIDSnew@600.pln
02:02:11.674	02:04:57.128	134	683	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	36.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
02:06:30.502	02:08:37.931	139	682	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	216.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
02:10:13.101	02:12:54.015	135	693	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	36.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
02:14:21.569	02:16:27.344	140	681	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	216.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
02:18:30.538	02:21:11.317	141	693	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	36.01	leyteVOIDSnew@600.pln
02:23:48.796	02:25:34.795	141	729	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	36.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
02:28:25.509	02:30:35.513	120	692	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.00	leyteVOIDSnew@600.pln
02:32:05.188	02:34:04.657	124	675	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.00	leyteVOIDSnew@600.pln
02:35:54.016	02:38:07.216	121	691	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.00	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
02:39:35.88	02:41:22.769	125	706	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.00	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
02:42:45.769	02:44:49.598	122	695	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.00	leyteVOIDSnew@600.pln
02:46:19.373	02:47:57.102	126	697	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	310.00	leytevoiDSnew@600.pln
02:50:03.911	02:52:14.036	123	677	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	130.00	leytevoiDSnew@600.pln
02:54:49.325	02:56:02.074	123	667	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	128.98	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
02:58:39.883	03:00:18.348	145	698	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	308.98	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
03:01:59.887	03:03:42.777	143	685	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	308.98	leyteVOIDSnew@600.pln
03:05:27.966	03:07:08.375	144	689	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	315.00	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
03:08:34.69	03:09:55.629	149	707	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	315.00	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
03:11:43.889	03:13:06.778	148	667	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	315.00	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
03:15:53.122	03:17:54.942	152	709	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	231.49	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
03:20:17.491	03:22:19.975	153	686	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	231.49	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln
03:28:30.043	03:29:27.943	85	629	100	40.00	25.00	OFF	NAR	ON	OFF	307.01	leytevoIDSnew@600.pln

Table A-8.1. Mission Summary	Report for Mission Blk 34C						
Flight Area	Samar-Leyte						
Mission Name	Blk 34C						
Inclusive Flights	1456A						
Range data size	11.6 GB						
Base data size	7.92 MB						
POS	212 MB						
Image	66.6 GB						
Transfer date	May 28, 2014						
Solution Status							
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes						
PDOP (<3)	Yes						
Baseline Length (<30km)	Yes						
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes						
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)							
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	1.3						
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.2						
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	2.6						
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000399354						
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.0089118						
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0169262						
Minimum % overlap (>25)	40.85%						
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	3.01						
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes						
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	143						
Maximum Height	151.03 m						
Minimum Height	59.09 m						
Classification (# of points)							
Ground	63,841,063						
Low vegetation	73,433,267						
Medium vegetation	90,859,082						
High vegetation	26,640,847						
Building	1,833,370						
Orthophoto	Yes						
Processed by	Engr. Carlyn Ann Ibañez, Engr. Chelou Prado, Engr. Gladys Mae Apat						



Figure A-8.2. Smoothed Performance Metrics Parameters



Figure A-8.4. Coverage of LiDAR data



Figure A-8.6. Density map of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.7. Elevation difference between flight lines

Flight Area	Samar-Leyte					
Mission Name	Blk 34D					
Inclusive Flights	1454A					
Range data size	14.6 GB					
Base data size	8.41 MB					
POS	268 MB					
Image	87.2 GB					
Transfer date	May 28, 2014					
Solution Status						
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes					
PDOP (<3)	Yes					
Baseline Length (<30km)	No					
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes					
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)						
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	2.2					
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.7					
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	3.9					
Deresight correction stday (<0.001 dog)	0.000408					
IN the attitude correction stdey (<0.001deg)	0.001408					
GPS position stdey (<0.001deg)	0.001494					
	0.0227					
Minimum % overlap (>25)	29.29%					
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	2.73					
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes					
Number of 1km v 1km blocks	1/0					
Maximum Height	141 70 m					
Minimum Height	34.19 m					
¥						
Classification (# of points)						
Ground	63,755,821					
Low vegetation	79,475,355					
Medium vegetation	77,581,284					
High vegetation	15,167,004					
Building	849,062					
Orthophoto	Yes					
Processed by	Ma. Victoria Rejuso, Engr. Harmond Santos, Engr. Gladys Mae Apat					

