HAZARD MAPPING OF THE PHILIPPINES USING LIDAR (PHIL-LIDAR I)

LiDAR Surveys and Flood Mapping of Tawiran-Tagum River





University of the Philippines Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry University of the Philippines Los Baños

APRIL 2017



© University of the Philippines Diliman and University of the Philippines Los Baños 2017

Published by the UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry (TCAGP) College of Engineering University of the Philippines – Diliman Quezon City 1101 PHILIPPINES

This research project is supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) as part of its Grants-in-Aid Program and is to be cited as:

E.C. Paringit and E.R. Abucay (eds.) (2017), LiDAR Surveys and Flood Mapping of Tawiran-Tagum River, Quezon City: University of the Philippines Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry-123pp.

The text of this information may be copied and distributed for research and educational purposes with proper acknowledgement. While every care is taken to ensure the accuracy of this publication, the UP TCAGP disclaims all responsibility and all liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) and costs which might incur as a result of the materials in this publication being inaccurate or incomplete in any way and for any reason.

For questions/queries regarding this report, contact:

Asst. Prof. Edwin R. Abucay Project Leader, Phil-LiDAR 1 Program University of the Philippines, Los Banos Los Baños, Philippines 4031 E-mail: erabucay@up.edu.ph

Enrico C. Paringit, Dr. Eng. Program Leader, Phil-LiDAR 1 Program University of the Philippines Diliman Quezon City, Philippines 1101 E-mail: ecparingit@up.edu.ph

National Library of the Philippines ISBN: 978-621-430-160-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	X
CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM AND TAWIRAN-TAGUM RIVER	1
1.1 Background of the Phil-LiDAR 1 Program	1
1.2 Overview of the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	1
CHAPTER 2: LIDAR DATA ACQUISITION OF THE TAWIRAN-TAGUM FLOODPLAIN	3
2.1 Flight Plans	3
2.2 Ground Base Stations	5
2.3 Flight Missions	8
2.4 Survey Coverage	9
CHAPTER 3: LIDAR DATA PROCESSING OF THE TAWIRAN-TAGUM FLOODPLAIN	11
3.1 Overview of the LiDAR Data Pre-Processing	11
3.2 Transmittal of Acquired LiDAR Data	12
3.3 Trajectory Computation	12
3.4 LiDAR Point Cloud Computation	14
3.5 LiDAR Data Quality Checking	
3.6 LiDAR Point Cloud Classification and Rasterization	19
3.7 LiDAR Image Processing and Orthonhotograph Rectification	21
3.8 DEM Editing and Hydro-Correction	
2.0 Mosaicking of Plocks	
2.10 Calibration and Validation of Mosaicked LiDAR Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	23
2.11 Integration of Dath matric Data into the LiDAR Digital Elevation Model	
CHAPTER 4. LIDAR VALIDATION SURVET AND WEASUREMENTS OF THE TAWIRAN-TAG	
A 1 Summary of Activities	ວ∠ ວາ
4.1 Summary OFACUVILIES	
4.2 Control Survey.	
4.3 Baseline Processing	
4.4 Network Adjustment	
4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built survey and Water Level Marking	
4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey	43
4.7 River Bathymetric Survey	45
CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING	50
5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling	50
5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves	50
5.1.2 Precipitation	50
5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow	51
5.2 RIDF Station	53
5.3 HMS Model	55
5.4 Cross-section Data	60
5.5 Flo 2D Model	61
5.6 Results of HMS Calibration	
5.7 Calculated outflow hydrographs and discharge values for different rainfall return p	eriods 64
5.7.1 Hydrograph using the Rainfall Runoff Model	64
5.8 River Analysis (RAS) Model Simulation	65
5.9 Flow Denth and Flood Hazard	66
5.0 Inventory of Areas Exposed to Elooding	
5.10 Inventory of Aleas Exposed to Flooding	
ANNFXFS	90
Anney 1 Ontech Technical Specification of the Pegasus Sensor	90
Annex 2. NAMRIA Certification of Reference Points Used in the LIDAR Survey	
Anney 3 Reseline Processing Reports of Control Doints used in the LIDAR Survey	
Annex 3. Describe Frocessing Reports of Control Follits used in the LIDAR Survey.	
Annex 4. The LIDAN Survey Tedill CUllipusition	
Annex 5. Data mansier Sheet für Idwirdh-Idgun Flooupidm	
Annex C. Flight Logs for the Flight Wissions	
Annex 7. Filgrit Status Reports	
Annex 8. Mission Summary Reports	
Annex 9. Tawiran-Tagum Model Basin Parameters	107

Annex 10. Tawiran-Tagum Model Reach Parameters	109
Annex 11. Tawiran-Tagum Field Validation Points	111
Annex 12. Phil-LiDAR 1 UPLB Team Composition	113

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Flight planning parameters for the Pegasus LiDAR system	3
Table 2. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point MRQ-25 used as base station for the LiDAR Acquisition	6
Table 3. Details of the established horizontal control point BM-5 used as base stationfor the LiDAR Acquisition	7
Table 4. Ground control points used during LiDAR data acquisition	7
Table 5. Flight missions for LiDAR data acquisition in Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain	8
Table 6. Actual parameters used during LiDAR data acquisition	8
Table 7. List of municipalities and cities surveyed during the Napayawan Floodplain LiDAR survey	9
Table 8. Self-Calibration Results values for Tawiran-Tagum flights	14
Table 9. List of LiDAR blocks for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain	15
Table 10. Tawiran-Tagum classification results in TerraScan	19
Table 11. LiDAR blocks with its corresponding area	23
Table 12. Shift Values of each LiDAR Block of Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain	25
Table 13. Calibration Statistical Measures	29
Table 14. Validation Statistical Measures	30
Table 15. List of reference and control points used during the survey in Tawiran-Tagum River	34
Table 16. Baseline Processing Report for Tawiran-Tagum River Static Survey	36
Table 17. Control Point Constraints	37
Table 18. Adjusted Grid Coordinates	37
Table 19. Adjusted Geodetic Coordinates	38
Table 20. Reference and control points and its location	38
Table 21. RIDF values for Tawiran-Tagum Rain Gauge computed by PAGASA	53
Table 22. Range of calibrated values for Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	62
Table 23. Summary of the Efficiency Test of Tawiran-Tagum HMS Model	63
Table 24. Peak values of the Tawiran-Tagum HECHMS Model outflow using the Alabat RIDF 24-hour values	64
Table 25. Municipalities affected in Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain	66
Table 26. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	73
Table 27. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	74
Table 28. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	74
Table 29. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	75
Table 30. Affected Areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	77
Table 31. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	78
Table 32. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	78
Table 33. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	79
Table 34. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	79
Table 35. Affected Areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	81
Table 36. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	82
Table 37. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	83

Table 38. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	.83
Table 39. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	.84
Table 40. Affected areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during the 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	.86
Table 41. Actual flood vs simulated flood depth at different levels in the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	.88
Table 42. Summary of the Accuracy Assessment in the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	.88

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Map of the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin (in brown)	2
Figure 2. Flight Plan and base stations used for the Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain survey	4
Figure 3. GPS set-up over MRQ-25 at the top of the plant box near the gate of Tugos Elementary	
School, Barangay Tugos, Boac, Marinduque (a) and NAMRIA reference point	
MRQ-25 (b) as recovered by the field team.	6
Figure 4. Actual LiDAR survey coverage for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.	10
Figure 5. Schematic Diagram for Data Pre-Processing Component	11
Figure 6. Smoothed Performance Metrics of Tawiran-Tagum Flight 10020P	12
Figure 7. Solution Status Parameters of Tawiran-Tagum Flight 10020P.	13
Figure 8. Best Estimated Trajectory for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.	14
Figure 9. Boundary of the processed LiDAR data over Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain	15
Figure 10. Image of data overlap for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.	16
Figure 11. Pulse density map of merged LiDAR data for Napayawan Floodplain	17
Figure 12. Elevation difference map between flight lines for Napayawan Floodplain.	18
Figure 13. Quality checking for Tawiran-Tagum flight 10020P using the Profile Tool of QT Modeler	19
Figure 14. Tiles for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain (a) and classification results (b) in TerraScan.	20
Figure 15. Point cloud before (a) and after (b) classification.	20
Figure 16. The production of last return DSM (a) and DTM (b), first return DSM (c) and secondary	
DTM (d) in some portion of Tawiran-Tagum floodplain.	21
Figure 17. Tawiran-Tagum floodplain with available orthophotographs	22
Figure 18. Sample orthophotograph tiles for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain	22
Figure 19. Portions in the DTM of Tawiran-Tagum floodplain – a data gap before (a) and after (b)	
filling; and a bridge before (c) and after (d) manual editing	24
Figure 24. Correlation plot between calibration survey points and LiDAR data	29
Figure 25. Correlation plot between validation survey points and LiDAR data.	30
Figure 23. Correlation plot between validation survey points and LiDAR data.	30
Figure 24. Map of Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain with bathymetric survey points shown in blue	31
Figure 25. Tawiran-Tagum River Survey Extent	32
Figure 26. GNSS Network covering Tawiran-Tagum River	33
Figure 27. GNSS base set up, Trimble [®] SPS 985, at MRQ-34 located near the Rizal statue	
inside Makapuyat Elementary School in Brgy. Napo, Sta. Cruz, Marindugue	34
Figure 28. GNSS receiver setup, Trimble [®] SPS 985, at MQ-13 located at the approach	
of Biglang-Awa Bridge, Brgy. Mataas na Bayan, Boac, Marindugue	35
Figure 29. GNSS receiver setup. Trimble [®] SPS 985, at MQ-120 located at the approach	
Mangamnan Bridge, Brgy, Butansapa, Mogpong, Marinduque	35
Figure 30. Tawiran Bridge facing upstream	39
Figure 31. Bridge As-Built Survey using PPK Technique	39
Figure 32. Location Map of Tawiran Bridge River Cross-Section survey	40
Figure 33. Tawiran Bridge cross-section diagram	41
Figure 34. Bridge as-built form of Tawiran Bridge	
Figure 35. Water-level markings on Tawiran Bridge	
Figure 36. Validation points acquisition survey set-up along Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	43
Figure 37. Validation point acquisition survey of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	44
Figure 38. Bathymetric survey using Hi-Target™ single beam echo sounder in Tawiran-Tagum River	
Figure 39. Bathymetric survey using Trimble® SPS 882 in GNSS PPK survey technique	
in Tawiran-Tagum River	45
	-

Figure 40.	Gathering of random bathymetric points along Tawiran-Tagum River	46
Figure 41.	Bathymetric survey of Tawiran-Tagum River	47
Figure 42.	Tawiran-Tagum Riverbed Profile, right mouth	48
Figure 43.	Tawiran-Tagum Riverbed Profile, left mouth	49
Figure 44.	The location map of Tawiran-Tagum HEC-HMS model used for calibration	50
Figure 45.	Cross-Section Plot of Sta. Cruz Bridge	51
Figure 46.	Rating curve at Sta. Cruz Bridge, Tawiran Tagum, Marinduque	51
Figure 47	. Rainfall and outflow data at Tawiran-Tagum River Basin used for modeling	52
Figure 48.	Location of Alabat RIDF relative to Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	54
Figure 49.	Synthetic storm generated for a 24-hr period rainfall for various return periods.	54
Figure 50.	Soil map of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin used for the estimation of the CN parameter.	55
Figure 51.	Land cover map of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin used for the estimation of the Curve	
-	Number (CN) and the watershed lag parameters of the rainfall-runoff model	56
Figure 52.	Slope map of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	57
Figure 53.	Stream delineation map of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin	58
Figure 54.	HEC-HMS generated Tawiran-Tagum River Basin Model.	59
Figure 55.	River cross-section of Tawiran-Tagum River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool.	60
Figure 56.	Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D	
0	GDS Pro	61
Figure 57.	Outflow Hydrograph of Tawiran-Tagum produced by the HEC-HMS model	
0	compared with observed outflow.	62
Figure 58.	Outflow hydrograph at the Tawiran-Tagum Station generated using the simulated rain	
0	events for 24-hour period for Legazpi station	64
Figure 59.	Sample output of Tawiran-Tagum RAS Model	65
Figure 60.	100-year Flood Hazard Map for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth	
0	imagery	67
Figure 61.	100-year Flow Depth Map for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth	
0	imagery	68
Figure 62.	25-year Flood Hazard Map for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth	
0	imagery	69
Figure 63.	25-year Flow Depth Map for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth	
0	imagery	70
Figure 64.	5-year Flood Hazard Map for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth	
0	imagerv	71
Figure 65.	5-year Flood Depth Map for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery	72
Figure 66.	Affected Areas in Santa Cruz. Marindugue during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	76
Figure 67.	Affected Areas in Torrijos. Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	77
Figure 68.	Affected areas in Santa Cruz. Marindugue during the 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	80
Figure 69.	Affected Areas in Torrijos. Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	81
Figure 70.	Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marindugue during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	85
Figure 71.	Affected Areas in Torrijos, Marindugue during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	86
Figure 72	Validation points for the 5-Year flood depth map of the Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain	87
Figure 73.	Flood map depth vs. actual flood depth	88

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AAC	Asian Aerospace Corporation		
Ab	abutment		
ALTM	Airborne LiDAR Terrain Mapper		
ARG	automatic rain gauge		
AWLS	Automated Water Level Sensor		
BA	Bridge Approach		
BM	benchmark		
CAD	Computer-Aided Design		
CN	Curve Number		
CSRS	Chief Science Research Specialist		
DAC	Data Acquisition Component		
DEM	Digital Elevation Model		
DENR	Department of Environment and Natural Resources		
DOST	Department of Science and Technology		
DPPC	Data Pre-Processing Component		
DREAM	Disaster Risk and Exposure Assessment for Mitigation [Program]		
DRRM	Disaster Risk Reduction and Management		
DSM	Digital Surface Model		
DTM	Digital Terrain Model		
DVBC	Data Validation and Bathymetry Component		
FMC	Flood Modeling Component		
FOV	Field of View		
GiA	Grants-in-Aid		
GCP	Ground Control Point		
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System		
GPS	Global Positioning System		
HEC-HMS	Hydrologic Engineering Center - Hydrologic Modeling System		
HEC-RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Center - River Analysis System		
HC	High Chord		
IDW	Inverse Distance Weighted [interpolation method]		

IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit		
kts	knots		
LAS	LiDAR Data Exchange File format		
LC	Low Chord		
LGU	local government unit		
Lidar	Light Detection and Ranging		
LMS	LiDAR Mapping Suite		
m AGL	meters Above Ground Level		
MMS	Mobile Mapping Suite		
MSL	mean sea level		
NSTC	Northern Subtropical Convergence		
PAF	Philippine Air Force		
PAGASA	Philippine Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration		
PDOP	Positional Dilution of Precision		
РРК	Post-Processed Kinematic [technique]		
PRF	Pulse Repetition Frequency		
PTM	Philippine Transverse Mercator		
QC	Quality Check		
QT	Quick Terrain [Modeler]		
RA	Research Associate		
RIDF	Rainfall-Intensity-Duration-Frequency		
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error		
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar		
SCS	Soil Conservation Service		
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission		
SRS	Science Research Specialist		
SSG	Special Service Group		
ТВС	Thermal Barrier Coatings		
UPLB	University of the Philippines Los Baños		
UP-TCAGP	University of the Philippines – Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry		
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator		
WGS	World Geodetic System		

CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM AND TAWIRAN-TAGUM RIVER

Enrico C. Paringit, Dr. Eng., Asst. Prof. Edwin R. Abucay, and Dr. Cristino L. Tiburan, Jr.

1.1 Background of the Phil-LiDAR 1 Program

The University of the Philippines Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry (UP-TCAGP) launched a research program entitled "Nationwide Hazard Mapping using LiDAR" or Phil-LiDAR 1, supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) Grants-in-Aid (GiA) Program. The program was primarily aimed at acquiring a national elevation and resource dataset at sufficient resolution to produce information necessary to support the different phases of disaster management. Particularly, it targeted to operationalize the development of flood hazard models that would produce updated and detailed flood hazard maps for the major river systems in the country.

Also, the program was aimed at producing an up-to-date and detailed national elevation dataset suitable for 1:5,000 scale mapping, with 50 cm and 20 cm horizontal and vertical accuracies, respectively. These accuracies were achieved through the use of the state-of-the-art Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) airborne technology procured by the project through DOST.

The implementing partner university for the Phil-LiDAR 1 Program is the University of the Philippines Los Baños (UPLB). UPLB is in charge of processing LiDAR data and conducting data validation reconnaissance, cross section, bathymetric survey, validation, river flow measurements, flood height and extent data gathering, flood modeling, and flood map generation for the 45 river basins in the Southern Luzon region. The university is located in Los Baños in the province of Laguna.

1.2 Overview of the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin

The Tawiran-Tagum River Basin is a 79,170-hectare watershed located in Marinduque. It covers two (2) municipalities in Marinduque namely: Santa Cruz and Torrijos. It encompasses the barangays of Baguidbirin, Banogbog, Biga, Buyabod, Devilla, Haguimit, Jolo, Kaganhao, Kilo-kilo, Kitaman, Labo, Libjo, Makulapnit, Masalukot, Matalaba, Napo, Pantayin, Pulong-Parang, Tambangan, and Tawiran in Santa Cruz municipality; and, Bangwayin, Maranlig, Nangka, Pakaskasan and Sibuyao in Torrijos. The DENR River Basin Control Office identified the basin to have a drainage area of 58 km2 and an estimated 92 million cubic meter (MCM) annual run-off (RBCO, 2015).

As for the climate, Climate Type I and III prevails in MIMAROPA and Laguna based on the Modified Corona Classification of climate. Type I has two pronounced seasons, dry from November to April, and wet the rest of the year with maximum rain period from June to September. On the other hand, Type III has no very pronounced maximum rain period and with short dry season lasting only from one to three months, during the period from December to February or from March to May.

The river basin is generally characterized by 30-50% slope and elevation of up to 800 meters above mean sea level. The soil types in the river basin are Banto clay loam, Tagum clay loam, Faraon clay, Cabahuan clay and San Manuel sandy loam. Cultivated area mixed with brushland/grassland is predominant in the area followed by coconut plantations, mangrove forest and cropland mixed with coconut plantation.

Its main stem, Tawiran-Tagum River, is part of the forty-five (45) river systems in the Southern Tagalog region under the PHIL-LIDAR 1 partner HEI, University of the Philippines Los Baños (UPLB). Tawiran-Tagum River passes through Baguidbirin, Banogbog, Biga, Buyabod, Devilla, Haguimit, Jolo, Kaganhao, Kilo-kilo, Kitaman, Labo, Libjo, Makulapnit, Masalukot, Matalaba, Napo, Pantayin, Pulong-Parang, Tambangan, and Tawiran in the Municipality of Santa Cruz; and Bangwayin, Maranlig, Nangka, Pakaskasan, and Sibuyao in Torrijos.

According to the 2015 national census of NSO, a total of 5,047 persons are residing within the immediate vicinity of the river which is distributed among four barangays in the Municipality of Santa Cruz, namely: Pantayin, Matalaba, Biga, and Buyabod.



Figure 1. Map of the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin (in brown)

Meanwhile, the economy of the province relies mostly on agriculture specifically growing rice and coconuts. However, two decades ago, mining played an important role in the economy of the province; until a mining accident happened where it brought disastrous social, economic, and environmental consequences (http://umich.edu/~snre492/Jones/marcopper.htm, 2016).

Based on the studies conducted by the Mines and Geosciences Bureau, both Santa Cruz and Torrijos municipality possess low to high risk susceptibility when it comes to flood and landslide disasters. The field surveys conducted by the PHIL-LiDAR 1 validation team showed that there were several notable weather disturbances that caused flooding in 1993 (Monang), 2006 (Reming), 2008 (Frank), 2013 (Yolanda), and 2014 (Glenda and Ruby). In July 2014, the province of Marinduque experienced heavy damages in agriculture and personal properties during typhoon Rammasun, locally known as Glenda. The heavily affected residents of the Municipality of Santa Cruz were issued an evacuation order during the typhoon (http://www.marinduquemovers.com/marinduque-pagkatapos-ng-bagyong-glenda/).

CHAPTER 2: LIDAR DATA ACQUISITION OF THE TAWIRAN-TAGUM FLOODPLAIN

Engr. Louie P. Balicanta, Engr. Christopher Cruz, Lovely Gracia Acuña, Engr. Gerome Hipolito, Ms. Julie Pearl S. Mars, Jeriel Paul A. Alamban, Geol.

The methods applied in this Chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Sarmiento, et al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et al. (2017).

2.1 Flight Plans

Plans were made to acquire LiDAR data within the delineated priority area for Tawiran-Tagum floodplain in Marinduque. These missions were planned for 16 lines that run for at most four and a half (4.5) hours including take-off, landing and turning time. The flight planning parameters for the LiDAR system is found in Table 1. Figure 2 shows the flight plan for Tawiran-Tagum floodplain

Block Name	Flying Height (m AGL)	Overlap (%)	Field of view (ø)	Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF) (kHz)	Scan Frequency (Hz)	Average Speed (kts)	Average Turn Time (Minutes)
BLK22A	1250, 1000	30	50	200	30	130	5
BLK22B	1250, 1000	30	50	200	30	130	5
BLK22C	1000	30	50	200	30	130	5

Table 1. Flight planning parameters for the Pegasus LiDAR system.

¹ The explanation of the parameters used are in the volume "LiDAR Surveys and Flood Mapping in the Philippines: Methods."



Figure 2. Flight Plan and base stations used for the Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain survey.

2.2 Ground Base Stations

The project team was able to recover one (1) NAMRIA ground control point: MRQ-25 which is of second (2nd) order accuracy. They also established one (1) ground control point. The certification for the NAMRIA reference is found in Annex 2 while the baseline processing report for the established control point is found in Annex 3. These were used as base stations during flight operations for the entire duration of the survey (October 9, 12 and 15, 2015). Base stations were observed using dual frequency GPS receivers, TRIMBLE SPS 882 and SPS 852. Flight plans and location of base stations used during the aerial LiDAR acquisition in Tawiran-Tagum floodplain are shown in Figure 2. The list of team members are shown in Annex 4.

Figure 3 showed the recovered NAMRIA control station within the area. In addition Table 2 to Table 3 show the details about the following NAMRIA control station and established point. Table 4 shows the list of ground control points occupied during the acquisition with corresponding dates of utilization.



(a)

Figure 3. GPS set-up over MRQ-25 at the top of the plant box near the gate of Tugos Elementary School, Barangay Tugos, Boac, Marinduque (a) and NAMRIA reference point MRQ-25 (b) as recovered by the field team.

Table 2. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point MRQ-25 used as base station for the LiDAR Acquisition.

Station Name	MRQ-25		
Order of Accuracy	2nd		
Relative Error (Horizontal positioning)	1 in 50,000		
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	13° 22′ 56.92806″ 121° 51′ 28.72673″ 48.18293 m	
Grid Coordinates, Philippine Transverse Mercator Zone 5 (PTM Zone 5 PRS 92)	Easting Northing	592,932.786 m 1,480,020.839 m	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	3° 22' 51.86815" North 121° 51' 33.72033" East 97.20100 m	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 51 North (UTM 51N PRS 1992)	Easting Northing	376,341.19 m 1,479,627.07 m	

Table 3. Details of the established horizontal control point BM-5 used as base station for the LiDAR Acquisition.

Station Name	BM-5		
Order of Accuracy	2nd		
Relative Error (Horizontal positioning)	1 in 50,000		
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	13° 31′ 43.12821″ 121° 52′ 02.72781″ 5.828 m	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	13° 31' 38.03406" North 121° 52' 07.7085" East 54.472 m	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 51 North (UTM 51N PRS 1992)	Easting Northing	377,438.371 m 1,295,788.872 m	

Table 4. Ground control points used during LiDAR data acquisition

Date Surveyed	Flight Number	Mission Name	Ground Control Points
October 9, 2015	10020P	1BLK22ABC282A	MRQ-25 and BM-5
October 12, 2015	10027P	1BLK22AB285A	MRQ-25 and BM-5
October 15, 2015	10032P	1BLK22AB288A	MRQ-25 and BM-5

2.3 Flight Missions

Three (3) missions were conducted to complete the LiDAR Data Acquisition in Tawiran-Tagum floodplain, for a total of ten hours and twenty minutes (10+20) of flying time for RP-C9522. All missions were acquired using the Pegasus LiDAR system. Table 5 shows the total area of actual coverage and the corresponding flying hours per mission, while Table 6 shows the actual parameters used during the LiDAR data acquisition.

Date	Flight	Flight Plan	Surveyed	Area Surveyed	Area Surveyed	No. of	Flying Hours	
Surveyed	Number	Area (km2)	Area (km2)	within the Floodplain (km2)	thin the podplain (km2)		Hr	Min
October 9, 2015	10020P	599.56	170.93	21.17	149.76	NA	3	53
October 12, 2015	10027P	461.82	283.36	41.64	241.72	NA	4	23
October 15, 2015	10032P	254.74	52.87	21.68	31.19	NA	2	4
TOTAL		1316.12	507.16	84.49	422.67	NA	10	20

Table 5. Flight missions for LiDAR data acquisition in Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain

Table 6. Actual parameters used during LiDAR data acquisition

Flight Number	Flying Height (m AGL)	Overlap (%)	FOV (θ)	PRF (khz)	Scan Frequency (Hz)	Average Speed (kts)	Average Turn Time (Minutes)
10020P	1000	30	50	200	30	130	5
10027P	1250	30	50	200	30	130	5
10032P	1000	30	50	200	30	130	5

2.4 Survey Coverage

Tawiran-Tagum floodplain is located in the province of Marinduque with the whole the floodplain situated within the municipality of Santa Cruz. The list municipalities surveyed, with at least one (1) square kilometer coverage, is shown in Table 7. The actual coverage of the LiDAR acquisition for Tawiran-Tagum floodplain is presented in Figure 4.

Province	Municipality/ City	Area of Municipality/City (km2)	Total Area Surveyed (km2)	Percentage of Area Surveyed
	Boac	182.07	52.05	28.59%
Marinduque	Buenavista	83.22	2	2.40%
	Gasan	116.19	34.27	29.49%
	Mogpog	101.12	66.43	65.69%
	Santa Cruz	236.19	107.36	45.45%
	Torrijos	210.05	25.1	11.95%
Total		928.84	287.21	30.92%

Table 7. List of municipalities and cities surveyed during the Napayawan Floodplain LiDAR survey.



Figure 4. Actual LiDAR survey coverage for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.

CHAPTER 3: LIDAR DATA PROCESSING OF THE TAWIRAN-TAGUM FLOODPLAIN

Engr. Ma. Rosario Concepcion O. Ang, Engr. John Louie D. Fabila, Engr. Sarah Jane D. Samalburo, Engr. Harmond F. Santos, Engr. Angelo Carlo B. Bongat, Engr. Ma. Ailyn L. Olanda, Engr. Chelou P. Prado, Marie Denise V. Bueno, Engr. Regis R. Guhiting, Engr. Merven Matthew D. Natino, Gillian Katherine L. Inciong, Gemmalyn E. Magnaye, Leendel Jane D. Punzalan, Sarah Joy A. Acepcion, Ivan Marc H. Escamos, Allen Roy C. Roberto, and Jan Martin C. Magcale

The methods applied in this Chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Ang, et al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et al. (2017)

3.1 Overview of the LiDAR Data Pre-Processing

The data transmitted by the Data Acquisition Component are checked for completeness based on the list of raw files required to proceed with the pre-processing of the LiDAR data. Upon acceptance of the LiDAR field data, georeferencing of the flight trajectory is done in order to obtain the exact location of the LiDAR sensor when the laser was shot. Point cloud georectification is performed to incorporate correct position and orientation for each point acquired. The georectified LiDAR point clouds are subject for quality checking to ensure that the required accuracies of the program, which are the minimum point density, vertical and horizontal accuracies, are met. The point clouds are then classified into various classes before generating Digital Elevation Models such as Digital Terrain Model and Digital Surface Model.

Using the elevation of points gathered in the field, the LiDAR-derived digital models are calibrated. Portions of the river that are barely penetrated by the LiDAR system are replaced by the actual river geometry measured from the field by the Data Validation and Bathymetry Component. LiDAR acquired temporally are then mosaicked to completely cover the target river systems in the Philippines. Orthorectification of images acquired simultaneously with the LiDAR data is done through the help of the georectified point clouds and the metadata containing the time the image was captured.

These processes are summarized in the flowchart shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Schematic Diagram for Data Pre-Processing Component

3.2 Transmittal of Acquired LiDAR Data

Data transfer sheets for all the LiDAR missions for Tawiran-Tagum floodplain can be found in Annex 5. Missions flown during the first survey conducted on October 2015 used the Airborne LiDAR Terrain Mapper (ALTM[™] Optech Inc.) Pegasus system over Sta. Cruz, Marinduque.

The Data Acquisition Component (DAC) transferred a total of 55.98 Gigabytes of Range data, 646 Megabytes of POS data, 17.23 Megabytes of GPS base station data, and 1100.2 Gigabytes of raw image data to the data server on November 5, 2015. The Data Pre-processing Component (DPPC) verified the completeness of the transferred data. The whole dataset for Tawiran-Tagum was fully transferred on November 10, 2015, as indicated on the Data Transfer Sheets for Tawiran-Tagum floodplain.