Table A-8.2. Mission Summary Report for Mission Blk 34D



Figure A-8.9. Smoothed Performance Metrics Parameters



Figure A-8.11. Coverage of LiDAR data



Figure A-8.13. Density map of merged LiDAR data


Figure A-8.14. Elevation difference between flight lines

Elight Area	Samar Louto
Mission Name	
	1266 4
Pange data size	14.0 GB
Rase data size	2 52 MD
	257 MP
F03	05.5 CR
Transfor data	55.5 GB
	Ividy 28, 2014
Solution Status	
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes
	Vec
Baseline Length (<30km)	No
	Voc
	103
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)	
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	1.7
RMSE for Fast Position (<4.0 cm)	2.0
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	3.8
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000518
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.026089
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0388
Minimum % overlap (>25)	53.44%
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	3.08
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	169
Maximum Height	313.64 m
Minimum Height	59.54 m
Classification (# of points)	
Ground	108,115,249
Low vegetation	76,412,876
Medium vegetation	82,519,137
High vegetation	16,810,372
Building	540,046
Orthophoto	Yes
Processed by	Engr. Jennifer Saguran, Engr. Harmond Santos, Engr. Gladys Mae Apat

Table A-8.3. Mission Summary	v Report f	for Mission	Blk34E
------------------------------	------------	-------------	--------







Figure A-8.16. Smoothed Performance Metrics Parameters





Figure A-8.20. Density map of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.21. Elevation difference between flight lines

Table A-8.4. Mission Summ	ary Report for Mission Blk 34C
Flight Area	Leyte
Mission Name	Blk 34C
Inclusive Flights	3773G, 3771G
Range data size	37.1 GB
Base data size	460 MB
POS	13.94 MB
Image	n/a
Transfer date	February 12, 2016
Solution Status	
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes
PDOP (<3)	Yes
Baseline Length (<30km)	Yes
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)	
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	0.8
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.0
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	2.8
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000620
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.004668
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0133
Minimum % overlap (>25)	35.68
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	4.41
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	190
Maximum Height	293.50 m
Minimum Height	85.36 m
Classification (# of points)	
Ground	73,091,228
Low vegetation	68,546,439
Medium vegetation	272,398,780
High vegetation	231,908,658
Building	3,024,175
Orthophoto	None
Processed by	Engr. Analyn Naldo, Engr. Harmond Santos, Maria Tamsyn Malabanan



Figure A-8.23. Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters



Figure A-8.25. Coverage of LiDAR Data



Figure A-8.27. Density map of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.28. Elevation difference between flight lines

Flight AreaMission NameInclusive FlightsRange data sizeBase data size	Leyte Blk34D 3767G, 3773G 35.9 GB 452 MB 8.14 MB n/a February 12, 2016
Mission Name Inclusive Flights Range data size Base data size	Blk34D 3767G, 3773G 35.9 GB 452 MB 8.14 MB n/a February 12, 2016
Inclusive Flights Range data size Base data size	3767G, 3773G 35.9 GB 452 MB 8.14 MB n/a February 12, 2016
Range data size Base data size	35.9 GB 452 MB 8.14 MB n/a February 12, 2016
Base data size	452 MB 8.14 MB n/a February 12, 2016
	8.14 MB n/a February 12, 2016
POS	n/a February 12, 2016
Image	February 12, 2016
Transfer date	
Solution Status	
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes
PDOP (<3)	Yes
Baseline Length (<30km)	No
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)	
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	1.0
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.3
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	2.2
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000942
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.002535
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0116
Minimum % overlap (>25)	42.76
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	4.23
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	126
Maximum Height	205.76 m
Minimum Height	10.90 m
Classification (# of points)	
Ground	37,541,051
Low vegetation	64,452,630
Medium vegetation	157,969,342
High vegetation	87,019,402
Building	1,194,655
Orthophoto	No
Processed by	Engr. Jennifer Saguran, Engr. Ma. Joanne Balaga, Jovy Narisma

Table A-85	Mission	Summary	Report f	or Mission	RIL34D
1 abic 11 0.5.	1011331011	Jummary	Report	01 1011331011	DIKJID







Figure A-8.30. Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters



Figure A-8.31. Best Estimated Trajectory



Figure A-8.32. Coverage of LiDAR Data



Figure A-8.34. Density map of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.35. Elevation difference between flight lines