3.3 Trajectory Computation

The Smoothed Performance Metrics of the computed trajectory for flight 10020P, one of the Tawiran-Tagum flights, which is the North, East, and Down position RMSE values are shown in Figure 6. The x-axis corresponds to the time of flight, which is measured by the number of seconds from the midnight of the start of the GPS week, which on that week fell on October 9, 2015 00:00AM. The y-axis is the RMSE value for that particular position.



Figure 6. Smoothed Performance Metrics of Tawiran-Tagum Flight 10020P.

The time of flight was from 435500 seconds to 437500 seconds, which corresponds to morning of October 9, 2015. The initial spike that is seen on the data corresponds to the time that the aircraft was getting into position to start the acquisition, and the POS system starts computing for the position and orientation of the aircraft.

Redundant measurements from the POS system quickly minimized the RMSE value of the positions. The periodic increase in RMSE values from an otherwise smoothly curving RMSE values correspond to the turnaround period of the aircraft, when the aircraft makes a turn to start a new flight line. Figure 6 shows that the North position RMSE peaks at 2.50 centimeters, the East position RMSE peaks at 1.50 centimeters, and the Down position RMSE peaks at 4.80 centimeters, which are within the prescribed accuracies described in the methodology.



Figure 7. Solution Status Parameters of Tawiran-Tagum Flight 10020P.

The Solution Status parameters of flight 10020P, one of the Tawiran-Tagum flights, which are the number of GPS satellites, Positional Dilution of Precision (PDOP), and the GPS processing mode used, are shown in Figure 7. The graphs indicate that the number of satellites during the acquisition did not go down to 6. Majority of the time, the number of satellites tracked was between 6 and 10. The PDOP value also did not go above the value of 3, which indicates optimal GPS geometry. The processing mode stayed at the value of 0 for majority of the survey with some peaks up to 1 attributed to the turns performed by the aircraft. The value of 0 corresponds to a Fixed, Narrow-Lane mode, which is the optimum carrier-cycle integer ambiguity resolution technique available for POSPAC MMS. All of the parameters adhered to the accuracy requirements for optimal trajectory solutions, as indicated in the methodology. The computed best estimated trajectory for all Tawiran-Tagum flights is shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Best Estimated Trajectory for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.

3.4 LiDAR Point Cloud Computation

The produced LAS data contains 64 flight lines, with each flight line containing two channels, since the Pegasus system contains two channels. The summary of the self-calibration results obtained from LiDAR processing in LiDAR Mapping Suite (LMS) software for all flights over Tawiran-Tagum floodplain are given in Table 8.

Parameter	Acceptable Value	Computed Value
Boresight Correction stdev	<0.001degrees	0.000368
IMU Attitude Correction Roll and Pitch Correction stdev	<0.001degrees	0.000999
GPS Position Z-correction stdev	<0.01meters	0.0088

Table 8. Self-Calibration Results values for Tawiran-Tagum flights.

The optimum accuracy is obtained for all Tawiran-Tagum flights based on the computed standard deviations of the corrections of the orientation parameters. Standard deviation values for individual blocks are available in Annex 8: Mission Summary Reports.

3.5 LiDAR Data Quality Checking

The boundary of the processed LiDAR data on top of a SAR Elevation Data over Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain is shown in Figure 9. The map shows gaps in the LiDAR coverage that are attributed to cloud coverage.



Figure 9. Boundary of the processed LiDAR data over Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain

The total area covered by the Tawiran-Tagum missions is 166.16 sq.km that is comprised of three (3) flight acquisitions grouped and merged into one (8) block as shown in Table 9.

LiDAR Blocks	Flight Numbers	Area (sq. km)
	10020P	
Marinduque_Blk22A	10027P	166.16
	10032P	
TOTAL	1070.01 sq.km	

The overlap data for the merged LiDAR blocks, showing the number of channels that pass through a particular location is shown in Figure 20. Since the Pegasus system employ two channels, we would expect an average value of 2 (blue) for areas where there is limited overlap, and a value of 3 (yellow) or more (red) for areas with three or more overlapping flight lines.



Figure 10. Image of data overlap for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.

The overlap statistics per block for the Tawiran-Tagum floodplain can be found in Annex 8. One pixel corresponds to 25.0 square meters on the ground. For this area, the percent overlap is 44.30%, which passed the 25% requirement.

The pulse density map for the merged LiDAR data, with the red parts showing the portions of the data that satisfy the 2 points per square meter criterion is shown in Figure 11. It was determined that all LiDAR data for Tawiran-Tagum floodplain satisfy the point density requirement, and the average density for the entire survey area is 3.85 points per square meter.



Figure 11. Pulse density map of merged LiDAR data for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.

The elevation difference between overlaps of adjacent flight lines is shown in Figure 12. The default color range is from blue to red, where bright blue areas correspond to portions where elevations of a previous flight line, identified by its acquisition time, are higher by more than 0.20m relative to elevations of its adjacent flight line. Bright red areas indicate portions where elevations of a previous flight line are lower by more than 0.20m relative to elevations of its adjacent flight line. Areas with bright red or bright blue need to be investigated further using Quick Terrain Modeler software.



Figure 12. Elevation difference map between flight lines for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.

A screen capture of the processed LAS data from a Tawiran-Tagum flight 10020P loaded in QT Modeler is shown in Figure 13. The upper left image shows the elevations of the points from two overlapping flight strips traversed by the profile, illustrated by a dashed red line. The x-axis corresponds to the length of the profile. It is evident that there are differences in elevation, but the differences do not exceed the 20-centimeter mark. This profiling was repeated until the quality of the LiDAR data becomes satisfactory. No reprocessing was done for this LiDAR dataset.



Figure 13. Quality checking for Tawiran-Tagum flight 10020P using the Profile Tool of QT Modeler.

3.6 LiDAR Point Cloud Classification and Rasterization

Pertinent Class	Total Number of Points		
Ground	134,073,823		
Low Vegetation	132,208,304		
Medium Vegetation	291,984,269		
High Vegetation	394,146,942		
Building	15,113,236		

The tile system that TerraScan employed for the LiDAR data and the final classification image for a block in Tawiran-Tagum floodplain is shown in Figure 14. A total of 328 1km by 1km tiles were produced. The number of points classified to the pertinent categories is illustrated in Table 10. The point cloud has a maximum and minimum height of 673.85 meters and 2.43 meters respectively.



Figure 14. Tiles for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain (a) and classification results (b) in TerraScan.

An isometric view of an area before and after running the classification routines is shown in Figure 15. The ground points are in orange, the vegetation is in different shades of green, and the buildings are in cyan. It can be seen that residential structures adjacent or even below canopy are classified correctly, due to the density of the LiDAR data.



Figure 15. Point cloud before (a) and after (b) classification.

The production of last return (V_ASCII) and the secondary (T_ASCII) DTM, first (S_ASCII) and last (D_ASCII) return DSM of the area in top view display are shown in Figure 16. It shows that DTMs are the representation of the bare earth while on the DSMs, all features are present such as buildings and vegetation.



Figure 16. The production of last return DSM (a) and DTM (b), first return DSM (c) and secondary DTM (d) in some portion of Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.

3.7 LiDAR Image Processing and Orthophotograph Rectification

The 321 1km by 1km tiles area covered by Tawiran-Tagum floodplain is shown in Figure 17. After tie point selection to fix photo misalignments, color points were added to smoothen out visual inconsistencies along the seamlines where photos overlap. The Tawiran-Tagum floodplain has a total of 204.34 sq.km orthophotogaph coverage comprised of 483 images. A zoomed in version of sample orthophotographs named in reference to its tile number is shown in Figure 18.



Figure 17. Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain with available orthophotographs



Figure 18. Sample orthophotograph tiles for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain

3.8 DEM Editing and Hydro-Correction

One (1) blocks were processed for Tawiran-Tagum floodplain. These blocks are composed of a Marinduque block with a total area of 166.16 square kilometers. Table 11 shows the name and corresponding area of each block in square kilometers.

LiDAR Blocks	Area (sq.km)	
Marinduque_Blk22A	166.16	
TOTAL	166.16 sq.km	

Table 11. LiDAR blocks with its corresponding area.

Portions of DTM before and after manual editing are shown in Figure 19. Data gap (Figure 19a) has been filled to complete the surface (Figure 19b). The bridge (Figure 19c) is also considered to be an impedance to the flow of water along the river and has to be removed (Figure 19d) in order to hydrologically correct the river.



Figure 19. Portions in the DTM of Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain – a data gap before (a) and after (b) filling; and a bridge before (c) and after (d) manual editing.
3.9 Mosaicking of Blocks

Marinduque_Blk22B was used as the reference block at the start of mosaicking because it was the first block mosaicked to the larger DTM of Marinduque. Upon inspection of the blocks mosaicked for the Tawiran-Tagum floodplain, it was concluded that the elevation of Marinduque_Blk22A has to be adjusted. Table 12 shows the shift values applied to the LiDAR block during mosaicking.

Mosaicked LiDAR DTM for Tawiran-Tagum floodplain is shown in Figure 20. The entire Tawiran-Tagum floodplain is 69.23% covered by LiDAR data while portions with no LiDAR data were patched with the available IFSAR data.

Mission Blocks	Shift Values (meters)			
WISSION BIOCKS	x	У	z	
Marinduque_Blk22A	0.00	0.00	49.63	

Table 12. Shift V	Values of each	LiDAR Block	of Tawiran-T	agum Floodplain.
rapie 12. Omic	, and co of each	LIDTIC DIOOR	OI I M II MII I	again i tooaptain.

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure 20. Map of Processed LiDAR Data for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain.

3.10 Calibration and Validation of Mosaicked LiDAR Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

The extent of the validation survey done by the Data Validation and Bathymetry Component (DVBC) in Tawiran-Tagum to collect points with which the LiDAR dataset is validated is shown in Figure 21. A total of 938 survey points were used for calibration and validation of Tawiran-Tagum LiDAR data. Random selection of 80% of the survey points, resulting to 750 points, was used for calibration.

The good correlation between the uncalibrated mosaicked LiDAR elevation values and the ground survey elevation values is shown in Figure 22. Statistical values were computed from extracted LiDAR values using the selected points to assess the quality of data and obtain the value for vertical adjustment. The computed height difference between the LiDAR DTM and calibration elevation values is 3.53 meters with a standard deviation of 0.20 meters. Calibration of Tawiran-Tagum LiDAR data was done by subtracting the height difference value, 3.53 meters, to Tawiran-Tagum mosaicked LiDAR data. Table 13 shows the statistical values of the compared elevation values between LiDAR data and calibration data.

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure 21. Map of Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain with validation survey points in green.



Figure 22. Correlation plot between calibration survey points and LiDAR data.

Calibration Statistical Measures	Value (meters)
Height Difference	3.53
Standard Deviation	0.20
Average	-3.52
Minimum	-3.92
Maximum	-3.13

Table 13. Cal	ibration Statist	ical Measures.
Tuble 15. Out	ipincion ocneroe	ieur meuoureo.

The remaining 20% of the total survey points, resulting to 171, were used for the validation of calibrated Tawiran-Tagum DTM. The good correlation between the calibrated mosaicked LiDAR elevation values and the ground survey elevation, which reflects the quality of the LiDAR DTM is shown in Figure 23. The computed RMSE between the calibrated LiDAR DTM and validation elevation values is 0.11 meters with a standard deviation of 0.09 meters, as shown in Table 14.





Validation Statistical Measures	Value (meters)
RMSE	0.11
Standard Deviation	0.09
Average	0.07
Minimum	-0.43
Maximum	0.30

3.11 Integration of Bathymetric Data into the LiDAR Digital Terrain Model

For bathymetric data integration, centerline, zigzag, and cross section were available for Tawiran-Tagum with a total of 2,135 survey points. The resulting raster surface produced was done by Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) interpolation method. After burning the bathymetric data to the calibrated DTM, assessment of the interpolated surface is represented by the computed RMSE value of 0.45 meters. The extent of the bathymetric survey done by the Data Validation and Bathymetry Component (DVBC) in Tawiran-Tagum integrated with the processed LiDAR DEM is shown in Figure 24.



Figure 24. Map of Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain with bathymetric survey points shown in blue.

CHAPTER 4: LIDAR VALIDATION SURVEY AND MEASUREMENTS OF THE TAWIRAN-TAGUM RIVER BASIN

Engr. Louie P. Balicanta, Engr. Joemarie S. Caballero, Ms. Patrizcia Mae. P. dela Cruz, Engr. Kristine Ailene B. Borromeo For. Dona Rina Patricia C. Tajora, Elaine Bennet Salvador, and For. Rodel C. Alberto

The methods applied in this Chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Balicanta, et al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et al. (2017).

4.1 Summary of Activities

The Data Validation and Bathymetry Component (DVBC) conducted a field survey in Tawiran-Tagum River on August 9 – 20, 2016 with the following scope of work: reconnaissance; control survey; cross-section and as-built survey at Tawiran Bridge in Brgy. Matalaba, Sta. Cruz, Marinduque; validation points acquisition of about 13 km covering the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin area; and bathymetric survey from its upstream in Brgy. Pantayin, down to the two mouths of the river located in Brgy. Buyabod and Brgy, Biga, both in the Municipality of Sta. Cruz, with an approximate total length of 9.190 km using Hi[™] single beam echo sounder and Trimble[®] SPS 985 GNSS PPK survey technique. The entire survey extent is illustrated in Figure 25.



Figure 25. Tawiran-Tagum River Survey Extent

4.2 Control Survey

The GNSS network used for Tawiran-Tagum River Basin is composed of a single loop established on August 11, 2016 occupying the following reference points: MRQ-34, a second-order GCP in Brgy. Napo, Municipality of Sta. Cruz; and MQ-13, a first order BM, in Brgy. Mataas na Bayan, Municipality of Boac, both in Marinduque.

A NAMRIA-established control point namely MQ-120, located at the approach of Mangamnan Bridge, in Brgy. Butansapa, Municipality of Mogpong, Marinduque; was also occupied and used as marker.

The summary of reference and control points and its location is summarized in Table 15 while the GNSS network established is illustrated in Figure 26.



Figure 26. GNSS Network covering Tawiran-Tagum River

Table 15. List of reference and control points used during the survey in Tawiran-Tagum Rive	r
(Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)	

Control	Order of		Geographic Coordin	nates (WGS 8	34)	
Point	Accuracy	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoidal Height (Meter)	Elevation in MSL (Meter)	Date Established
MRQ-34	2nd order, GCP	13°26'09.54636"N	122°04'33.94310"E	64.236	-	2016
MQ-13	1st order, BM	-	-	63.211	13.916	2016
MQ-120	Used as Marker	-	-	-	-	2016 08-11-16 2:12 PM

The GNSS set-ups on recovered reference points and established control points in Tawiran-Tagum River are shown in Figure 27 to Figure 29.



Figure 27. GNSS base set up, Trimble® SPS 985, at MRQ-34 located near the Rizal statue inside Makapuyat Elementary School in Brgy. Napo, Sta. Cruz, Marinduque



Figure 28. GNSS receiver setup, Trimble® SPS 985, at MQ-13 located at the approach of Biglang-Awa Bridge, Brgy. Mataas na Bayan, Boac, Marinduque



Figure 29. GNSS receiver setup, Trimble® SPS 985, at MQ-120 located at the approach Mangamnan Bridge, Brgy. Butansapa, Mogpong, Marinduque

4.3 Baseline Processing

GNSS Baselines were processed simultaneously in TBC by observing that all baselines have fixed solutions with horizontal and vertical precisions within +/- 20 cm and +/- 10 cm requirement, respectively. In case where one or more baselines did not meet all of these criteria, masking is performed. Masking is done by removing/masking portions of these baseline data using the same processing software. It is repeatedly processed until all baseline requirements are met. If the reiteration yields out of the required accuracy, resurvey is initiated. Baseline processing result of control points in Tawiran-Tagum River Basin is summarized in Table 16 generated by TBC software.

Table 16. Baseline Processing Report for Tawiran-Tagum River Static Surve	y
(Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)	

Observation	n Date of Observation		Solution Type	H. Prec. (Meter)	V. Prec. (Meter)	Geodetic Az.	Ellipsoid Dist.	∆Height (Meter)
	From	То					(Meter)	
MQ-13 MRQ-34	MRQ- 34	MQ- 13	Fixed	0.011	0.034	273°23'02"	24859.031	-1.024
MQ-120 MRQ-34	MRQ- 34	MQ- 120	Fixed	0.009	0.038	286°58'51"	17779.150	23.128
MQ-120 MQ-13	MQ- 120	MQ- 13	Fixed	0.006	0.026	244°28'06"	8654.408	-24.145

As shown Table 16, a total of three (3) baselines were processed. All of them passed the required accuracy.

4.4 Network Adjustment

After the baseline processing procedure, network adjustment is performed using TBC. Looking at the Adjusted Grid Coordinates table of the TBC generated Network Adjustment Report, it is observed that the square root of the sum of the squares of x and y must be less than 20 cm and z less than 10 cm or in equation form:

```
\sqrt{((x_e)^2 + (y_e)^2)} <20cm and z_e < 10 \ cm
```

Where:

xe is the Easting Error, ye is the Northing Error, and ze is the Elevation Error

for each control point. See the Network Adjustment Report shown in Table 17 to Table 20 for complete details.

The three (3) control points, MRQ-34, MQ-13 and MQ-120 were occupied and observed simultaneously to form a GNSS loop. Coordinates of MRQ-34; and elevation value of MQ-13 were held fixed during the processing of the control points as presented in Table 17. Through these reference points, the coordinates and elevation of the unknown control points will be computed.

Table 17. Control	Point Constraints
-------------------	-------------------

Point ID	Туре	East σ (Meter)	North σ (Meter)	Height o (Meter)	Elevation σ (Meter)
MRQ-34	Local	Fixed	Fixed		
MQ-13	Grid				Fixed
Fixed = 0.00000	1 (Meter)		С		

The list of adjusted grid coordinates, i.e. Northing, Easting, Elevation and computed standard errors of the control points in the network is indicated in Table 18. The fixed controls MRQ-34 has no value for grid error while MQ-13 has no value for elevation error.

Point ID	Easting (Meter)	Easting Error (Meter)	Northing (Meter)	Northing Error (Meter)	Elevation (Meter)	Elevation Error (Meter)	Constraint
MQR-34	399984.398	?	1485537.871	?	14.100	0.044	LL
MQ-13	375180.421	0.010	1487097.828	0.006	13.916	?	е
MQ-120	383004.307	0.010	1490792.799	0.006	37.551	0.037	

With the mentioned equation, for horizontal and for the vertical; the computation for the accuracy are as follows:

a.MRQ-	34		
	horizontal accuracy	=	Fixed
	vertical accuracy	=	4.4 cm < 10 cm
b.MQ-1	3		
	horizontal accuracy	=	$\sqrt{((1.0)^2 + (0.6)^2)}$
		=	√ (1.0 + 0.36)
		=	1.17 < 20 cm
	vertical accuracy	=	Fixed
c.MQ-1	20		
	horizontal accuracy	=	$\sqrt{((1.0)^2 + (0.6)^2)}$
		=	√ (1.0 + 0.36)
		=	1.17 < 20 cm
	vertical accuracy	=	3.7 cm < 10 cm

Following the given formula, the horizontal and vertical accuracy result of the two occupied control points are within the required precision.

Point ID	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoid	Height	Constraint
MRQ-34	N13°26'09.54636"	E122°04'33.94310"	64.236	0.044	LL
MQ-13	N13°26'56.91664"	E121°50'48.94103"	63.211	?	е
MQ-120	N13°28'58.33069"	E121°55'08.56221"	87.362	0.037	

Table 19. Adjusted Geodetic Coordinates

The corresponding geodetic coordinates of the observed points are within the required accuracy as shown in Table 19. Based on the result of the computation, the accuracy condition is satisfied; hence, the required accuracy for the program was met.

The summary of reference and control points used is indicated in Table 20.

Table 20. Reference and control points and its location (Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)

	Order of Accuracy	Geographi	ic Coordinates (WGS	UTM ZONE 51 N			
Control Point		Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoidal Height (m)	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	BM Ortho (m)
MRQ-34	2nd order, GCP	13°26'09.54636"	122°04'33.94310"	64.236	1485537.871	399984.398	14.100
MQ-13	1st order, BM	13°26'56.91664"	121°50'48.94103"	63.211	1487097.828	375180.421	13.916
MQ-120	Used as Marker	13°28'58.33069"	121°55'08.56221"	87.362	1490792.799	383004.307	37.551

4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built survey and Water Level Marking

Cross-section and as-built survey were conducted on August 14, 2016 at the downstream side of Tawiran Bridge in Brgy. Matalaba, Municipality of Santa Cruz, Marinduque as shown in Figure 30. A Trimble[®] SPS 985 GNSS PPK survey technique were utilized for this survey as shown in Figure 31.



Figure 30. Tawiran Bridge facing upstream



Figure 31. Bridge As-Built Survey using PPK Technique.

The cross-sectional line of Tawiran Bridge is about 113.549 m with twenty-seven (27) cross-sectional points using the control point MRQ-34 as the GNSS base station. The location map, cross-section diagram, and the bridge data form are shown in Figure 32 to Figure 34, respectively.







Distance in meters (m)

Tawiran Bridge

Lat: 13°27'10.52168" N

Long: 122°04'03.70816" E



Pier Pier



	Station (Distance from BA1)	Elevation	Pier Diameter
1	62.748 m	5.907 m	1.20 m
2	78.014 m	5.882 m	1.20 m

NOTI: Use the center of the pler as reference to its station

Figure 34. Bridge as-built form of Tawiran Bridge

Water surface elevation of Tawiran-Tagum River was determined using a survey grade GNSS receiver Trimble[®] SPS 985 in PPK survey technique on August 14, 2016 at 1:25 PM with a value of -1.227 m in MSL as shown in Figure 33. This was translated into marking on the bridge's deck using the same technique as shown in Figure 35. The marking, with a value of 5.89 m in MSL, will serve as reference for flow data gathering and depth gauge deployment of partner HEI responsible for Tawiran-Tagum river, the UPLB.



Figure 35. Water-level markings on Tawiran Bridge

4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey

Validation points acquisition survey was conducted on August 13, 2016 using a survey-grade GNSS Rover receiver, Trimble[®] SPS 985, mounted on top of a vehicle as shown in Figure 36. It was secured with a nylon rope to ensure that it was horizontally and vertically balanced. The antenna height was 2.026 m and measured from the ground up to the bottom of notch of the GNSS Rover receiver. The PPK technique utilized for the conduct of the survey was set to continuous topo mode with MQ-13 occupied as the GNSS base station.



Figure 36. Validation points acquisition survey set-up along Tawiran-Tagum River Basin

The survey started from Tawiran Bridge in Brgy. Matalaba, Municipality of Santa Cruz; going south it traversed Barangays Tawiran, Napo, Taytay, Masaguisi and ended in Brgy. Mabuhay, Municipality of Torrijos; going east it traversed Brgy. Tamayo; and going north it traversed Barangays Matalaba, Buyabod, Manlibunan, and ended in Brgy. Lapu-lapu Poblacion, Municipality of Sta. Cruz, all in Marinduque. A total of 1,042 points were gathered with approximate length of 13 km using MRQ-34 as GNSS base station for the entire extent validation points acquisition survey as illustrated in the map in Figure 37.



Figure 37. Validation point acquisition survey of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin

4.7 River Bathymetric Survey

Bathymetric survey was executed on August 16 and 18, 2016 using an Hi-Target[™] single beam echo sounder and Trimble[®] SPS 985 in GNSS PPK survey technique in continuous topo mode as illustrated in Figure 38. The survey started at the upper part of Brgy. Matalaba, Municipality of Santa Cruz with coordinates 13°27′34.44725″N, 122°04′01.80281″E, and ended at two different mouths of the river: one in Brgy. Buyabod with coordinates 13°28′43.95859″N, 122°03′56.35351″E and anothe in Brgy. Biga with coordinates 13°28′28.42534″N, 122°04′32.86961″E.



Figure 38. Bathymetric survey using Hi-Target™ single beam echo sounder in Tawiran-Tagum River



Figure 39. Bathymetric survey using Trimble® SPS 882 in GNSS PPK survey technique in Tawiran-Tagum River



Figure 40. Gathering of random bathymetric points along Tawiran-Tagum River

The bathymetric survey for Tawiran-Tagum River gathered a total of 2,198 points covering a total estimated length of 9.190 km of the river traversing Barangays Buyabod, Biga, Matalaba, Tawiran and Pantayon in Municipality of Santa Cruz (Figure 41). A CAD drawing was also produced to illustrate the riverbed profile of Tawiran-Tagum River. As shown in Figure 42 and Figure 43, the highest and lowest elevation has a 5-m difference. The highest elevation observed was -1.621 m in MSL located in Brgy. Matalaba, while the lowest was -6.506 m below MSL located at the downstream portion of the river located in Brgy. Biga, both in Municipality of Santa Cruz. The left outlet of the river, locally known as Tagum, was also surveyed, adding 2.5 km to the total bathymetric surveyed. This tributary also contributes water outflow according to the UPLB.



Figure 41. Bathymetric survey of Tawiran-Tagum River



Tawiran - Tagum Riverbed Profile

Figure 42. Tawiran-Tagum Riverbed Profile, right mouth





Figure 43. Tawiran-Tagum Riverbed Profile, left mouth

CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING

Dr. Alfredo Mahar Lagmay, Christopher Uichanco, Sylvia Sueno, Marc Moises, Hale Ines, Miguel del Rosario, Kenneth Punay, Neil Tingin, Khristoffer Quinton, John Alvin B. Reyes, Alfi Lorenz B. Cura, Angelica T. Magpantay, Maria Michaela A. Gonzales Paulo Joshua U. Quilao, Jayson L. Arizapa, and Kevin M. Manalo

The methods applied in this Chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Lagmay, et al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et al. (2017)

5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling

5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves

All components and data that affect the hydrologic cycle of the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin were monitored, collected, and analyzed. Rainfall, water level, and flow in a certain period of time, which may affect the hydrologic cycle of the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin were monitored, collected, and analyzed.

5.1.2 Precipitation

Precipitation data was taken from an Automatic Rain Gauge (ARG). The ARG was installed on Brgy. Devilla (13.413028°N, 122.031806°E). The location of the rain gauges is seen in Figure 44.

The total precipitation for this event is 230.0 mm. It has a peak rainfall of 6.0 mm. on January 1, 2015 at 8:00 am, as seen in Figure 46.



Figure 44. The location map of Tawiran-Tagum HEC-HMS model used for calibration

5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow

A rating curve was developed at Sta. Cruz Bridge, Tawiran Tagum, Marinduque (13.453500° N, 122.068000° E) using Manning's Bankfull Method. It gives the relationship between the observed change in water and the outflow of the watershed at this location.

For Sta. Cruz Bridge, the rating curve is expressed as $Q = 0.0113x^2 + 0.0654x - 0.1716$ as shown in Figure 46.



Figure 45. Cross-Section Plot of Sta. Cruz Bridge



Figure 46. Rating curve at Sta. Cruz Bridge, Tawiran Tagum, Marinduque

For the calibration of the HEC-HMS model, shown in Figure 47, actual flow discharge during a rainfall event was collected in the Sta. Cruz Bridge. Peak discharge is 58.0 cu.m/s on January 1, 2015 at 12:50 pm. The Pamplona River Rating Curve measured at Pamplona Bridge is expressed as Q = 305.63e0.5029x (Figure 50).



Figure 47. Rainfall and outflow data at Tawiran-Tagum River Basin used for modeling

5.2 RIDF Station

The Philippines Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA) computed Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (RIDF) values for the Alabat Rain Gauge. The RIDF rainfall amount for 24 hours was converted to a synthetic storm by interpolating and re-arranging the values in such a way a certain peak value will be attained at a certain time. This station chosen based on its proximity to the Tawiran Tagum watershed. The extreme values for this watershed were computed based on a 31-year record, with the computed extreme values shown in Table 21.

COMPUTED EXTREME VALUES (in mm) OF PRECIPITATION									
T (yrs)	10 mins	20 mins	30 mins	1 hr	2 hrs	3 hrs	6 hrs	12 hrs	24 hrs
2	20.9	31.3	39.8	55.3	77	94.2	118.3	143.2	173.4
5	27.6	41.3	52.9	74.6	108.5	134.8	172.8	208.6	252
10	32.1	48	61.6	87.3	129.4	161.6	209	251.9	303.9
15	34.6	51.8	66.5	94.5	141.1	176.8	229.3	276.3	333.3
20	36.4	54.4	69.9	99.6	149.4	187.4	243.6	293.4	353.8
25	37.7	56.5	72.6	103.5	155.7	195.6	254.6	306.6	369.6
50	41.9	62.7	80.7	115.4	175.3	220.7	288.4	347.2	418.4
100	46.1	69	88.8	127.3	194.7	245.7	322	387.5	466.7

Table 21. RIDF values for Tawiran-Tagum Rain Gauge computed by PAGASA



Figure 48. Location of Alabat RIDF relative to Tawiran-Tagum River Basin



Figure 49. Synthetic storm generated for a 24-hr period rainfall for various return periods.