Flight Area	Leyte
Mission Name	Blk 34E
Inclusive Flights	3767G, 3765G
Range data size	44.3 GB
Base data size	459 MB
POS	7.78 MB
Image	n/a
Transfer date	February 12, 2016
Solution Status	
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes
PDOP (<3)	No
Baseline Length (<30km)	No
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)	
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	1.9
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.5
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	6.0
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000478
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.003642
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0029
Minimum % overlap (>25)	34.99
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	4.65
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	93
Maximum Height	415.68 m
Minimum Height	63.54 m
Classification (# of points)	
Ground	35,181,518
Low vegetation	42,803,820
Medium vegetation	136,496,439
High vegetation	111,171,628
Building	2,703,347
Orthophoto	None
Processed by	Engr. Sheila-Maye Santillan, Engr. Justine Francisco, Marie Denise Bueno

Table A-8.6. Mission Summary Report for Mission Blk 34E



Figure A-8.37. Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters



Figure A-8.39. Coverage of LiDAR Data



Figure A-8.41. Density map of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.42. Elevation difference between flight lines

	-
Flight Area	Leyte
Mission Name	Blk 34E_Additional
Inclusive Flights	3769G
Range data size	23.8 GB
Base data size	260 MB
POS	9.58 MB
Image	n/a
Transfer date	February 12, 2016
Solution Status	
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes
PDOP (<3)	Yes
Baseline Length (<30km)	No
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)	
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	1.1
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.2
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	3.3
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000767
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.004064
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0063
Minimum % overlap (>25)	6.16
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	4.62
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	36
Maximum Height	582.56 m
Minimum Height	61.27 m
Classification (# of points)	
Ground	2,938,333
Low vegetation	324,554
Medium vegetation	8,108,083
High vegetation	30,643,240
Building	9,339
Orthophoto	None
Processed by	Engr. Kenneth Solidum, Engr. Harmond Santos, Engr. Krisha Marie Bautista





Figure A-8.44. Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters



Figure A-8.46. Coverage of LiDAR Data



Figure A-8.48. Density map of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.49. Elevation difference between flight lines

Parameters
Basin
Model
Sangputan
Annex 9.

Table A-9.1. Sangputan Model Basin Parameters

	Ratio to Peak	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Threshold Type	Ratio to Peak																
on Baseflow	Recession Constant	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	8.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Recessi	Initial Discharge (m3/s)	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
	Initial Type	Discharge																
ph Transform	Storage Coefficient	0.38394	1.17678	0.8454	0.44022	4.4835	2.21604	1.44981	2.54775	1.89318	1.4442	0.9501	0.85989	0.81372	0.55809	0.6225	1.11372	0.85236
Clark Unit Hydrogra	Time of Concentration	2.5596	7.8452	5.636	2.9348	29.89	14.7736	9.6654	16.985	12.6212	9.628	6.334	5.7326	5.4248	3.7206	4.15	7.4248	5.6824
Loss	Impervious %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
urve Number	Curve Number	85.93032	44.1	82.8541	84.55342	68.98612	78.6058	49.73696	81.45466	77.69636	72.65916	76.77614	78.03054	77.89236	81.34	74.1223	58.653	62.20354
SCS C	Initial Abstraction	5.70824	49.6712	7.42904	6.46304	17.092	10.0272	39.436	8.2552	10.62	14.1736	11.2344	10.4008	10.4912	8.324	13.092	27.2632	23.3872
	Sub basin	W180	W190	W200	W210	W220	W230	W240	W250	W260	W270	W280	W290	W300	W310	W320	W330	W340

Annex 10. Sangputan Model Reach Parameters

Side Slope 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 14.806 23.406 Width 6.3525 18.851 12.787 23.832 37.283 14.63 Trapezoid Trapezoid Trapezoid Trapezoid Trapezoid Trapezoid Trapezoid Trapezoid Shape Manning's n 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.000355802143705370 8.963823447561998E-5 0.000759177253726277 0.0015396 0.0020884 0.0104460 0.0053901 0.0157862 Slope 3469.0 3031.6 2927.6 Length 1757.9 4884.3 814.12 15217 15414 Automatic Fixed Interval Time Step Method Reach R100 R110 R150 R30 R40 R90 R20 R60