5.3 HMS Model

The soil dataset was generated before 2004 by the Bureau of Soils and Water Management under the Department of Agriculture (DA-BSWM). The land cover dataset is from the National Mapping and Resource information Authority (NAMRIA). The soil and land cover of the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin are shown in Figure 50 and Figure 51, respectively.



Figure 50. Soil map of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin used for the estimation of the CN parameter. (Source: DA)



Figure 51. Land cover map of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin used for the estimation of the Curve Number (CN) and the watershed lag parameters of the rainfall-runoff model. (Source: NAMRIA)

Tawiran-Tagum river basin has at least four (4) identified soil classes. It is mostly hydrosol and clay loam, with portions of clay and sandy loam. Moreover, seven (7) land cover classes were identified. The area is largely forest plantation and cultivated land, while other land cover are open forest, grassland, shrubland, built-up area, and mangrove.



Figure 52. Slope map of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure 53. Stream delineation map of Tawiran-Tagum River Basin

Using SAR-based DEM, the Tawiran-Tagum basin was delineated and further subdivided into subbasins. The model consists of 48 sub basins, 24 reaches, and 24 junctions. The main outlet is labelled as 41. This basin model is illustrated in Figure 54. The basins were identified based on soil and land cover characteristics of the area. Precipitation was taken from an Automatic Rain Gauge (ARG) Station at Brgy. Devilla, Tawiran-Tagum. Finally, it was calibrated using the flow data collected from the Sta. Cruz Bridge.



Figure 54. HEC-HMS generated Tawiran-Tagum River Basin Model.

5.4 Cross-section Data

Riverbed cross-sections of the watershed are crucial in the HEC-RAS model setup. The cross-section data for the HEC-RAS model was derived using the LiDAR DEM data. It was defined using the Arc GeoRAS tool and was post-processed in ArcGIS.



Figure 55. River cross-section of Tawiran-Tagum River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool
5.5 Flo 2D Model

The automated modelling process allows for the creation of a model with boundaries that are almost exactly coincidental with that of the catchment area. As such, they have approximately the same land area and location. The entire area is divided into square grid elements, 10 meter by 10 meter in size. Each element is assigned a unique grid element number which serves as its identifier, then attributed with the parameters required for modelling such as x-and y-coordinate of centroid, names of adjacent grid elements, Manning coefficient of roughness, infiltration, and elevation value. The elements are arranged spatially to form the model, allowing the software to simulate the flow of water across the grid elements and in eight directions (north, south, east, west, northeast, northwest, southeast, southwest).

Based on the elevation and flow direction, it is seen that the water will generally flow from the west, southwest and west side of the model to the northeast, following the main channel. As such, boundary elements in those particular regions of the model are assigned as inflow and outflow elements respectively.



Figure 56. Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro

The simulation is then run through FLO-2D GDS Pro. This particular model had a computer run time of 148.99963 hours. After the simulation, FLO-2D Mapper Pro is used to transform the simulation results into spatial data that shows flood hazard levels, as well as the extent and inundation of the flood. Assigning the appropriate flood depth and velocity values for Low, Medium, and High creates the following food hazard map. Most of the default values given by FLO-2D Mapper Pro are used, except for those in the Low hazard level. For this particular level, the minimum h (Maximum depth) is set at 0.2 m while the minimum vh (Product of maximum velocity (v) times maximum depth (h)) is set at 0 m2/s. The generated hazard maps for Tawiran-Tagum are in Figures 60, 62, and 64.

The creation of a flood hazard map from the model also automatically creates a flow depth map depicting the maximum amount of inundation for every grid element. The legend used by default in Flo-2D Mapper is not a good representation of the range of flood inundation values, so a different legend is used for the layout. In this particular model, the inundated parts cover a maximum land area of 97,036,800.00 m2. The generated flood depth maps for Tawiran-Tagum are in Figures 61, 63, and 65.

There is a total of 53,172,050.60 m3 of water entering the model. Of this amount, 38,752,394.19 m3 is due to rainfall while 14,419,656.41 m3 is inflow from other areas outside the model. 10,524,692.00 m3 of this water is lost to infiltration and interception, while 13,402,554.73 m3 is stored by the flood plain. The rest, amounting up to 29,244,839.51 m3, is outflow.

5.6 Results of HMS Calibration

After calibrating the Tawiran Tagum HEC-HMS river basin model, its accuracy was measured against the observed values. Figure 57 shows the comparison between the two discharge data.



Figure 57. Outflow Hydrograph of Tawiran-Tagum produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow.

Enumerated in Table 22 are the adjusted ranges of values of the parameters used in calibrating the model.

Hydrologic Element	Calculation Type	Method	Parameter	Range of Calibrated Values
	Loss	SCS Curve	Initial Abstraction (mm)	1 - 192
	LOSS	number	Curve Number	35 - 99
Basin	Transform	Clark Unit	Time of Concentration (hr)	0.1 - 75
		Hydrograph	Storage Coefficient (hr)	0.06 - 43
	Deseflow	Decession	Recession Constant	0.04 - 1
	Basenow	Recession	Ratio to Peak	0.02 - 0.7
Reach	Routing	Muskingum- Cunge	Manning's Coefficient	0.02 - 0.1

Initial abstraction defines the amount of precipitation that must fall before surface runoff. The magnitude of the outflow hydrograph increases as initial abstraction decreases. The range of values from 1 to 192mm means that there is a high amount of infiltration or rainfall interception by vegetation.

Curve number is the estimate of the precipitation excess of soil cover, land use, and antecedent moisture. The magnitude of the outflow hydrograph increases as curve number increases. The range of 35 to 99 for curve number means that there is a diverse characteristic for this watershed depending on its subbasin.

Time of concentration and storage coefficient are the travel time and index of temporary storage of runoff in a watershed. The range of calibrated values from 0.06 hours to 75 hours determines the reaction time of the model with respect to the rainfall. The peak magnitude of the hydrograph also decreases when these parameters are increased.

Recession constant is the rate at which baseflow recedes between storm events and ratio to peak is the ratio of the baseflow discharge to the peak discharge. Same as the curve number, the characteristics of this watershed differs per subbasin.

Manning's roughness coefficient of 0.02 to 0.1 also indicates different characteristics of the river reaches (Brunner, 2010).

Accuracy measure	Value
RMSE	0.977
r2	0.995
NSE	0.991
PBIAS	0.017
RSR	0.097

Table 23. Summary of the Efficiency Test of Tawiran-Tagum HMS Model

The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) method aggregates the individual differences of these two measurements. It was identified at 0.977.

The Pearson correlation coefficient (r2) assesses the strength of the linear relationship between the observations and the model. This value being close to 1 corresponds to an almost perfect match of the observed discharge and the resulting discharge from the HEC HMS model. Here, it measured 0.995.

The Nash-Sutcliffe (E) method was also used to assess the predictive power of the model. Here the optimal value is 1. The model attained an efficiency coefficient of 0.991.

A positive Percent Bias (PBIAS) indicates a model's propensity towards under-prediction. Negative values indicate bias towards over-prediction. Again, the optimal value is 0. In the model, the PBIAS is 0.017.

The Observation Standard Deviation Ratio, RSR, is an error index. A perfect model attains a value of 0 when the error in the units of the valuable a quantified. The model has an RSR value of 0.097.

5.7 Calculated outflow hydrographs and discharge values for different rainfall return periods

5.7.1 Hydrograph using the Rainfall Runoff Model

The summary graph (Figure 58) shows the Tawiran-Tagum outflow using the Alabat Rainfail Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves (RIDF) in 5 different return periods (5-year, 10-year, 25-year, 50-year, and 100-year rainfall time series) based on the Philippine Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA) data. The simulation results reveal significant increase in outflow magnitude as the rainfall intensity increases for a range of durations and return periods.



Figure 58. Outflow hydrograph at the Tawiran-Tagum Station generated using the simulated rain events for 24hour period for Legazpi station

A summary of the total precipitation, peak rainfall, peak outflow, time to peak and lag time of the Tawiran Tagum discharge using the Alabat Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves (RIDF) in five different return periods is shown in Table 24.

Table 24. Peak values of the Tawiran-Tagum HECHMS Model outflow using the Alabat RIDF 24-hour values

RIDF Period	Total Precipitation (mm)	Peak rainfall (mm)	Peak outflow (m 3/s)	Time to Peak	Lag Time
5-Year	252.0	27.60	85.0	14 hours 10 minutes	2 hours 10 minutes
10-Year	303.90	32.10	108.80	14 hours 10 minutes	2 hours 10 minutes
25-Year	369.6	37.70	141.60	14 hours	2 hours
50-Year	418.40	41.90	167.40	14 hours	2 hours
100-Year	466.70	46.10	193.90	15 hours 50 minutes	3 hours 50 minutes

5.8 River Analysis (RAS) Model Simulation

The HEC-RAS Flood Model produced a simulated water level at every cross-section for every time step for every flood simulation created. The resulting model will be used in determining the flooded areas within the model. The simulated model will be an integral part in determining real-time flood inundation extent of the river after it has been automated and uploaded on the DREAM website. The sample map of Tawiran Tagum River using the HMS base flow is shown on Figure 59 below.



Figure 59. Sample output of Tawiran-Tagum RAS Model

5.9 Flow Depth and Flood Hazard

The resulting hazard and flow depth maps have a 10m resolution. The generated flood hazard maps for the Tambang Floodplain were used to assess the vulnerability of the educational and medical institutions in the floodplain. Using the flood depth units of PAGASA for hazard maps - "Low", "Medium", and "High" - the affected institutions were given their individual assessment for each Flood Hazard Scenario (5 yr, 25 yr, and 100 yr). The resulting hazard and flow depth maps for 5-, 25-, and 100-year rain return scenarios of the Tawiran-Tagum floodplain are shown in Figure 60 to Figure 65. The floodplain, with an area of 99.49 sq. km., covers two municipalities namely Santa Cruz, and Torrijos. Table 25 shown the percentage of area affected by flooding per municipality.

Municipality	Total Area	Area Flooded	% Flooded
Santa Cruz	236.19	93.26	39.48
Torrijos	210.05	6.15	2.93

Table 25. Municipalities affected in Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain



67









MAGAN









Listed below are the barangays affected by the Tawiran Tagum River Basin, grouped accordingly by municipality. For the said basin, two (2) municipalities consisting of 35 barangays are expected to experience flooding when subjected to a 5-year rainfall return period. For the 5-year return period, 31.31% of the municipality of Santa Cruz with an area of 236.19 sq. km. will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters, while 2.93% of the 2.01 t0 5 meters, and more than 5 meters respectively. Listed in Table 26 to Table 29 and shown in Figure 66 are the affected areas in Santa Cruz in square kilometres area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters; 2.85%, 1.33%, 0.73%, and 0.35% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, by flood depth per barangay.

			Are	a of affected baran	gays in Santa Cruz			
Affected area				(in sq. k	()			
(sq. km.) by 1100a depth (in m.)	Alobo	Angas	Bagong Silang Poblacion	Baguidbirin	Banahaw Poblacion	Banogbog	Biga	Buyabod
0.03-0.20	2.85	3.63	0.16	2.43	0.13	2.07	2.73	2.52
0.21-0.50	0.55	0.44	0.029	0.058	0.002	0.08	1.42	0.52
0.51-1.00	0.24	0.31	0.03	0.031	0.000023	0.046	2.06	0.53
1.01-2.00	0.038	0.035	0.036	0.032	0	0.034	0.54	0.13
2.01-5.00	0.011	0	0.011	0.0077	0	0.062	0.11	0.038
> 5.00	0	0	0	0	0	0.0054	0.005	0

Table 26. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

Affected area			Area	of affected baranga (in sq. km	ys in Santa Cruz .)			
(sq. km.) by 1100d depth (in m.)	Devilla	Haguimit	olol	Kaganhao	Kiñaman	Lapu-lapu Poblacion	Libjo	Lipa
0.03-0.20	5.29	4.74	1.68	2.53	2.29	2.1	0.09	0.46
0.21-0.50	0.13	0.16	0.062	0.07	0.049	0.15	0.0076	0.033
0.51-1.00	0.089	0.083	0.041	0.038	0.019	0.11	0.0064	0.11
1.01-2.00	0.086	0.026	0.046	0.046	0.0068	0.12	0.0051	0.15
2.01-5.00	0.14	0.015	0.066	0.029	0.0084	0.02	0.0055	0.045
> 5,00	0 056	0.018	0 072	0 0014	0 0049	0 0012	0 00 1	c

Table 27. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

Table 28. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

Affected area			Area of	[:] affected barangay. (in sq. km.)	s in Santa Cruz			
(sq. km.) by 1100d depth (in m.)	Maharlika Poblacion	Makulapnit	Manlibunan	Masaguisi	Masalukot	Matalaba	Morales	Napo
0.03-0.20	0.11	0.0087	1.38	2.05	5.3	0.99	3.27	3.46
0.21-0.50	0.012	0.0016	0.065	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.55	0.18
0.51-1.00	0.022	0.0021	0.04	0.15	0.051	0.19	0.47	0.16
1.01-2.00	0.064	0.0035	0.02	0.08	0.029	0.26	0.15	0.1
2.01-5.00	0.015	0.0063	0.0013	0.000	0.035	0.13	0.011	0.37
> 5.00	0	0	0	0	0.024	0.055	0	0.043

т

Table 29. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

Affected area			Area of	affected barangay (in sq. km.)	s in Santa Cruz			
(sq. km.) by 1100d depth (in m.)	Pag-Asa Poblacion	Pantayin	Pulong-Parang	Tagum	Tamayo	Tambangan	Tawiran	Taytay
0.03-0.20	0.1	2.85	8.16	3.21	2.32	1.18	0.49	3.38
0.21-0.50	0.0017	0.16	0.26	0.47	0.45	0.021	0.18	0.27
0.51-1.00	0.0044	0.17	0.11	0.35	0.42	0.016	0.28	0.55
1.01-2.00	0.0068	0.16	0.085	0.071	0.19	0.017	0.24	0.33
2.01-5.00	0.0036	0.3	0.21	0.0004	0.034	0.041	0.0024	0.0016
> 5.00	0.000019	0.11	0.4	0	0	0.034	0	0



For the municipality of Torrijos, with an area of 210.05 sq. km., 2.28% will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.29% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.25%, 0.10%, and 0.01% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, and more than 2 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 30 and shown in Figure 67 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Affected area	Area of affe	ected barangays in (in sq. km.)	Torrijos
(sq. km.) by flood depth (in m.)	Mabuhay	Matuyatuya	Suha
0.03-0.20	2.3	0.58	1.92
0.21-0.50	0.24	0.075	0.29
0.51-1.00	0.096	0.074	0.35
1.01-2.00	0.046	0.032	0.13
2.01-5.00	0.0034	0.00089	0.02
> 5.00	0	0	0

Table 30. Affected Areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period



Figure 67. Affected Areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 25-year return period, 29.97% of the municipality of Santa Cruz with an area of 236.19 sq. km. will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters, while 2.68% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters; 2.12%, 3.10%, 1.06%, and 0.58% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters respectively. Listed in Table 31 to Table 34 and shown in Figure 68 are the areas affected in Santa Cruz in square kilometers by flood depth per barangay.