Table A-10.1. Sangputan Model Reach Parameters

Annex 11. Sangputan Field Validation Points

Table A-11.1. Sangputan Validation Points for 5-year Flood Depth Map

Point	Validation (Coordinates		Validation Points	L		Rain Return /
Number	Lat	Long	iviodel var (m)	(m)	Error	Event/ Date	Scenario
504	11.188450°	124.784863°	0.490	0.2	0.290	Typhoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
508	11.184357°	124.797975°	0:030	0.4	-0.370	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
509	11.196058°	124.791744°	0:030	0.8	-0.770	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
512	11.202591°	124.807698°	0.140	0.5	-0.360	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
517	11.211108°	124.814508°	0.150	2	-1.850	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
517	11.217271°	124.823583°	0:030	0.4	-0.370	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
519	11.225920°	124.817280°	0.150	0.3	-0.150	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
526	11.217280°	124.850085°	0:030	0.5	-0.470	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
527	11.22359°	124.851490°	0.060	0.6	-0.540	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
532	11.229743°	124.849714°	0.610	0.3	0.310	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
534	11.237016°	124.856709°	0.320	0.6	-0.280	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
535	11.238605°	124.857545°	0.030	0.7	-0.670	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year
536	11.242109°	124.860112°	0.030	0.5	-0.470	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013	5 -Year

Rain Return /	Scenario	5 -Year							
Firmet (Date	Event/ Date	Tyhpoon Yolanda/ November 8, 2013							
 	EITOT	-1.190	-0.110	-0.280	-0.170	0.290	0.180	-0.270	
Validation Points	(m)	1.4	0.4	0.4		0.6	0.3	0.3	
	iviodel var (m)	0.210	0.290	0.120	0.030	0.890	0.480	0.030	
cordinates	Long	124.855207°	124.828779°	124.829540°	124.825773°	124.820874°	124.830354°	124.830479	
Validation C	Lat	11.257573°	11.229932°	11.235762°	11.235670°	11.238782°	11.239547°	11.3014877	
Point	Number	546	5511	552	553	554	556	382	

	Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year													
		Event/Date	Tyhpoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014												
-11.2. Sangputan Validation Points for 100-year Flood Depth Map		Error	0.070	-0.260	0.030	-0.970	0.270	0.460	1.270	-0.360	-0.760	-0.370	-0.290	-0.320	0.150	0.030
	Validation Points	(m)	0.00	0.30	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.40	0.80	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00
		Model Var (m)	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.27	0.76	1.27	0.04	0.04	0.13	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.03
Table A	Coordinates	Long	11.189845°	11.185940°	11.184439°	11.185390°	11.184881°	11.185681°	11.183935°	11.184357°	11.196058°	11.196337°	11.200985°	11.202591°	11.201717°	11.211395°
	Validation (Lat	11.189845°	11.185940°	11.184439°	11.185390°	11.184881°	11.185681°	11.183935°	11.184357°	11.196058°	11.196337°	11.200985°	11.202591°	11.201717°	11.211395°
	Point	Number	500	501	502	503	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	-1.390	0.610	0.210	0.030	0.230	0.020	-0.270	1.420	0.000	0.210	0.070	0.690	1.060	0.140	0.790
Validation Points	(m)	2.00	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.30	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.30	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.30
	Model Var (m)	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.03	0.53	0.32	0.23	1.42	00.00	0.81	0.37	0.69	1.46	0.14	1.09
oordinates	Long	11.211108°	11.210841°	11.217271°	11.222374°	11.225920°	11.208569°	11.210040°	11.204472°	11.210893°	11.222359°	11.224580°	11.213904°	11.221423°	11.225893°	11.229743°
Validation C	Lat	11.211108°	11.210841°	11.217271°	11.222374°	11.225920°	11.208569°	11.210040°	11.204472°	11.210893°	11.22359°	11.224580°	11.213904°	11.221423°	11.225893°	11.229743°
Point	Number	516	516	517	518	519	522	523	524	525	527	528	529	530	5311	532