Ā
<u>10</u> ,
H
ď
C C
L.
R
G
2
-
al
f
÷
2
-
al
e'
~
5
\sim
ы
Π.
Τ
F
Ň
ň
с,
<u> </u>
2
-H
ar
7
~
Ы
3
()
<u> </u>
Ę
Ц
Š
d
Ъ,
S
ä
L
~
g
Ĕ
2
Æ
Ā
-
31
e.
<u>l</u>
Б
H

Affected area			Area of affe	ected baranga (in sq. km.	ys in Santa C .)	zn		
(sq. km.) by flood depth (in m.)	Alobo	Angas	Bagong Silang Poblacion	Baguidbirin	Banahaw Poblacion	Banogbog	Biga	Buyabod
0.03-0.20	2.73	3.46	0.15	2.4	0.13	2.04	2.1	2.24
0.21-0.50	0.53	0.46	0.016	0.068	0.0036	0.085	1.23	0.57
0.51-1.00	0.2	0.42	0.028	0.03	0.00031	0.055	0.86	0.64
1.01-2.00	0.2	0.079	0.041	0.037	0	0.039	2.42	0.23
2.01-5.00	0.016	0.0004	0.033	0.024	0	0.066	0.24	0.054
> 5.00	0	0	0	0.00014	0	0.019	0.0054	0

Table 32. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

Affected area (sq. km.) by flood			Area of	affected baran (in sq. k	ıgays in Santa :m.)	Cruz		
depth (in m.)	Devilla	Haguimit	olol	Kaganhao	Kiñaman	Lapu-lapu Poblacion	Libjo	Lipa
0.03-0.20	5.18	4.67	1.62	2.49	2.26	2.04	0.087	0.44
0.21-0.50	0.14	0.19	0.067	0.082	0.063	0.15	0.0059	0.025
0.51-1.00	0.091	0.097	0.041	0.037	0.022	0.12	0.0076	0.084
1.01-2.00	0.088	0.046	0.054	0.045	0.012	0.12	0.0072	0.14
2.01-5.00	0.15	0.018	0.083	0.063	0.007	0.078	0.0067	0.11
> 5.00	0.14	0.025	0.11	0.0037	0.01	0.0041	0.0028	0

т

Т

т

Affected area			Area of affe	:cted baranga (in sq. km	ys in Santa Cr. .)	zn		
(sq. km.) by nood depth (in m.)	Maharlika Poblacion	Makulapnit	Manlibunan	Masaguisi	Masalukot	Matalaba	Morales	Napo
0.03-0.20	0.088	0.0066	1.35	1.99	5.22	0.85	3.16	3.33
0.21-0.50	0.0053	0.0012	0.072	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.33	0.15
0.51-1.00	0.014	0.0018	0.045	0.11	0.072	0.22	0.31	0.13
1.01-2.00	0.055	0.003	0.032	0.17	0.038	0.29	0.6	0.18
2.01-5.00	0.061	0.0096	0.0037	0.0013	0.038	0.2	0.049	0.39
> 5.00	0	0.00013	0	0	0.047	0.057	0	0.14

Table 33. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

Table 34. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

Affected area		Aı	ea of affect	ed barangays i	n Pamplona ((in sq. km.)		
(sq. km.) by flood depth (in m.)	Pag-Asa Poblacion	Pantayin	Pulong- Parang	Tagum	Tamayo	Tambangan	Tawiran	Тауtау
0.03-0.20	0.099	2.71	7.98	3.06	2.2	1.16	0.29	3.25
0.21-0.50	0.0011	0.16	0.27	0.48	0.18	0.023	0.14	0.26
0.51-1.00	0.0029	0.12	0.14	0.39	0.2	0.016	0.2	0.3
1.01-2.00	0.0056	0.23	0.087	0.17	0.69	0.017	0.49	0.7
2.01-5.00	0.0095	0.34	0.19	0.0008	0.14	0.044	0.061	0.021
> 5.00	0.0018	0.18	0.56	0	0	0.051	0	0





For the municipality of Torrijos, with an area of 210.05 sq. km., 2.20% will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.28% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.23%, 0.20%, and 0.02% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, and more than 2 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 35 and shown in Figure 69 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Affected area (sq. km.) by	Area of aff	ected barangays in To (in sq. km)	rrijos
m.)	Mabuhay	Matuyatuya	Suha
0.03-0.20	2.23	0.57	1.81
0.21-0.50	0.25	0.063	0.28
0.51-1.00	0.11	0.074	0.29
1.01-2.00	0.078	0.051	0.3
2.01-5.00	0.012	0.0053	0.027
> 5.00	0	0	0

Table 35. Affected Areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period



Figure 69. Affected Areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the 100-year return period, 29.18% of the municipality of Santa Cruz with an area of 236.19 sq. km. will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters, while 2.50% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters; 2.15%, 3.04%, 1.79%, and 0.84% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 t0 5 meters, and more than 5 meters respectively. Listed in Table 36 to Table 39 and shown in Figure 70 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Affected area			Area c	of affected barang (in sq. kn	ays in Santa Cru n.)	21		
(sq. km.) by flood depth (in m.)	Alobo	Angas	Bagong Silang Poblacion	Baguidbirin	Banahaw Poblacion	Banogbog	Biga	Buyabod
0.03-0.20	2.66	3.35	0.14	2.37	0.12	2.01	1.84	2
0.21-0.50	0.46	0.49	0.01	0.075	0.005	0.089	0.99	0.48
0.51-1.00	0.29	0.43	0.026	0.032	0.00041	0.059	0.87	0.81
1.01-2.00	0.21	0.14	0.045	0.037	0	0.044	2.1	0.37
2.01-5.00	0.048	0.0008	0.044	0.036	0	0.064	1.06	0.071
> 5.00	0	0	0	0.0011	0	0.036	0.0054	0

Table 36. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

×	
Ē	
ھ	
Ц	
_	
=	
2	
5	
~	
Ц	
Γ	
Ψų.	
d	
·Ξ	
ι σ	
2	
님	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
~	
~	
$\sim$	
Z	
$\underline{\circ}$	
60	
٠E	
1	
2	
$\nabla$	
0)	
۲	
_ <b>=</b>	
2	
- 2	
$\nabla$	
d	
·Ξ	
ĹĹ,	
lari	
Mari	
Mari	
z, Mari	
ız, Mari	
uz, Mari	
cruz, Mari	
Cruz, Mari	
a Cruz, Mari	
ta Cruz, Marii	
nta Cruz, Mari	
anta Cruz, Marii	
Santa Cruz, Marii	
Santa Cruz, Marii	
n Santa Cruz, Marii	
in Santa Cruz, Mari	
s in Santa Cruz, Mari	
as in Santa Cruz, Marii	
eas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
reas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
l Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
ed Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
ed Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
cted Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
ected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
fected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
7. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
37. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
e 37. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
le 37. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
ble 37. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
able 37. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	
Table 37. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Mari	

Affected area			Are	a of affected bara (in sq.	ngays in Santa ( km.)	Cruz		
(sq. km.) by flood depth (in m.)	Devilla	Haguimit	olol	Kaganhao	Kiñaman	Lapu-lapu Poblacion	Libjo	Lipa
0.03-0.20	5.11	4.62	1.57	2.45	2.24	2	0.085	0.43
0.21-0.50	0.16	0.2	0.072	0.095	0.074	0.14	0.0058	0.026
0.51-1.00	0.094	0.1	0.042	0.04	0.022	0.13	0.0076	0.055
1.01-2.00	0.092	0.066	0.053	0.049	0.019	0.13	0.0081	0.15
2.01-5.00	0.16	0.027	0.09	0.075	0.0085	0.1	0.0062	0.14
> 5.00	0.19	0.03	0.15	0.0082	0.012	0.0077	0.004	0.0002

Table 38. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Affected area			Area of affect	ed barangays ir (in sq. km.)	i Santa Cruz			
(sq. km.) by flood depth (in m.)	Maharlika Poblacion	Makulapnit	Manlibunan	Masaguisi	Masalukot	Matalaba	Morales	Napo
0.03-0.20	0.082	0.0058	1.33	1.95	5.16	0.78	3.09	3.26
0.21-0.50	0.0051	0.00097	0.075	0.18	0.21	0.15	0.31	0.16
0.51-1.00	0.0088	0.0012	0.043	0.12	0.082	0.24	0.27	0.13
1.01-2.00	0.047	0.0033	0.04	0.2	0.044	0.34	0.56	0.14
2.01-5.00	0.08	0.0092	0.011	0.0043	0.046	0.25	0.22	0.24
> 5.00	0	0.0016	0	0	0.058	0.062	0	0.4

Table 39. Affected Areas in Santa Cruz, Marinduque during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Affected area			Area of affe	ected baranga (in sq. km	ays in Santa Cru .)	z		
(sq. km.) by flood depth (in m.)	Pag-Asa Poblacion	Pantayin	Pulong-Parang	Tagum	Tamayo	Tambangan	Tawiran	Taytay
0.03-0.20	0.096	2.64	7.85	2.96	2.15	1.15	0.22	3.18
0.21-0.50	0.0018	0.14	0.29	0.48	0.17	0.024	0.1	0.27
0.51-1.00	0.002	0.13	0.15	0.38	0.13	0.015	0.12	0.24
1.01-2.00	0.0061	0.18	0.093	0.29	0.57	0.019	0.39	0.75
2.01-5.00	0.011	0.35	0.17	0.0025	0.41	0.037	0.36	0.1
> 5.00	0.0023	0.3	0.66	0	0	0.069	0	0



For the municipality of Torrijos, with an area of 210.05 sq. km., 2.13% will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.28% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.24%, 0.25%, and 0.03% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, and more than 2 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 40 and shown in Figure 71 are the areas affected in Torrijos in square kilometers by flood depth per barangay.

Affected area (sq. km.) by flood	Area of affe	ected barangays in To (in sq. km)	rrijos
depth (in m.)	Mabuhay	Matuyatuya	Suha
0.03-0.20	2.18	0.56	1.74
0.21-0.50	0.25	0.054	0.28
0.51-1.00	0.14	0.073	0.28
1.01-2.00	0.092	0.067	0.36
2.01-5.00	0.025	0.012	0.037
> 5.00	0	0	0

Table 40. Affected areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during the 100-Year Rainfall Return Period



Figure 71. Affected Areas in Torrijos, Marinduque during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Among the barangays in the municipality of Santa Cruz, Pulong-Parang is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels at 3.90%. Meanwhile, Biga posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths at 2.90%.

Among the barangays in the municipality of Torrijos, Suha is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels at 1.29%. Meanwhile, Mabuhay posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths at 1.28%.

Moreover, the generated flood hazard maps for the Tawiran-Tagum floodplain were used to assess the vulnerability of the educational and medical institutions in the floodplain. Using the flood depth units of PAG-ASA for hazard maps - "Low", "Medium", and "High" - the affected institutions were given their individual assessment for each Flood Hazard Scenario (5 yr, 25 yr, and 100 yr).

### 5.11 Flood Validation

In order to check and validate the extent of flooding in different river systems, there was a need to perform validation survey work. Field personnel gathered secondary data regarding flood occurrence in the area within the major river system in the Philippines.

From the Flood Depth Maps produced by Phil-LiDAR 1 Program, multiple points representing the different flood depths for different scenarios were identified for validation.

The validation personnel went to the specified points identified in a river basin and gathered data regarding the actual flood level in each location. Data gathering was done through a local DRRM office to obtain maps or situation reports about the past flooding events and through interviews with some residents who have knowledge of or have had experienced flooding in a particular area.

After which, the actual data from the field was compared to the simulated data to assess the accuracy of the Flood Depth Maps produced and to improve on what is needed. The points in the flood map versus its corresponding validation depths are shown in Figure 73.

The flood validation consists of 61 points randomly selected all over the Tawiran-Tagum floodplain. Comparing it with the flood depth map of the nearest storm event, the map has an RMSE value of 1.557m. Table 41 shows a contingency matrix of the comparison.



Figure 72. Validation points for the 5-Year flood depth map of the Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain



Figure 73. Flood map depth vs. actual flood depth

Actual			Model	ed Flood Dep	th (m)		
Flood Depth (m)	0-0.20	0.21-0.50	0.51-1.00	1.01-2.00	2.01-5.00	> 5.00	Total
0-0.20	11	8	5	0	1	0	25
0.21-0.50	3	3	0	0	2	0	8
0.51-1.00	1	3	7	1	6	2	20
1.01-2.00	1	1	2	1	2	0	7
2.01-5.00	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
> 5.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	16	15	14	3	11	2	61

Table 41. Actual flood vs simulated flood depth at different levels in the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin.

The overall accuracy generated by the flood model is estimated at 36.07% with 22 points correctly matching the actual flood depths. In addition, there were 17 points estimated one level above and below the correct flood depths while there were 13 points and 6 points estimated two levels above and below, and three or more levels above and below the correct flood. A total of 4 points were overestimated while a total of 12 points were underestimated in the modelled flood depths of Tawiran-Tagum. Table 42 depicts the summary of the Accuracy Assessment in the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin Survey.

Table 42. Summary of the Accuracy Assessment in the Tawiran-Tagum River Basin

	No. of Points	%
Correct	22	36.07
Overestimated	27	44.26
Underestimated	12	19.67
Total	61	100.00

# REFERENCES

Ang M.C., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Processing Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry

Balicanta L.P, Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Validation Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry

Brunner, G. H. 2010a. HEC-RAS River Analysis System Hydraulic Reference Manual. Davis, CA: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Institute for Water Resources, Hydrologic Engineering Center.

Lagmay A.F., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Flood Modeling Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry

Paringit, E.C., Balicanta, L.P., Ang, M.C., Lagmay, A.F., Sarmiento, C. 2017, Flood Mapping of Rivers in the Philippines Using Airborne LiDAR: Methods. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry

Sarmiento C.J.S., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Aquisition Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry

UP TCAGP 2016. Acceptance and Evaluation of Synthetic Aperture Radar Digital Surface Model (SAR DSM) and Ground Control Points (GCP). Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry

# **ANNEXES**

### Annex 1. Optech Technical Specification of the Pegasus Sensor



Laptop

Control Rack

Figure A-1.1 Pegasus Sensor Table A-1.1. Parameters and Specification of Pegasus Sensor

Parameter	Specification
Operational envelope (1,2,3,4)	150-5000 m AGL, nominal
Laser wavelength	1064 nm
Horizontal accuracy (2)	1/5,500 x altitude, 1σ
Elevation accuracy (2)	< 5-20 cm, 1σ
Effective laser repetition rate	Programmable, 100-500 kHz
Position and orientation system	POS AV ™AP50 (OEM)
Scan width (FOV)	Programmable, 0-75 °
Scan frequency (5)	Programmable, 0-140 Hz (effective)
Sensor scan product	800 maximum
Beam divergence	0.25 mrad (1/e)
Roll compensation	Programmable, ±37° (FOV dependent)
Vertical target separation distance	<0.7 m
Range capture	Up to 4 range measurements, including 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and last returns
Intensity capture	Up to 4 intensity returns for each pulse, including last (12 bit)
Image capture	5 MP interline camera (standard); 60 MP full frame (optional)
Full waveform capture	12-bit Optech IWD-2 Intelligent Waveform Digitizer
Data storage	Removable solid state disk SSD (SATA II)
Power requirements	28 V, 800 W, 30 A
Dimensions and weight	Sensor: 630 x 540 x 450 mm; 65 kg;
	Control rack: 650 x 590 x 490 mm; 46 kg
Operating Temperature	-10°C to +35°C
Relative humidity	0-95% non-condensing

1 Target reflectivity ≥20%

2 Dependent on selected operational parameters using nominal FOV of up to 40° in standard atmospheric conditions with 24-km visibility

3 Angle of incidence  $\leq 20^{\circ}$ 

4 Target size ≥ laser footprint5 Dependent on system configuration

# Annex 2. NAMRIA Certification of Reference Points Used in the LIDAR Survey

MRQ-25 1.



October 28, 2015

### CERTIFICATION

To whom it may concern:

This is to certify that according to the records on file in this office, the requested survey information is as follows -

	Province: MARINDUQUE		
	Station Name: MRQ-25		
bland: LUZON Municipality: BOAC (CAPITAL)	Berangey TUGOS NISL Elevation: PR\$92 Coordinates		
Latitude: 13° 22' 56.92806"	Longitude: 121* 51* 28.72673*	Ellipsoidal Hgt:	48.18293 m.
	WGS84 Coordinates		
Laitude: 13° 22° 51.84815°	Longitude: 121" 51" 33.72033"	Ellosoidal Hgi:	97.20100 m.
	PTM / PR392 Coordinates		
Northing: 1480020.809 m.	Easting 592932.786 m.	Zona: 3	
	UTM / PRS92 Coordinates		
Northing: 1,478,527.07	Easting 376,341.19	Zone: 51	

**MPQ-25** 

Location Description

From Boso to Brgs. Tugos, approx. 16.6 Km, travel to reach Brgy. Tugos. Station is located at the top of the plant box of Tugos Bom. School near at the school gate. Mark is the head of a 4 in, copper nail flushed at the center of a cement puty with inscriptions, "NRQ-24, 2007, NAMRIA".

Purpose: OR Number: T.N.:

Requesting Party ENGR. CHRISTOPHER CRUZ Reference 80684721 2015-3528

RUEL DW. BELEN, MNBA Director, Mapping And Geodesy Branch 6





MARKA OFFICES Karr I Laster Ramas Ref Barrison, KSI Tagang Cir, Properson, Tal. No. of 32 (Phi-182): p.11 Brench, 411 Barraso III. Spr. Havins, 1110 Marile, Philippines, Tel. No. (202) 211-2014 (c.12) www.namria.gov.ph

SO NO: 288 CERTIFIC FOR IMPING HIS SEGRETAL INFORMATION IMPAGEMENT.

Figure A-2.1. MRQ-25

# Annex 3. Baseline Processing Reports of Control Points used in the LIDAR Survey

### 1. BM-5

			Processing	Summary				
Observation	From	То	Solution Type	H. Prec. (Meter)	V. Prec. (Meter)	Geodetic Az.	Ellipsoid Dist. (Meter)	∆Height (Meter)
MRQ-25 BM-5 (B1)	MRQ-25	BM-5	Fixed	0.047	0.034	3°37'04"	16202.444	-42.355

### Acceptance Summary

Processed	Passed	Flag	Þ	Fail	Þ
1	1	0		0	

### Vector Components (Mark to Mark)

From:	MRQ-25						
	Grid		Local			G	ilobal
Easting	376341.198 m	Latitude	le N13°22'5	6.92804"	Latitude		N13°22'51.86815"
Northing	1479627.069 m	Longitu	ude E121°51'2	8.72677"	Longitude		E121°51'33.72033"
Elevation	47.679 m	Height	t é	48. <b>183 m</b>	Height		97.201 m
To:	BM-5						
	Grid		Local			G	ilobal
Easting	377438.371 m	Latitude	le N13°31'4	3. <b>1282</b> 1"	Latitude		N13°31'38.03406"
Northing	1495788.872 m	Longitu	ude E121°52'0	2.7 <b>278</b> 1"	Longitude		E121°52'07.70875"
Elevation	5.200 m	Height	t .	5.828 m	Height		54.472 m
Vector							
ΔEasting	1097.17	73 m NS	S Fwd Azimuth		3°37'04"	ΔХ	1139.7 <b>85 m</b>
∆Northing	16161.80	)2 m Elli	llipsoid Dist.		16202.444 m	ΔY	-3770.308 m
∆Elevation	-42.47	79 m <b>∆</b> H	Height		-42.355 m	ΔZ	15716.494 m

### Standard Errors

Vector errors:					
σ ΔEasting	0.018 m	σ NS fwd Azimuth	0°00'00"	σΔX	0.019 m
σ ΔNorthing	0.008 m	σ Ellipsoid Dist.	0.007 m	σΔΥ	0.016 m
σ ΔElevation	0.017 m	σ∆Height	0.017 m	σΔZ	0.008 m

Figure A-3.1. BM-5

### Annex 4. The LIDAR Survey Team Composition

Data Acquisition Component Sub-Team	Designation	Name	Agency/ Affiliation
PHIL-LIDAR 1	Program Leader	ENRICO C. PARINGIT, DR.ENG	UP-TCAGP
Data Acquisition Component Leader	Data Component Project Leader - I	ENGR. CZAR JAKIRI SARMIENTO	UP-TCAGP
	Chief Science Research Specialist (CSRS)	ENGR. CHRISTOPHER CRUZ	UP-TCAGP
	Supervising Science	LOVELY GRACIA ACUÑA	UP-TCAGP
Survey Supervisor	Research Specialist (Supervising SRS)	LOVELYN ASUNCION	UP-TCAGP

Table A-4.1. The LiDAR Survey Team Composition

### Senior Science Research LOVELY GRACIA ACUÑA **UP-TCAGP** Specialist (SSRS) JERIEL PAUL ALAMBAN, Research Associate (RA) **UP-TCAGP** GEOL. **LiDAR** Operation RA ENGR. IRO NIEL ROXAS **UP-TCAGP** Ground Survey, Data FOR. MA. REMEDIOS RA **UP-TCAGP** Download and Transfer VILLANUEVA PHILIPPINE AIR FORCE SSG. BENJIE **Airborne Security** CARBOLLEDO (PAF) CAPT. CESAR ALFONSO ASIAN AEROSPACE CORPORATION (AAC) |||**LiDAR** Operation Pilot CAPT. DEXTER AAC CABUDOL

### FIELD TEAM

Annex 5. Data Transfer Sheet for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain

				AMM.	1145				APPROX 1 CITY			LL BUYE	NTOTAL OF	STREET, STREET, ST	PLOUP	NA. PR	
2	Contrast.	A 105/COM N 4/1/1	NUMBER OF BRIDE	Output Lass	Cific (second)	fundation 1	101	MALE IN CASE	PLACEMIN	100716	110.00	NACTORS N		Nuruh Internet	Arthur	P.M.	LOCATION
5	10000	101-112-102-004	Property	-	3	-	8	10.4	100	110	8	1	ş	40	878		INDIANA
504	10001	40-42580800	-	121	2	181	B	131.0	MCCOVIN	111	2	8	104	100		2	EXEMPTION IN CONTRACT
13.04	100019	1101000000001	Pageos	4.1	3	101	1	1.00	н.	4.10	2	N IN	-	36	000		210400M
19-04	1,10029	101623-022402	Station.	002	2	1.9	8	10.0	8	111	3	111	100	144	Interest	-	CONCRAM



LUNADAR D 

PORTING 1

in / lots PERSONAL INC. 

Figure A-5.1. Data Transfer Sheet for Tawiran-Tagum Floodplain

1. Flight Log for 10020P Mission

Data Acquistion Night Log			And and a state of the state of		
1 UDAR Operator: N	3 2 ALTM Model: WWW	3 Mission Name Intra-	AA A Type: VFR	5 Alroraft Tispec Gewinner 2004	6 Ainore It: Mentolication:
7 Pilot: & Armin IA	8 Co-Pliete B. Compon	G Router Huge, 1060, 4	and a		
10 Detei	12 Aliport of Departure	(Airport, Dity/frovince)	32 Airport of Arrival	(Alimport, Only/Province):	
13 Engine Oz: SPDH	14 Engline Off:	LS Tetal Engine Time: 34.00	16 Take off.	17 Lending:	18 Total Flight Time: 51-55
19 Weather	town				
20 Fight Clarification 4	alitatis nan dilatis	Nego 200	harrafi (f.	and a spinger , someone ?	uk at Arc
Acquisition Flight Konv Flight Sconn That Flight Calibration Flight	0 Allected Bert Right 0 AAC Admin Right 0 Otheos	O LIDAR System Mainte A Ancark Maintenance D Phil-UDAR Admin. Act	iance Intites		
22 Problems and Selutions					
Mearther Problem System Problem Advant Problem Advant Problem O Alexander Collens:		1			
		+		<	
Ang antion Right Approved 2 Hard Amount Amo	A MANDON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	A Place Plac	Connert of Control of Control of Control of Control of Control of Control Control Name	Lidar Openeral	inter international

a N Type: Cesnan 1206H   6 Alecraft I dearth cation: 9646	Mar. Mar. Januarity	- International	ding: Intett		quje : enunge 14 240	
4 Type: VTR 5 Airo		A REPORT OF ADDRESS OF ADDRESS	i Take off: 17 Lan		31. Remarks 21. R	
3 Miggion Name: (Secondary)	U NOUTE: PARAME, DOWN, DOWN	arport, until rise in ney :	15 Total Ing ne Time. 20 4733		20.c Others 0. LIDAA System Mainteral 0. Nincraft Mainterance 0. PMI-LIDA&Admin Activit	
ALL ZALTMINISCHI MUNUL	B Co-Right P. CARMIN,	12 Migrorf of Disparture V Network	14 Engine Off: M34	-	20.b Kon Bilibble O Alrowit Yes Flight O AAC Adein Flight O Others:	
UDAR Operator: & Name	Pill of C. Mitwiss .	0 Date: w/w/F	3 Ingine On:	9 Weather	D Right Clearthcatton 4 0.a Billadie	0 URMAN

Figure A-6.2. Flight Log for 10027P Mission
ON REPORT FROM A LONG.					I was a sense flast tables
	in a constant which when a	a block bower would	AL & Type: VFR	5 A roraft Type: Cesana T206N	6 Aircraft Identification: 101
Coperation   1999	TWINE HADDEN MITCH				
E. Manage A	I CO-PI OF R. CARAGO,	3 Route: -			
" whether	12. Migort of Deperture	Ainpart, Gity/Pravince):	12 Aliport of Amwell	A liport, GIty/Provincelo	
ne On:	14 Engine Off:	15 Total Legine Time: 2005	16 Take off: Decisi	17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Tiere:
ther	Cubrich		-		
cdasification <	20.b Nors Bileble	20.4 Others	21 famaria	use . Died . another	the print are
Acquisition Hight Ferry Hight System Test Fight Calibration Hight	o Arcuelt Text Fight o AAC Admin Right o Others	<ul> <li>UZAR System Maint</li> <li>Arcardt Mainte Mainte</li> <li>Pasis-UDAR Admin Ac</li> </ul>	nance Outles	Mural B	
tens and Solutions					
Meather Problem Sintern Problem Aircritt Problem Pilot Problem Others					
A Curry Angentapported to	Accurate right Co	A Plets	Command M	Liber Gaert An Annual Farmer	Abank Machadol Tadritha Market Machadol Tadritha Market Street

Figure A-6.3. Flight Log for 10032P Mission

# Annex 7. Flight Status Reports

### MARINDUQUE

(October 9, 12 & 15, 2015)

FLIGHT NO.	AREA	MISSION	OPERATOR	DATE FLOWN	REMARKS
10020P	BLK22A, BLK22B AND BLK22C	1BLK22ABC282A	I. Roxas	October 9, 2015	SURVEYED BLK 22ABC (BOAC FP); VOIDS DUE TO CLOUDS; 1000M ALT; OCCASIONAL LOST CHANNEL A; DIGI START UP PROBLEM DUE TO DISK ERROR
10027P	BLK22A AND BLK22B	1BLK22AB285A	J.P. Alamban	October 12, 2015	SURVEYED BLK 22AB (TAWIRAN-TAGUM FP & BOAC FP); VOIDS DUE TO CLOUDS; LASER NOT RESPONDING, RESTARTED LASER;ABNORMAL TERMINATION OF POSVIEW; DIGI START UP PROBLEM DUE TO DISK ERROR; 1250M ALT;
10032P	BLK22A AND VOIDS OVER BLK22B	1BLK22AB288A	I. Roxas	October 15, 2015	SURVEYED BLK 22AB (TAWIRAN-TAGUM FP & VOIDS OVER BOAC FP); VOIDS DUE TO CLOUDS; DIGI START UP PROBLEM DUE TO DISK ERROR;

Table A-7.1	Flight	Status	Report
	ingin	Jiaius	Report

## LAS/SWATH BOUNDARIES PER MISSION FLIGHT

Flight No. :	10020P				
Area:	BLK 22A, BLK 22	2B & BLK	22C BO	AC FP	
Mission Name:	1BLK22ABC282	A			
Parameters:	PRF 200	SF	30	FOV	50



Figure A-7.1. Swath for Flight No. 10020P

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)

Flight No. :	10027P				
Area:	BLK22 A & BLK 2	22B BOA	C FP; TA	WIRAN-	TAGUM FP
Mission Name:	1BLK22AB285A				
Parameters:	PRF 200	SF	30	FOV	50