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	0.410	0.140	-0.630	-0.470	-0.460	090.0	-0.260	-0.470	090.0	-0.370	-0.010	090.0	-1.140	-0.550	0.190
Validation Points	(m)	0.40	0.60	0.70	0.50	0.50	00.00	0.50	0.50	00.0	0.40	0.10	00.0	1.40	0.80	0.00
	Model Var (m)	0.81	0.74	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.24	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.26	0.25	0.19
cordinates	Long	11.233144°	11.237016°	11.238605°	11.242109°	11.230377°	11.233633°	11.234754°	11.242043°	11.243150°	11.246368°	11.251984°	11.254013°	11.257573°	11.221280°	11.227005°
Validation Co	Lat	11.233144°	11.237016°	11.238605°	11.242109°	11.230377°	11.233633°	11.234754°	11.242043°	11.243150°	11.246368°	11.251984°	11.254013°	11.257573°	11.221280°	11.227005°
Point	Number	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	5411	542	543	544	546	547	548

-

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	0.440	0.030	0.100	-0.170	0.530	-0.170	0.720	0.360	-0.260	0.290	0.450	0.110	-0.370	-0.270	-0.170
Validation Points	(m)	00.00	00.00	0.40	0.20	0.60	0.30	0.30	0.60	0.30	0.30	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.30	0.20
	Model Var (m)	0.44	0.03	0.50	0.03	1.13	0.13	1.02	0.96	0.04	0.59	0.65	0.41	0.03	0.03	0.03
cordinates	Long	11.221722°	11.226411°	11.229932°	11.235670°	11.238782°	11.240647°	11.239547°	11.246493°	11.298066	11.301496	11.306124	11.308551	11.317684	11.326560	11.328879
Validation C	Lat	11.221722°	11.226411°	11.229932°	11.235670°	11.238782°	11.240647°	11.239547°	11.246493°	11.2980659	11.3014962	11.3061245	11.3085512	11.3176845	11.3265605	11.3288788
Point	Number	549	550	5511	553	554	555	556	557	14	15	16	17	18	19	20

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
I	Error	-0.270	-0.270	-0.270	-0.270	-0.170	-0.350	-0.130	-0.270	-0.270	0.030	0.050	0.030	0.030	1.260	0.030
Validation Points	(m)	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.20	0.40	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Model Var (m)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.17	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.03	1.26	0.03
Coordinates	Long	11.331491	11.330256	11.329255	11.327394	11.326020	11.323685	11.322965	11.323443	11.324431	11.334747	11.338999	11.342305	11.334688	11.348628	11.355073
Validation (Lat	11.3314911	11.3302559	11.3292548	11.3273943	11.3260198	11.3236854	11.3229652	11.3234427	11.3244313	11.334747	11.3389988	11.3423045	11.3346881	11.3486278	11.3550731
Point	Number	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	1.060	0.670	-0.760	0.690	0.030	0.160	0.030	1.750	0.800	0.840	1.030	-0.470	0.150	0.420	-0.220
Validation Points	(m)	00.00	00.00	1.30	0.30	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.67	1.30	1.00	0.50
	Model Var (m)	1.06	0.67	0.54	0.99	0.03	0.16	0.03	1.75	1.30	1.84	1.53	1.20	1.45	1.42	0.28
oordinates	Long	11.361939	11.354822	11.354866	11.354704	11.355029	11.354979	11.355387	11.355512	11.354813	11.355468	11.355376	11.355273	11.355199	11.357854	11.359943
Validation C	Lat	11.3619394	11.354822	11.3548664	11.3547036	11.3550289	11.3549791	11.3553866	11.3555121	11.3548125	11.3554676	11.355376	11.3552729	11.3551991	11.3578545	11.3599432
Point	Number	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	45	46	47	48	49	50	51
Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
-------------------	---------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
I	Error	-0.470	-0.370	-0.200	-0.800	-0.670	-0.460	-0.440	-0.590	-1.140	-1.110	0.050	0.030	0.030	0.040	-0.150
Validation Points	(m)	0.50	1.50	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30
	Model Var (m)	0.03	1.13	1.10	0.50	0.63	1.04	1.06	0.91	0.36	0.39	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.15
Coordinates	Long	11.360706	11.360488	11.360155	11.360086	11.360100	11.360358	11.360523	11.361270	11.366173	11.370584	11.380160	11.379148	11.379140	11.377502	11.378761
Validation (Lat	11.3607056	11.3604877	11.3601551	11.3600863	11.3601004	11.3603582	11.3605233	11.3612698	11.3661733	11.3705837	11.3801604	11.3791484	11.3791402	11.377502	11.3787605
Point	Number	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	67

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	-0.130	-0.120	0.080	0.060	-0.130	0.030	-1.470	-1.360	-0.450	1.450	0.900	0.160	0.060	-0.020	0.150
Validation Points	(m)	0.20	0.20	00.00	00.00	0.20	00.00	1.50	1.50	0.50	0.30	0.50	1.00	00.0	0.05	0.00
	Model Var (m)	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.14	0.05	1.75	1.40	1.16	0.06	0.03	0.15
oordinates	Long	11.380006	11.380416	11.379400	11.379840	11.377771	11.374925	11.372062	11.364041	11.360356	11.357218	11.357002	11.355984	11.355864	11.355829	11.355824
Validation C	Lat	11.3800061	11.380416	11.3793997	11.3798401	11.3777706	11.3749251	11.3720621	11.3640406	11.3603561	11.3572179	11.3570016	11.355984	11.3558635	11.3558289	11.3558244
Point	Number	69	70	75	76	17	78	62	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	-0.020	-1.640	0.800	0.030	0.270	0.670	0.030	0.030	0.110	-0.850	-0.170	-0.820	-0.490	-1.160	-0.470
Validation Points	(m)	0.05	1.67	00.0	00.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	00.00	1.70	1.10	0.20	1.50	1.80	1.20	0.50
	Model Var (m)	0.03	0.03	0.80	0.03	0.27	0.67	0.03	0.03	1.81	0.25	0.03	0.68	1.31	0.04	0.03
Coordinates	Long	11.355769	11.355045	11.355832	11.354309	11.352422	11.351967	11.350740	11.345860	11.344688	11.338853	11.337412	11.336867	11.336310	11.335365	11.328473
Validation (Lat	11.3557693	11.3550445	11.3558315	11.3543089	11.3524216	11.3519669	11.3507399	11.3458597	11.3446879	11.3388534	11.3374115	11.3368674	11.3363097	11.3353646	11.3284728
Point	Number	88	89	91	93	94	95	96	97	98	333	334	335	337	339	340

-

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	-0.470	-0.470	-1.470	-0.770	-0.770	-0.470	-0.470	-0.470	-0.470	-0.570	-0.740	-0.770	-1.260	-0.430	0.270
Validation Points	(m)	0.50	0.50	1.50	0.80	0.80	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	1.50	1.00	0.80	1.40	0.50	0.60
	Model Var (m)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.93	0.26	0.03	0.14	0.07	0.87
cordinates	Long	11.327506	11.325336	11.323245	11.322603	11.321574	11.320723	11.319620	11.318496	11.317585	11.315527	11.313671	11.311317	11.306635	11.303468	11.302558
Validation C	Lat	11.3275058	11.3253356	11.3232449	11.322603	11.3215742	11.3207226	11.3196202	11.318496	11.3175847	11.3155273	11.3136705	11.3113172	11.3066346	11.3034681	11.3025577
Point	Number	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	354	355	356

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year														
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	-1.230	-0.770	-0.040	0.710	0.480	0.000	0.120	1.810	060.0-	-0.530	0.030	-0.820	0.080	0.510	-0.240
Validation Points	(m)	1.60	0.80	0.50	1.30	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.30	1.50	1.00	0.00	1.30	1.00	0.50	1.00
	Model Var (m)	0.37	0.03	0.46	2.01	0.48	1.00	1.12	2.11	1.41	0.47	0.03	0.48	1.08	1.01	0.76
Coordinates	Long	11.302397	11.298436	11.294868	11.293734	11.293370	11.292943	11.293427	11.292916	11.289303	11.289092	11.289094	11.289080	11.288013	11.288064	11.288232
Validation (Lat	11.3023971	11.2984362	11.294868	11.2937343	11.2933704	11.2929432	11.2934266	11.2929157	11.2893033	11.2890916	11.289094	11.2890801	11.2880125	11.2880645	11.288232
Point	Number	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371