Figure A-7.2. Swath for Flight No. 10027P

Flight No. : Area:	10032P	S OVER I	RI K 22B	ΒΟΔΟ ΕΙ	Ο ΤΔΙΛ/ΙΒΔΝ-ΤΔΩΙΙΜ ΕΡ
Mission Name:	1BLK22AB288A	JOVEN		DOACH	
Parameters:	PRF 200	SF	30	FOV	50



Figure A-7.3. Swath for Flight No. 10032P

# Annex 8. Mission Summary Reports

### Table A-8.1 Mission Summary Report for Mission Blk29H

Flight Area	Occidental Mindoro
Mission Name	Blk29H
Inclusive Flights	1136A
Range data size	15 GB
Base data size	15.8 MB
POS	256 MB
Image	86.5 GB
Transfer date	03/19/2014
Solution Status	
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes
PDOP (<3)	Yes
Baseline Length (<30km)	Yes
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes
<u> </u>	
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)	
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	2.5
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.4
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	4.4
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000355
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.074523
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0409
Minimum % overlap (>25)	37.19%
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	2.58
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	174
Maximum Height	613.49 m
Minimum Height	39.16 m
Classification (# of points)	
Ground	53,263,528
Low vegetation	57,288,707
Medium vegetation	68,165,762
High vegetation	30,718,677
Building	1,782,193
~	
Orthophoto	Yes
Processed by	Engr. Kenneth Solidum, Celina Rosete, Jovy Narisma



Figure A-8.1 Solution Status



Figure A-8.2 Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters



Figure A-8.3 Best Estimated Trajectory



Figure A-8.4 Coverage of LiDAR data



Figure A-8.5 Image of data overlap



Figure A-8.6 Density map of merged LiDAR data

### Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure A-8.7 Elevation difference between flight lines

Parameters
<b>3asin</b>
Model I
agum l
awiran-T
1.0 T. 9. T
Anr

**Ratio to Peak** 0.018262 0.094855 0.079463 0.027825 0.042157 0.063236 0.061968 0.040010 0.043018 0.063236 0.092956 0.083497 0.092956 0.089278 0.18156 0.12672 0.30131 0.13665 0.13943 0.20087 0.3013 **RECESSION BASEFLOW** 0.0390193 0.0552444 0.0860356 Recession Constant 0.19148 0.43556 0.12475 0.44444 0.19063 0.12708 0.44644 0.65638 0.4465 0.2963 0.19063 0.44656 0.12703 0.64027 0.66667 0.2963 0.6564 0.2963 Discharge 0.52224 0.97080 0.43699 0.23491 (M3/S) 0.39772 0.98142 0.24436 0.26435 0.46849 0.18378 0.58796 0.49514 0.14136 0.26280 0.32383 0.95138 0.77893 0.16811 0.30191 0.29317 0.34387 Initial **Clark Unit Hydrograph Transform** Coefficient Storage 0.75495 0.52837 1.0273 6.3512 8.4358 20.689 20.668 2.8932 13.1141.8329 18.962 10.552 10.332 17.704 17.036 3.8227 14.631 3.329 23.33 13.99 42.61 (HR) Concentration 0.14776 Time of 0.39255 7.5753 0.12669 47.128 0.14733 0.30393 0.30463 74.585 43.906 0.48288 0.32267 0.21787 0.4944 42.383 38.561 0.14607 10.794 46.192 58.342 38.37 (HR) Impervious 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (%) **SCS Curve Number Loss** Curve Number 63.386 35.115 52.486 55.045 38.463 92.752 40.588 51.531 36.807 37.012 78.068 52.467 49.292 53.76 58.257 40.022 35.177 88.04 75.95 52.54 52.92 Abstraction 11.368 34.336 84.179 23.738 21.593 86.582 9.2357 18.334 Initial 73.951 60.99 90.921 13.055 135.03 22.763 9.6631 26.979 15.063 22.383 11.847 7.5927 (mm) 48.23 Numbei Basin W490 W500 W510 W520 W530 W540 W550 W560 W570 W580 W590 W600 W610 W620 W630 W640 W650 W660 W670 W690 W680

Table A-9.1. Tawiran-Tagum Model Basin Parameters

																							_			_
0.041312	0.091099	0.019119	0.028105	0.060732	0.13391	0.13665	0.091100	0.19685	0.13391	0.65109	0.28937	0.061972	0.089278	0.039648	0.20497	0.094855	0.13944	0.063236	0.20497	0.028105	0.094855	0.3013	0.13665	0.14228	0.5	0.027543
0.42895	0.44468	0.42892	0.0882133	1	0.67219	0.2703	0.0850963	1	1	0.44661	1	0.44664	1	0.28022	1	0.44487	1	0.98	1	0.0864474	0.0384207	0.68711	0.14318	0.6719	1	0.2963
0.0760411	0.23394	0.14157	0.0215631	0.22967	0.72141	0.66332	0.20140	0.39199	0.46923	0.42928	0.67237	0.38099	0.36573	0.19222	0.45410	0.56805	0.31582	0.29694	0.99179	0.0965994	0.12533	1.2182	0.53386	1.4812	0.92061	0.0600997
0.74133	12.027	0.52913	0.26258	6.546	14.562	6.6097	16.948	18.85	7.5791	14.446	18.299	20.58	10.73	0.46264	31.234	18.467	20.556	6.9288	23.18	0.0618529	24.869	28.16	31.812	28.157	5.8092	0.28485
0.13166	0.26435	0.32252	0.13009	13.512	43.261	19.373	51.278	55.964	6.943	8.3826	54.631	26.419	13.868	1.0417	42.131	55.825	61.001	20.451	9.4409	0.16508	13.713	37.586	5.7133	57.072	3.5596	0.14599
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
58.752	52.622	50.755	50.756	38.334	48.553	39.159	52.986	53.011	40.411	39.172	35.329	35.126	35.343	66	35.343	35.274	35.343	37.998	35.252	41.237	35.188	35.271	35.269	35.194	89.416	60.993
191.7	16.914	171.12	170.27	36.515	20.207	78.561	12.06	17.966	53.502	65.438	76.345	7.9154	15.594	18.948	23.372	6.9612	32.272	58.662	9.3116	23.247	18.318	15.986	30.704	23.132	1.5033	101.88
W700	W710	W720	W730	W740	W750	W760	W770	W780	067W	W800	W810	W820	W830	W840	W850	W860	W870	W880	W890	006M	W910	W920	W930	W940	W960	W970

Annex 10. Tawiran-Tagum Model Reach Parameters

		Side Slope	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-
		Width	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
		Shape	Trapezoid	Tranezoid																
מכוו דמו מווופופו א	annel Routing	Manning's n	0.0387812	0.0394409	0.0379399	0.0383397	0.0168539	0.0253148	0.04	0.045496	0.06	0.0902569	0.12897	0.0882	0.0604035	0.1035	0.0257559	0.13522	0.0898549	0 13351
II all- Iaguili Muuel Ne	1uskingum Cunge Cha	Slope	0.0580500	0.0390724	0.0025528	0.0171899	0.0050876	0.0137635	0.0228382	0.0044819	0.0192755	0.0337493	0.0229892	0.0229892	0.0268340	0.0092426	0.0153559	0.0107580	0.0352450	0 0175976
IdDIE A-TU.T. IdMI	2	Length (m)	1042.5	1208.4	947.70	1015.0	1784.8	2676.3	4411.4	2988.5	2620.1	386.27	373.14	581.13	1477.8	1201.2	1711.0	516.27	1550.2	23718
		Time Step Method	Automatic Fixed Interval																	
	Dorch	Number	R110	R140	R150	R160	R170	R180	R20	R200	R230	R240	R260	R270	R290	R300	R330	R350	R360	R420

nucleon bound ξ ē ĥ Tahla A-10.1 Tawiran

1	1	1	1	1	1
45	45	45	45	45	45
Trapezoid	Trapezoid	Trapezoid	Trapezoid	Trapezoid	Trapezoid
0.0907021	0.0604155	0.0301586	0.0261333	0.0177634	0.0588
0.0146220	0.0228382	0.0105189	0.0111004	.0001628897	0.0228382
503.55	811.84	1070.8	3816.1	1366.1	634.56
Automatic Fixed Interval					
R430	R440	R50	R70	R80	R980

# Annex 11. Tawiran-Tagum Field Validation Points

Point Number	Validation (in W	Coordinates /GS84)	Model Var (m)	Valid- ation Points	Error	Event/Date	Rain Return / Scenario
	Lat	Long		(m)			
1	13.433288	122.070880	2.62	0.80	-1.82	Reming, Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
2	13.433413	122.071130	3.20	1.80	-1.40	Reming	25-Year
3	13.433907	122.071960	2.35	0.00	-2.35		25-Year
4	13.434486	122.072160	3.85	0.77	-3.08	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
5	13.435643	122.070020	3.81	1.20	-2.61	Reming, Ruby	25-Year
6	13.436077	122.071000	4.35	0.50	-3.85	Ruby	25-Year
7	13.436632	122.072200	4.21	1.00	-3.21	Reming, Glenda, Yolanda, Ruby	25-Year
8	13.436654	122.071590	4.34	0.90	-3.44	Reming	25-Year
9	13.437482	122.073630	0.20	0.47	0.27	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
10	13.437918	122.073420	1.33	0.80	-0.53	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
11	13.439348	122.073670	1.32	2.13	0.81	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
12	13.442827	122.066180	4.32	0.60	-3.72	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
13	13.443738	122.066120	5.46	0.82	-4.64	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
14	13.443780	122.074050	0.03	0.00	-0.03		25-Year
15	13.444756	122.066270	5.82	0.72	-5.10	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
16	13.445346	122.066330	3.58	0.50	-3.08	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
17	13.446299	122.065150	0.03	0.00	-0.03	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
18	13.446795	122.073580	0.50	0.10	-0.40	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
19	13.447581	122.065520	0.26	0.00	-0.26	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
20	13.447722	122.065290	0.31	0.20	-0.11	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
21	13.447830	122.064980	0.38	0.00	-0.38		25-Year
22	13.447885	122.072800	0.80	0.20	-0.60	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
23	13.447929	122.065290	0.46	0.20	-0.26	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
24	13.448206	122.065150	0.39	0.00	-0.39	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
25	13.448372	122.072581	0.60	0.20	-0.40	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
26	13.448533	122.065520	0.43	0.18	-0.25	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
27	13.448740	122.065020	0.59	1.10	0.51	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
28	13.449471	122.087250	0.03	0.00	-0.03		25-Year
29	13.449491	122.065320	0.57	0.20	-0.37	Yolanda / Nov. 8, 2013	25-Year
30	13.449602	122.089630	0.03	0.61	0.58	Yolanda / Nov. 2013	25-Year
31	13.449633	122.065980	0.44	0.25	-0.19	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
32	13.449994	122.065800	0.42	0.35	-0.07	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
33	13.450269	122.043500	0.03	0.00	-0.03		25-Year
34	13.450604	122.065810	0.45	0.42	-0.03	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
35	13.450739	122.087110	0.03	0.50	0.47	Yolanda / Nov. 2013	25-Year
36	13.450689	122.044860	0.03	0.00	-0.03		25-Year

Table A-11.1. Tawiran-Tagum Field Validation Points

37	13.451196	122.044940	0.83	0.00	-0.83		25-Year
38	13.451261	122.045610	0.04	0.00	-0.04		25-Year
39	13.451377	122.068390	0.54	0.80	0.26		25-Year
40	13.451803	122.070200	0.51	0.61	0.10	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
41	13.451911	122.088570	0.58	1.55	0.97	Yolanda / Nov. 2013	25-Year
42	13.452048	122.070660	0.61	0.93	0.32	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
43	13.452254	122.069720	0.19	1.26	1.07	Monang / Dec. 1993	25-Year
44	13.452491	122.045310	0.04	0.00	-0.04		25-Year
45	13.453118	122.069930	0.03	0.33	0.30	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
46	13.454213	122.068600	0.92	1.00	0.08	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
47	13.455936	122.084880	1.50	1.01	-0.49	Reming / Nov. 2006	25-Year
48	13.456390	122.099460	0.63	0.00	-0.63		25-Year
49	13.457778	122.100420	0.52	0.97	0.45	Reming / Nov. 30. 2006	25-Year
50	13.458267	122.069840	0.36	1.00	0.64	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
51	13.458614	122.103120	0.03	0.00	-0.03	Reming / Nov. 30. 2006	25-Year
52	13.460162	122.067680	0.52	0.72	0.20	Yolanda / Oct. 2013	25-Year
53	13.460551	122.067640	0.45	0.80	0.35	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
54	13.460840	122.068190	0.70	0.80	0.10	Reming / Dec. 2006	25-Year
55	13.461958	122.066540	2.97	0.94	-2.03	Frank / June, 2008	25-Year
56	13.463626	122.069860	0.35	1.30	0.95	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
57	13.463932	122.069650	0.47	0.74	0.27	Glenda / July, 2014	25-Year
58	13.473482	122.089360	0.43	0.00	-0.43		25-Year
59	13.475128	122.085370	0.03	0.00	-0.03	Monang / Dec. 1993	25-Year
60	13.476839	122.081940	0.03	0.00	-0.03		25-Year
61	13.476870	122.080790	0.07	0.00	-0.07		25-Year

## Annex 12. Phil-LiDAR 1 UPLB Team Composition

#### **Project Leader**

Asst. Prof. Edwin R. Abucay (CHE, UPLB)

#### **Project Staffs/Study Leaders**

Asst. Prof. Efraim D. Roxas (CHE, UPLB) Asst. Prof. Joan Pauline P. Talubo (CHE, UPLB) Ms. Sandra Samantela (CHE, UPLB) Dr. Cristino L. Tiburan (CFNR, UPLB) Engr. Ariel U. Glorioso (CEAT, UPLB) Ms. Miyah D. Queliste (CAS, UPLB) Mr. Dante Gideon K. Vergara (SESAM, UPLB)

#### Sr. Science Research Specialists

Gillian Katherine L. Inciong For. John Alvin B. Reyes

#### **Research Associates**

Alfi Lorenz B. Cura Angelica T. Magpantay Gemmalyn E. Magnaye Jayson L. Arizapa Kevin M. Manalo Leendel Jane D. Punzalan Maria Michaela A. Gonzales Paulo Joshua U. Quilao Sarah Joy A. Acepcion Ralphael P. Gonzales

#### **Computer Programmers**

Ivan Marc H. Escamos Allen Roy C. Roberto

#### **Information Systems Analyst** Jan Martin C. Magcale

#### **Project Assistants**

Daisili Ann V. Pelegrina Athena Mercado Kaye Anne A. Matre Randy P. Porciocula