-

Rain Return /	Scenario	100 -Year															
	Event/Date	Typhoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014	Iyphoon Seniang/ December 30, 2014														
	Error	-0.570	0:030	0:030	0:030	0.040	0.060	0.290	0.110	0.910	0.070	060.0	0.030	0.370	1.170	0.120	0.140
Validation Points	(m)	0.60	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.80	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.20	0.00	0.00
	Model Var (m)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.29	0.91	0.91	0.07	0.09	0.03	1.37	1.37	0.12	0.14
coordinates	Long	11.298406	11.295427	11.295511	11.295624	11.294855	11.293044	11.293232	11.294509	11.294511	11.293952	11.293092	11.293574	11.291848	11.291845	11.289412	11.289710
Validation (Lat	11.2984057	11.2954268	11.2955106	11.2956239	11.2948552	11.2930443	11.2932318	11.2945088	11.2945105	11.2939521	11.2930919	11.293574	11.2918483	11.2918446	11.2894117	11.2897099
Point	Number	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	393	394	395	396	397	398	399

Annex 12. Educational Institutions Affected by Flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

Table A-12.1. Educational Institutions in Alangalang, Leyte affected by flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

	LEYTE			
ALA	NGALANG			
Duilding Norre	Deveneeu	Ra	infall Scena	ario
Building Name	Barangay	5-year	25-year	100-year
Brgy. San Francisco East Day Care Center	Binotong			
Salazar Elementary School	Binotong		Low	Medium
Salazar National High School	Binotong		Low	Low
San Francisco East Primary School	Binotong		Low	Low
Lukay Elementary School	Borseth	Medium	Medium	Medium
Veteranos Elementary School	Bugho	Low	Low	Medium
Day Care Center	Calaasan			
Tinaisan Elementary School	Calaasan			
Alangalang II Binongtuan Central	Cavite	Low	Medium	Medium
VSU Alangalang Campus	Cavite	Medium	Medium	Medium
Andres C. Yu Sr. Memorial Elementary School	Ekiran			
Brgy. Bugho Day Care Center	Ekiran	Low	Low	Low
Brgy. Ekiran Day Care Center	Ekiran			
Bugho Elementary School	Ekiran	Low	Low	Low
Alangalang Central School	Holy Child I			
Alangalang Central School	Holy Child II			
Brgy. San Roque Daycare Center	Holy Child II			
P. Barrantes Community School	Hubang			
Brgy. Hubang Day Care Center	Lukay			
Hubang Elementary School	Lukay			
Lukay Elementary School	Lukay			
M. Casaus Elementary School	Milagrosa	Low	Low	Low
P. Barrantes Community School	P. Barrantes			
Trinidad B. Caidic National High School	P. Barrantes			
Brgy. Caalasan Day Care Center	San Francisco East			Low
Caalasan Elementary School	San Francisco East	Low	Medium	Medium
Hubang Elementary School	San Francisco East			Low
Alangalang Agro-Industrial School	San Vicente	High	High	High
Alangalang Agro-Industrial School	San Vicente	Medium	High	High
Alangalang II Binongtuan Central	San Vicente	Medium	Medium	Medium
Brgy. Binongtuan Daycare Center	San Vicente	Medium	Medium	High
Cavite Primary School	San Vicente	Low	Low	Medium
San Vicente Daycare Center	San Vicente			
San Vicente Elementary School	San Vicente			
San Vicente Elementary School Stage	San Vicente			
Tombo Elementary School	Santiago			
Alangalang Central School	Santo Niño	Low	Low	Low

LEYTE BARUGO **Rainfall Scenario Building Name** Barangay 5-year 25-year 100-year Balud Elementary School Balud Brgy. Balud Daycare Center Balud Busay Elementary School Bulod Low Low Brgy. Cabarasan Daycare Center Busay Low Low Cabarasan Primary School Cabarasan Celestino de Guzman National High School Cabarasan Brgy. Duka Daycare Center Duka Low Low Low Duka Elementary School Duka Low Low Low

Table A-12.2. Educational Institutions in Barugo, Leyte affected by flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

	LEYTE			
	JARO			
Duilding Name	Barangay	R	ainfall Scen	ario
Building Name	Багапдау	5-year	25-year	100-year
Brgy. San Isidro Day Care Center	Alahag			
San Isidro Elementary School	Alahag			Low
Buenavista Elementary School	Buenavista	Medium	Medium	Medium
Brgy. Buri Day Care Center	Buri			
Buri Elementary School	Buri			Low
Granja-Kalinawan National High School	District I		Low	Low
Granja Central School	District I			
Jaro District 1 Elementary School	District I			
Notre Dame of Jaro, Inc.	District I			
Sparkies Baptist Academy	District I			
Brgy. 1 Daycare Center	District IV	Medium	Medium	Medium
Jaro District 1 Elementary School	District IV		Low	Low
Jaro Senior High School	District IV			
Notre Dame of Jaro, Inc.	District IV			
Granja Central School	Kalinawan			
Macanip Elementary School	Macanip			
Olotan Elementary School	Olotan	Low	Low	Low
Brgy. Pitogo Day Care Center	Pitogo			
Pitogo Elementary School	Pitogo	Low	Low	Low
Brgy. Sagcahan Daycare Center	Sagkahan			
Sagcahan Elementary School	Sagkahan	Low	Low	Medium

	LEYTE			
SA	N MIGUEL			
		Ra	ainfall Scen	ario
Building Name	Barangay	5-year	25-year	100-year
Sta. Cruz Elementary School	Bagacay			
Bahay Elementary School	Bahay	Low	Low	Medium
Brgy. Cabatianuhan Daycare Center	Bairan			
Cabatianuhan Elementary School	Bairan	Low	Low	Low
Brgy. Canap Daycare Center	Canap			
Canap Elementary School	Canap			
Brgy. Caray-Caray Daycare Center	Caraycaray	Medium	Medium	High
Brgy. San Andres Daycare Center	Caraycaray	Low	Medium	Medium
Caray-Caray Elementary School	Caraycaray	Medium	Medium	Medium
San Andres Primary School	Caraycaray	Low	Medium	Medium
Home Economics Building	Cayare		High	High
San Miguel Adventist Multigrade School	Cayare		High	High
San Miguel Central School	Cayare		Low	Low
San Miguel National High School	Cayare			
Guinciaman Elementary School	Guinciaman			
Bairan Primary School	Libtong		Medium	Medium
Brgy. Bairan Daycare Center	Libtong	Low	Medium	Medium
San Miguel National High School	Libtong		Low	Low
Lukay Elementary School	Lukay			
Brgy. Malaguinabot Daycare Center	Malaguinabot			
Malaguinabot Elementary School	Malaguinabot	Medium	Medium	Medium
Mawodpawod Elementary School	Mawodpawod			
Sta. Cruz Daycare Center	Santa Cruz			
Sta. Cruz Elementary School	Santa Cruz			
Santol Elementary School	Santol		Low	Medium

Table A-12.4. Educational Institutions in San Miguel, Leyte affected by flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

Annex 13. Medical Institutions Affected by Flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

	LEYTE			
ALA	NGALANG			
Duilding Nome	Demonstra		Rainfall Scen	ario
Building Name	вагапдау	5-year	25-year	100-year
Brgy. Cabadsan Daycare Center and SK Hall	Binotong	Low	Medium	Medium
Brgy. Veteranos Health Center	Bugho			Low
Brgy. Ekiran Health Center	Ekiran			
Brgy. Blumentritt Health Center	Holy Child I			
Brgy. San Roque Health Center	Holy Child II			

Table A-13.1. Medical Institutions in Alangalang, Leyte affected by flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

Table A-13.2. Medical Institutions in Barugo, Leyte affected by flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

	LEYTE			
	BARUGO			
	Demonstrativ	Ra	infall Scena	ario
Building Name	Darangay	5-year	25-year	100-year
Brgy. Cabarasan Health Center	Cabarasan			

Table A-13.3. Medical Institutions in Jaro, Leyte affected by flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

	LEYTE			
	JARO			
	Damana	R	ainfall Scen	ario
Building Name	Barangay	5-year	25-year	100-year
Jaro Municipal Health Office	District IV			
Khing's Farmacia	District IV			

Table A-13.4. Medical Institutions in San Miguel, Leyte affected by flooding in Sangputan Floodplain

LEYTE				
SAN MIGUEL				
Building Name	Barangay	Rainfall Scenario		
		5-year	25-year	100-year
Brgy. Cabatianuhan Health Center	Bairan			
Brgy. Canap Health Center	Canap			
Brgy. Guinciaman Health Center	Guinciaman			
Brgy. Lukay Health Center	Lukay			
Brgy. Malaguinabot Health Center	Malaguinabot		Low	Low
Brgy. Santol Health Center	Santol		Low	Medium